USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service

Ecological site F113XY921IL
Wet Loamy Floodplain Forest

Last updated: 5/17/2024
Accessed: 05/19/2024

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 113X–Central Claypan Areas

The eastern Illinois portion of the Central Claypan Areas MLRA is in the Till Plains Section of the Central Lowland Province of the Interior Plains (USDA-NRCS, 2006) and includes the Southern Till Plain Natural Division of the natural divisions of Illinois (Schwegman, 1973; 1997; IDNR, 2018) in south-central Illinois. South-central Illinois is a dissected Illinoisan till plain south of the terminal Wisconsin moraine. This region consists of nearly level to gently sloping, old till plains. Stream valleys are shallow and generally are narrow. Elevation is about 660 feet (200 meters), increasing gradually from south to north. Local relief is generally low on the broad, flat till plains and flood plains and high on the dissected hills bordering rivers or drainage systems. The Kaskaskia, Little Muddy, Little Wabash, Embarras, and Skillet Fork rivers are part of this area. This region is covered with loess, which overlies old glacial drift (Illinoisan till) that has a high content of clay. Fragipans are also present. Pennsylvanian limestone and shale bedrock underlay the glacial till. The dominant soil orders in this region are Alfisol and Mollisol. The soils in the area predominantly have a mesic soil temperature regime, an aquic or udic soil moisture regime, and mixed or smectitic mineralogy. They generally are very deep, well drained to poorly drained, and loamy or clayey. (USDA-NRCS, 2006).

Classification relationships

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA) (USDA-NRCS, 2022):
113 – Central Claypan Areas

U.S. Forest Service Ecoregions (Cleland et al. 2007):
Domain: Humid Temperate Domain
Division: Hot Continental Division
Province: Eastern Broadleaf Forest (Continental)
Province Code: 222
Section: Central Till Plains, Oak-Hickory Section
Section Code: 222G

Relationship to other established ecological classifications (done)
Biophysical Setting (LANDFIRE, 2009); the reference community of this ecological site is most similar to: South-Central Interior Large Floodplain (CES202.705)

National Vegetation Classification System (NatureServe, 2018): the reference community of this ecological site is most similar to: Acer saccharinum - Fraxinus pennsylvanica - Ulmus americana Floodplain Forest (CEGL002586)

Illinois Natural Areas Survey (INAS) (White, 1978); the reference community of this ecological site is most similar to: INAS Community Class – Floodplain Forest; Natural community – Wet-mesic Floodplain Forest

Ecological site concept

The historic pre-European settlement vegetation on this site was dominated by a continuous canopy of deciduous trees with an understory of shade-tolerant shrubs and ground flora (LANDFIRE 2009). Wet Loamy Floodplain Forests occur in floodplains along the channel. Soils are somewhat poorly drained and very deep formed from coarse, silty alluvium that are seasonally inundated or saturated for one or two months during the growing season resulting in a plant community with hydrophytic woody and herbaceous vegetation (Nelson 2010; White 1978).

Wet Loamy Floodplain Forests resemble Loamy Floodplain Forests, except they lack species of oak (Quercus spp.) and black walnut (Juglans nigra L.)* that do not tolerate extended periods of wetness that can occur in these units. In addition, the ground flora may be barren because of frequent flooding and occasional ponding. Stands occur on regularly flooded floodplains. The canopy cover is more-or-less closed and dominated by silver maple (Acer saccharinum L.), green ash (Fraxinus pennsylvanica Marshall), American elm (Ulmus americana L.), and eastern cottonwood (*Populus deltoides* W. Bartram ex Marshall). Associated species may include slippery elm (Ulmus rubra Muhl.), boxelder (Acer negundo L.), black willow (Salix nigra Marshall), common hackberry (Celtis occidentalis L.), and bitternut hickory (Carya cordiformis (Wangenh.) K. Koch). American sycamore (*Platanus occidentalis* L.) and river birch (Betula nigra L.) may also occur. The shrub and sapling layer is often open (<25 percent cover). Species that may be present include elderberry (Sambucus canadensis L.), black raspberry (Rubus occidentalis L.), and northern spicebush (Lindera benzoin (L.) Blume). Woody vines can be prominent, including eastern poison ivy (Toxicodendron radicans (L.) Kuntze), trumpet creeper (Campsis radicans (L.) Seem. ex Bureau), Virginia creeper (Parthenocissus quinquefolia (L.) Planch.) and riverbank grape (Vitis riparia Michx.). Herbaceous grasses and forbs dominate the ground layer, including calico aster (Symphyotrichum lateriflorum (L.) Á. Löve & D. Löve), smallspike false nettle (Boehmeria cylindrica (L.) Sw.), giant goldenrod (Solidago gigantean Aiton), whitegrass (Leersia virginica Willd.), Virginia wildrye (Elymus virginicus L.), pale touch-me-not (Impatiens pallida Nutt.), Canadian woodnettle (Laportea canadensis (L.), Canadian clearweed (Pilea pumila (L.) A. Gray), and stinging nettle (Urtica dioica L.). (Nelson 2010; Ladd and Thomas 2015). Historically, seasonal flooding was the primary disturbance factor, while windthrow events and beaver alterations were secondary factors (LANDFIRE 2009; Nelson 2010; NatureServe 2018; Voigt and Mohlenbrock 1964).

Associated sites

F113XY922IL	**Loamy Floodplain Forest** This ecological site is located in floodplains generally adjacent to Wet Loamy Floodplain Forests.
F113XY911IL	**Loamy Till Backslope Forest** This ecological site is located on steep backslopes above Wet Loamy Floodplain Forests.
F113XY919IL	**Wet Silty Floodplain Forest** This ecological site is located in floodplains with Wet Loamy Floodplain Forests.

Similar sites

F113XY919IL	**Wet Silty Floodplain Forest** This ecological site is located in floodplains with Wet Loamy Floodplain Forests.

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	(1) *Acer saccharinum* (2) *Fraxinus pennsylvanica*
Shrub	(1) *Parthenocissus quinquefolia*
Herbaceous	(1) *Solidago gigantea*

Physiographic features

This site is on coarse-loamy and fine-loamy water sediments on floodplains with slopes of less than 2 percent. Areas not protected by levees are subject to frequent flooding.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Alluvial plain > Flood plain
Runoff class	Negligible to low
Flooding duration	Extremely brief (0.1 to 4 hours) to long (7 to 30 days)
Flooding frequency	None to frequent
Elevation	400–899 ft
Slope	0–2%
Water table depth	0–24 in
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Climatic features

The soil temperature regime of MLRA 113 is classified as mesic, where the mean annual soil temperature is between 47 and 59°F. Temperature and precipitation occur along a north-south gradient, where temperature and precipitation increase the further south you travel (USDA-NRCS 2006). The majority of the precipitation occurs as rainfall in the form of convective thunderstorms during the growing season.

Table 3. Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	144-151 days
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	181-187 days
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	42-44 in
Frost-free period (actual range)	141-153 days
Freeze-free period (actual range)	179-189 days
Precipitation total (actual range)	41-44 in
Frost-free period (average)	147 days
Freeze-free period (average)	184 days
Precipitation total (average)	43 in



Figure 1. Monthly precipitation range



Figure 2. Monthly minimum temperature range



Figure 3. Monthly maximum temperature range



Figure 4. Monthly average minimum and maximum temperature



Figure 5. Annual precipitation pattern



Figure 6. Annual average temperature pattern

Climate stations used

- (1) FLORA 5 NW [USC00113109], Flora, IL
- (2) PANA 3E [USC00116579], Pana, IL
- (3) EFFINGHAM 3SW [USW00093816], Effingham, IL

Influencing water features

This ecological site is in floodplains of perennial streams and along smaller intermittent streams. They are influenced by a seasonal high water table, due to high groundwater levels in these topographically low positions and flooding. The water table may be near the surface in late fall through spring, receding in the summer. Stream levels typically respond quickly to storm events, especially in watersheds where surface runoff is dominant. Brief to long duration flooding is common in many areas, particularly during spring and early summer storm events. (SSS NRCS WSS, 2018). (SSS NRCS OSD, 2018).

Wetland description

This site is in the RIVERINE wetlands class of the Hydrogeomorphic (HGM) classification system (Brinson, 1993), and are Forested Palustrine wetlands (Cowardin et al., 1979).

Soil features

These soils have moderately permeability and are very deep, with seasonal high water tables in flood plains. They were formed under a mixture of herbaceous wetland and woodland vegetation. Organic matter content is variable. Parent material is loamy alluvium (fine-loamy and coarse-loamy particle size family class). They have loam and silt loam surface horizons. Soils of this ecological site are in the Inceptisol order. Soil series associated with this site include Holly and Holton. (NCSS, 2018; SSS NRCS OSD, 2018)

Table 4. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Alluvium
Surface texture	(1) Silt loam (2) Loam
Drainage class	Poorly drained to somewhat poorly drained
Permeability class	Moderately slow
Soil depth	72 in
Surface fragment cover <=3"	0–5%
Surface fragment cover >3"	0–5%
Available water capacity (Depth not specified)	6–8 in
Calcium carbonate equivalent (Depth not specified)	0–15%

Sodium adsorption ratio (Depth not specified)	0–3
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (Depth not specified)	5.6–7.3
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	4–12%
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	0–12%

Ecological dynamics

The MLRA lies within the transition zone between the eastern deciduous forests and the central tallgrass prairies. The heterogeneous topography of the area results in variable microclimates and fuel matrices that in turn are able to support prairies, savannas, woodlands, and forests. Wet Loamy Floodplain Forests form an aspect of this vegetative continuum. This ecological site occurs on low lying flood plains. Species characteristic of this ecological site consist of broadleaf deciduous floodplain forest species which exhibits high canopy diversity. (Anderson, 1975; White, 1978).

Historically, the floodplains were a very dynamic system with frequent flooding and ponding. Gravelly, sandy, loamy, and clayey deposits of sediment sorted themselves out on the floodplain depending on the speed, volume and duration of the waters carrying them. Wet Loamy Floodplain Forests occupy a transitional area between lower, wetter and more clayey wet forests and higher, better drained riverfront forests. They have loamy soil textures and are somewhat poorly drained. Current management of the river has drastically altered this dynamic process although the seasonally high water table and drainage still influences the development of these floodplain forest communities.

Flooding generally occurs during the winter and spring and may extend into the growing season. The structure and species composition are influenced by this flooding regime, which is typically an annual flooding of relatively brief duration (several weeks), but may be absent in dry years or extensive during flash-flood years. Floods leave river-deposited debris on the forest floor, ice scars on trees, and abandoned channels that retain water at or above the level of the main river channel. Although this community can be early-successional, occurring on river fronts and other recently disturbed areas, this is a generally long-lived type. Succession in Wet Loamy Floodplain Forest appears to be similar to that of the Loamy Floodplain Forests, except that periods of inundation and ponding exclude later successional hardwood species. Silver maple, hackberry, elm, green ash, eastern cottonwood (*Populus deltoides* W. Bartram ex Marshall) and American sycamore (*Platanus occidentalis* L.) form a tall canopy (80 to 100 feet) that is uneven and has frequent holes. (NatureServe 2018)

Today many of these ecological sites have been cleared and converted to intensive agriculture. While some cleared fields have retained a narrow strip of forest along the stream, many of these ecological sites are often cleared right up to the bank. In such cases, severe flooding may cause stream bank erosion and complete loss of this ecological site. The remaining remnants that still exist play an important role as a source of food and shelter for migrating birds. In addition, large floodplain trees that extend above the canopy are important nesting sites for bald eagles (Haliaeetus leucocephalus) and herons (Ardea spp.). (Guyon et. al. 2016)

Carefully planned timber harvests can be tolerated in this system, but high grading of the timber will eventually degrade the ecological site. Re-establishment of these riparian forests is important for stream quality and health, as well as for migratory birds. Planting of appropriate species has proven to be quite successful.

A provisional state and transition diagram is depicted in Figure 2. Detailed descriptions of each state, transition, plant community, and pathway follow the model. This model is based on available experimental research, field observations, professional consensus, and interpretations. It may change as knowledge increases.

State and transition model

Ecosystem states



T1A - No disturbance >20 years; timber harvesting

T1B - Woody removal; vegetative seeding; grassland management

T1C - Woody removal; tillage; conservation cropping system; water management

R2A - Forest stand improvement

T2A - Woody removal; vegetative seeding; grassland management

T2B - Woody removal; tillage; conservation cropping system; water management

T3A - Tillage; conservation cropping system; water management

T4A - Vegetative seeding; grassland management

State 1 submodel, plant communities



1.1.A - Lack of natural disturbance events greater than 10 years

1.2.A - Natural disturbance 1-3 years

State 2 submodel, plant communities



State 3 submodel, plant communities



State 4 submodel, plant communities

4.1. Cropland
Community

State 1
Reference State

The historical reference state for this ecological site was old growth riverine forest. The forest was dominated by silver maple, green ash and elms. Maximum tree age was likely 150 to 300 years. Periodic disturbances from flooding, wind or ice maintained the open, uneven structure and ground flora species. Long disturbance-free periods allowed an increase in both the density of trees and the abundance of shade tolerant species. Two community phases are recognized in the reference state, with shifts between phases based on disturbance frequency. Reference states are very rare today. Altered drainage has resulted in increased canopy density, which has affected the abundance and diversity of ground flora. Most reference states are currently altered because of timber harvesting, clearing and conversion to grassland or cropland.

Dominant plant species

- silver maple (*Acer saccharinum*), tree
- green ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*), tree
- Virginia creeper (*Parthenocissus quinquefolia*), other herbaceous
- giant goldenrod (*Solidago gigantea*), other herbaceous

Community 1.1
Reference community 1.1

This community consists of silver maple and green ash with an understory dominated by Virginia creeper and giant goldenrod.

Dominant plant species

- silver maple (*Acer saccharinum*), tree
- green ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*), tree
- Virginia creeper (*Parthenocissus quinquefolia*), shrub
- giant goldenrod (*Solidago gigantea*), other herbaceous

Community 1.2
Reference community 1.2

This community is characterized by an increase in elm saplings and Virginia creeper.

Dominant plant species

- silver maple (*Acer saccharinum*), tree
- green ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*), tree
- Virginia creeper (*Parthenocissus quinquefolia*), shrub
- elm (*Ulmus*), shrub
- giant goldenrod (*Solidago gigantea*), other herbaceous

Pathway 1.1.A
Community 1.1 to 1.2

Lack of natural disturbance events for over 10 years.

Pathway 1.2.A
Community 1.2 to 1.1

Natural disturbance events 1-3 years.

State 2
Logged Forest

Composition is altered from the reference state depending on tree selection during harvest. This state will slowly increase in more shade tolerant species with selective harvesting techniques. Without periodic canopy disturbance, stem densities and more shade tolerant species will increase in abundance. Some periodic uncontrolled grazing may be occurring.

Dominant plant species

- common hackberry (*Celtis occidentalis*), tree
- elm (*Ulmus*), tree
- eastern cottonwood (*Populus deltoides*), tree
- roughleaf dogwood (*Cornus drummondii*), shrub
- Virginia wildrye (*Elymus virginicus*), grass

Community 2.1
Disturbed Woodland Community

Species composition is altered from the reference state due to disturbances.

Dominant plant species

- pin oak (*Quercus palustris*), tree
- elm (*Ulmus*), tree
- autumn olive (*Elaeagnus umbellata*), shrub
- sedge (*Carex*), grass

State 3
Cool Season Grassland

Conversion of other states to non-native cool season species such as tall fescue (*Schedonorus arundinaceus* (Schreb.) Dumort., nom. cons.), red top (Agrostis alba auct. non L.) and white clover (*Trifolium repens* L.) has been common. Occasionally, these pastures will have scattered pecans. Long term uncontrolled grazing can cause significant soil erosion and compaction. A return to the reference state may be impossible, requiring a very long term series of management options and transitions.

Dominant plant species

- tall fescue (*Schedonorus arundinaceus*), grass
- white clover (*Trifolium repens*), other herbaceous

Community 3.1
Cool Season Grassland Commmunity

A community dominated by seeded cool season grasses and legumes.

Dominant plant species

- tall fescue (*Schedonorus arundinaceus*), grass
- white clover (*Trifolium repens*), other herbaceous

State 4
Cropland

This is a state that exists currently with intensive cropping of corn (*Zea mays* L), soybeans (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.), and wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Some conversion to cool season hay land occurs, but when commodity prices are high, that alternative state transitions back to cropland.

Dominant plant species

- corn (*Zea mays*), grass
- wheat (*Triticum*), grass
- soybean (*Glycine max*), other herbaceous

Community 4.1
Cropland Community

Agricultural state with crops such as corn, beans, and winter wheat.

Dominant plant species

- corn (*Zea mays*), grass
- wheat (*Triticum*), grass
- soybean (*Glycine max*), other herbaceous

Transition T1A
State 1 to 2

Lack of natural disturbance events for greater than 20 years. Repeated timber harvests may occur.

Transition T1B
State 1 to 3

Woody removal with vegetative seeding and grassland management.

Transition T1C
State 1 to 4

Woody removal followed by tillage, water management, and conservation cropping systems.

Restoration pathway R2A
State 2 to 1

Forest stand improvement management implemented on the site.

Transition T2A
State 2 to 3

Woody removal; vegetative seeding; grassland management

Transition T2B
State 2 to 4

Woody removal; tillage; conservation cropping system; water management

Transition T3A
State 3 to 4

Tillage; conservation cropping system; water management

Transition T4A

State 4 to 3

Vegetative seeding; grassland management

Additional community tables

Inventory data references

No field plots were available for this site. A review of the scientific literature and professional experience were used to approximate the plant communities and ecological dynamics for this provisional ecological site. Information for the state-and-transition model was obtained from the same sources. All states and community phases are considered provisional based on the sources identified in ecological site description.

References

Anderson R. C., J. S. Fralish, and J. M. Baskin. 2007. Presettlement forests of Illinois. G. V. Burger, J. E. Ebinger, and G. S. Wilhelm, eds., Proceedings of the Oak Woods Management Workshop 9–19.

Anderson, R.C. 1982. An evolutionary model summarizing the roles of fire, climate, and grazing animals in the origin and maintenance of grasslands. Pages 297–308 in , , and , editors. Grasses and grasslands: systematics and ecology.

Anderson, R.C. and M.R. Anderson. 1975. The presettlement vegetation of Williamson County, Illinois.. Castanea 40:345–363.

Barrett, S.W. 1980. Indians and fire.. Western Wildlands 17–20.

Briggs, J.M., A.K. Knapp, and B.L. Brock. 2002. Expansion of woody plants in tallgrass prairie: a fifteen- year study of fire and fire-grazing interactions. The American Midland Naturalist 147:287–294.

Brinson, M.M. 1993. A hydrogeomorphic classification for wetlands.

Brugam, R.B., P.D. Kilburn, and L.L. Luecking. 2016. Pre-settlement Vegetation of Greene, Jersey and Macoupin Counties along the Prairie/Forest Border in Illinois.. Transactions of the Illinois State Academy of Science 109:9–17.

Cleland, D.T., J.A. Freeouf, J.E. Keys, G.J. Nowacki, C. Carpenter, and W.H. McNab. 2007. Ecological Subregions: Sections and Subsections of the Coterminous United States. USDA Forest Service, General Technical Report WO-76. Washington, DC. 1–92.

Coates, D.T., K.J. Lyman, and J.E. Ebinger. 1992. Woody vegetation structure of a post oak flatwoods in Illinois.. Castanea 57:196–201.

Comer, P.J., D. Faber-Langendoen, R. Evans, S. Gawler, C. Josse, G. Kittel, S. Menard, M. Pyne, M. Reid, K. Schulz, K. Snow, and J. Teague. 2003 (Date accessed). Ecological Systems of the United States: A Working Classification of U.S. Terrestrial Systems.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep water habitats of the United States.. U.S. Dept. of Interior, Fish & Wildlife Service, Office of Biological Services, Washington DC. FWS/OBS-79/31 1–142.

Dey, D.C. and J.M. Kabrick. 2015. Restoration of Midwestern oak woodlands and savannas.. Pages 401–428 in Restoration of Boreal and Temperate Forests, Second Edition. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, USA..

Edgin B., W. E. McClain, R. Gillespie, and J. E. Ebinger. 2003. Vegetation composition and structure of Eversgerd Post Oak Flatwoods, Clinton County, Illinois.. Northeast Naturalist 10:111–118.

Edgin, B. 1996. Barrens of pre-settlement Lawrence County, Illinois.. Pages 59–65 in Proceedings of the 15th North American Prairie Conference.

Edgin, B. and J.E. Ebinger. 1997. Barrens and the pre-settlement prairie/forest interface in Crawford County, Illinois.. Castanea 62:260–267.

Edgin, B., R. Beadles, and J.E. Ebinger. 2002. Woody Composition and Structure of Karcher's Post Oak Woods Nature Preserve, Hamilton County, Illinois.. Transactions of the Illinois State Academy of Science 95:251–259.

Illinois Department of Natural Resources (IDNR). March 2018 (Date accessed). Natural Divisions - Southern Till Plain..

Irland, L.C. 2000. Ice storms and forest impacts.. The Science of the Total Environment 262:231–242.

Kilburn, P. and R.B. Brugam. 2014. Inventory of Vegetation Studies in Illinois Based on the Public Land Survey Records.. Transactions of the Illinois State Academy of Science 107:13–17.

Mohlenbrock R. H. 2003. Vascular Flora of Illinois. Vascular Flora of Illinois, 3rd edition. Southern Illinois University Press, Carbondale, Illinois. 1–736.

Mohlenbrock R. H. and D. M. Ladd. 1978. Distribution of Illinois Vascular Plants. Southern Illinois Univ. Press, Carbondale and Edwardsville, IL. 281p.

National Cooperative Soil Survey (NCSS). 2018 (Date accessed). National Cooperative Soil Characterization Database. https://ncsslabdatamart.sc.egov.usda.gov/.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). 2018 (Date accessed). Climate Data 1980-2010. https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/data-access/land-based-station-data/find-station.

NatureServe. 2018 (Date accessed). Association Detail Report: CEGL002427. http://explorer.natureserve.org.

Nelson, P. 2010. The Terrestrial Natural Communities of Missouri. Revised edition. Missouri Natural Areas Committee, Department of Natural Resources and the Department of Conservation, Jefferson City. 549p.

Pyne, S.J., P.L. Andrews, and R.D. Laven. 1996. Introduction to Wildland Fire, Second Edition. Introduction to Wildland Fire, Second Edition. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York, New York. 1–808.

SSS NRCS OSD and . 2018 (Date accessed). Official Soil Series Descriptions. https://soilseries.sc.egov.usda.gov/osdname.aspx.

Schwegman, J.E., G.B. Fell, M.D. Hutchinson, G. Paulson, W.M. Shephard, and J. White. 1973. The natural divisions of Illinois. Comprehensive plan for the Illinois Nature Preserve system. Part 2. Illinois Nature Preserves Commission, Rockford, IL 1–32.

. 2018 (Date accessed). Web Soil Survey (SSS NRCS WSS) . https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/.

Taft, J.B., M.W. Schwartz, and L.R. Philippe. 1995. Vegetation ecology of flatwoods on the Illinoian till plain. Journal of Vegetation Science 6:647–666.

USDA, N. 2018 (Date accessed). The PLANTS Database. http://plants.usda.gov.

USGS. 2009 (Date accessed). Landfire National Vegetation Dynamics Models. http://www.LANDFIRE.gov/index.php.

United States Department of Agriculture, . 2022. Land Resource Regions and Major Land Resource Areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin.

United States Department of Agriculture, . 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin... USDA Handbook 296 1–682.

Voigt J. W. and R. H. Mohlenbrock. 1964. Plant communities of southern Illinois. Plant communities of southern Illinois. Southern Illinois University Press, Carbondale 1–202.

White J. 1978. Natural Areas Inventory Technical Report. Natural Areas Inventory Technical Report: Volume I, Survey Methods and Results. Illinois Natural Areas Inventory, Department of Landscape Architecture, University of Illinois at Urbana/Champaign 1–426.

White, J. and M. Madany. 1978. Classification of natural communities in Illinois (Appendix 30). In J. White, Illinois Natural Areas Inventory Technical Report. Volume 1: Survey Methods and Results. Illinois Natural Areas Inventory, Department of Landscape Architecture, University of Illinois at Urbana/Champaign. 310–405.

Contributors

Zach Weber
Doug Wallace
Ralph Tucker

Approval

Suzanne Mayne-Kinney, 5/17/2024

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	05/19/2024
Approved by	Suzanne Mayne-Kinney
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:**

2. **Presence of water flow patterns:**

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:**

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):**

5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:**

6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:**

7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):**

8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):**

9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):**

10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:**

11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):**

12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**

    Dominant:

    Sub-dominant:

    Other:

    Additional:

---

13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):**

---

14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):**

---

15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):**

---

16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:**

---

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:**
