

Ecological site R006XB009OR Wet Pumice Terrace 14-26 PZ

Accessed: 05/19/2024

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

Associated sites

R006XB011OR	Meadow Knoll 14-26 PZ The site is situated in the midst of wetter, meadow sites. It may also be associated with Meadow Knoll sites on remnant fans and terraces (from pre-Mazama materials). Complexes of Wet Pumice Terrace, Wet Pumice Meadow, and Meadow Swale are common, often with only micro-relief between sites.
-------------	---

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	Not specified
Herbaceous	Not specified

Physiographic features

Alluvial fan and terrace remnants.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Alluvial fan (2) Stream terrace
Flooding duration	Brief (2 to 7 days) to long (7 to 30 days)
Flooding frequency	Rare
Elevation	1,219–1,829 m
Slope	0–1%
Ponding depth	0 cm
Water table depth	0–152 cm
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Climatic features

This site is characterized by relatively short, hot summers and cold, snowy winters. The site receives approximately 20 inches of precipitation per year, the bulk of which is snowfall. There are frequent thunderstorms in the summer months.

Table 3. Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (average)	20 days
Freeze-free period (average)	49 days

Precipitation total (average)	635 mm
-------------------------------	--------




Figure 1. Monthly precipitation range




Figure 2. Monthly average minimum and maximum temperature

Influencing water features

None (usually adjacent to seasonally ponded wetlands).

Soil features

Soils for this site typically have a thick organic layer, layers of coarse pumice over clay. There is an apparent water table present for most of the year that comes to within 30 inches of the surface early in the growing season. These moderately aged soils have been deposited over older, remnant fans and terraces. Variations and intergrades of soil characteristics are common.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Surface texture	(1) Mucky loam
Family particle size	(1) Clayey
Drainage class	Somewhat poorly drained
Permeability class	Slow
Soil depth	97–152 cm
Surface fragment cover ≤3"	5%
Surface fragment cover >3"	5%
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	12.7–16.51 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-101.6cm)	1%

Electrical conductivity (0-101.6cm)	0–2 mmhos/cm
Sodium adsorption ratio (0-101.6cm)	1
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-101.6cm)	2
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	5%
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	5%

Ecological dynamics

This site occurs on alluvial fans and terraces adjacent to deeper and lower marshy sites or stream channels. The water table is apparently below the effective rooting depth for the grass species present for a major protion of the growing season (depth to water table during the period of rapid growth appears to have a significant influence on the plant community). Wet Pumice Terraces are slightly elevated moist to wet sites eithin larger complexes of meadow and wetlands sites. The interpretative plant community for this site is the Historic Climax Plant Community (HCPC).

State and transition model

Ecosystem states

1. HCPC, POTR5/AGTR-POSE3

State 1 submodel, plant communities

1.1. HCPC, POTR5/AGTR-POSE3

State 1
HCPC, POTR5/AGTR-POSE3

Community 1.1
HCPC, POTR5/AGTR-POSE3

Remnant Terrace (POTR5/AGTR-POSE): The site is characterized by the presence of Quaking Aspen and occasiaonally, Lodgepole Pine forming a sparse canopy over dense ground cover of various grasses, grass-likes, and forbs.

Table 5. Annual production by plant type

Plant Type	Low (Kg/Hectare)	Representative Value (Kg/Hectare)	High (Kg/Hectare)
Grass/Grasslike	2578	3307	4035
Forb	308	452	594
Tree	168	252	336
Shrub/Vine	50	65	78
Total	3104	4076	5043




Figure 4. Plant community growth curve (percent production by month).
 OR1841, B6 Wet Pumice Terrace A. State A: RPC (POTR5/DECE-POSE3) B6
 Wet Pumice Terrace .

Additional community tables

Table 6. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production (Kg/Hectare)	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass/Grasslike					
1				1868–2976	
	slender wheatgrass	ELTRT	<i>Elymus trachycaulus ssp. trachycaulus</i>	504–757	–
	Sierra rush	JUNE	<i>Juncus nevadensis</i>	353–504	–
	Kentucky bluegrass	POPR	<i>Poa pratensis</i>	252–504	–
	Sandberg bluegrass	POSE	<i>Poa secunda</i>	252–504	–
	Maui reedgrass	CAEX	<i>Calamagrostis expansa</i>	52–101	–
	Tracy's bluegrass	POTR	<i>Poa tracyi</i>	52–101	–
2				407–807	
	Kentucky bluegrass	POPR	<i>Poa pratensis</i>	252–504	–
	Tracy's bluegrass	POTR	<i>Poa tracyi</i>	52–101	–
	Maui reedgrass	CAEX	<i>Calamagrostis expansa</i>	52–101	–
	Nebraska sedge	CANE2	<i>Carex nebrascensis</i>	52–101	–
	needle spikerush	ELAC	<i>Eleocharis acicularis</i>	52–101	–
	meadow barley	HOB2	<i>Hordeum brachyantherum</i>	52–101	–
	prairie Junegrass	KOMA	<i>Koeleria macrantha</i>	52–101	–
	mat muhly	MURI	<i>Muhlenbergia richardsonis</i>	52–101	–
3				52–101	
	Nebraska sedge	CANE2	<i>Carex nebrascensis</i>	52–101	–
4				455–857	
	Sierra rush	JUNE	<i>Juncus nevadensis</i>	353–504	–
	needle spikerush	ELAC	<i>Eleocharis acicularis</i>	52–101	–

	needle spikeweed	LEAD	Eriogonum albidum	52-101	—
Forb					
3				308–594	
	slender cinquefoil	POGR9	Potentilla gracilis	151–252	—
	Chamisso arnica	ARCHI4	Arnica chamissonis ssp. foliosa var. incana	50–101	—
4				7–404	
	common yarrow	ACMI2	Achillea millefolium	7–101	—
	Tatarian aster	ASTA	Aster tataricus	7–101	—
	fleabane	ERIGE2	Erigeron	7–101	—
	Virginia strawberry	FRVI	Fragaria virginiana	7–101	—
	Rainier pleated gentian	GECA	Gentiana calycosa	7–101	—
	bingleaf lupine	LUPO2	Lupinus polyphyllus	7–101	—
	buttercup	RANUN	Ranunculus	7–101	—
5				202–353	
	cinquefoil	POTEN	Potentilla	151–252	—
	arnica	ARNIC	Arnica	50–101	—
6				7–404	
	common yarrow	ACMI2	Achillea millefolium	7–101	—
	aster	ASTER	Aster	7–101	—
	erigenia	ERIGE	Erigenia	7–101	—
	Virginia strawberry	FRVI	Fragaria virginiana	7–101	—
	old man's whiskers	GETR	Geum triflorum	7–101	—
	bingleaf lupine	LUPO2	Lupinus polyphyllus	7–101	—
	buttercup	RANUN	Ranunculus	7–101	—
Shrub/Vine					
5				50–78	
	golden currant	RIAU	Ribes aureum	50–101	—
7				50–78	
	golden currant	RIAU	Ribes aureum	50–101	—
Tree					
6				168–336	
	quaking aspen	POTR5	Populus tremuloides	101–252	—
	willow	SALIX	Salix	50–151	—
	lodgepole pine	PICO	Pinus contorta	50–101	—
8				168–336	
	quaking aspen	POTR5	Populus tremuloides	101–252	—
	coastal blacksnakeroot	SALA7	Sanicula laciniata	50–151	—
	lodgepole pine	PICO	Pinus contorta	50–101	—

Animal community

The site is an important source of forage for grazing animals. Mule deer, elk, anelope, and nesting birds use the site seasonally. The site is usually associated with stream channels which provide additional wildlife habitat, particularly

for birds. The site usually has a slightly higher elevation than adjacent wetter sites making it slightly drier. The presence of a tree canopy gives a measure of shade that is not found on adjacent sites.

Hydrological functions

The site has a moderate potential in low seral condition to produce run-off to receiving waters. In some years, the site may be flooded with water backed up in the adjacent wetter sites. There are usually fingers of wetter and lower sites threading throughout the site providing extra ground water that may move laterally through the Wet Pumice Terrace site. In good condition, the site provides stability to adjacent streambanks and floodplains; vegetation is usually resistant to flows. Woody materials add to the stability of stream systems and provide habitat for aquatic insects not found on adjacent, wetter meadow sites.

Recreational uses

There is little recreation use on this site other than big game hunting and bird watching.

Wood products

Generally not productive enough for harvest of wood products.

Other products

None

Other information

Grazing-The site is frequently used for grazing by domestic livestock and wildlife (mule deer, elk, and antelope). There are several species that are preferred that are available for most of the growing season. Forages stay green (and presumable high in protein and digestible organic matter) well into the fall each year. The site can be heavily used because the slightly higher elevation and convex shape of this site makes it drier than adjacent meadow sites and therefore more attractive for resting, ruminating, and grazing. Livestock may seek out the shade and cover from the woody plants on this site (adjacent wetter sites are treeless).

Wildlife- The site provides important habitat for grazing animals, shorebirds, raptors, and waterfowl. Lesser Sandhill Cranes may use the site in their search for food. The cranes scratch or till the ground to find and consume invertebrates. Larger grazing animals use the site for resting and shade. Raptors made use of the larger woody plants for perches and nests.

Contributors

Jeffrey P. Repp

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	
Approved by	
Approval date	

Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:**

2. **Presence of water flow patterns:**

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:**

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):**

5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:**

6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:**

7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):**

8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):**

9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):**

10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:**

11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):**

12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):**
-

14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):**
-

15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):**
-

16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:**
-

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:**
-