

Ecological site R007XY010OR Sandy Bottom 8-10 PZ

Accessed: 05/04/2024

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Jeff Repp
Contact for lead author	Oregon NRCS State Rangeland Management Specialist
Date	07/25/2012
Approved by	Bob Gillaspy
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

umber and extent of rills: None, slight sheet & rill erosion hazard esence of water flow patterns: None
esence of water flow patterns: None
umber and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: None
are ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not are ground): 5-12%
umber of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: None to few
ttent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None to few, moderate wind erosion hazard
nount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Fine - limited movement
1

	values): Moderately resistant to erosion; aggregate stability = 2-3
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Deep fine sandy loam and loam surface textures, mollisols, low OM (1-2%)
10.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Relatively high ground cover (50-70%) and low (0-3%) slopes should reduce rainfall impact and overland flow
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): None
12.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):
	Dominant: Basin wildrye > Needle and thread> creeping wildrye > other perennial grasses > basin big sage >= perennial forbs > other shrubs
	Sub-dominant:
	Other:
	Additional:
13.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Normal decadence and mortality expected
14.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Cover of mostly herbaceous and limited woody litter scattered throughout the site
15.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): Favorable: 5000, Normal: 3000, Unfavorable: 2000 lbs/acre/year at high RSI (HCPC)
16.	Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Rabbitbrush, broom snakeweed and sage brush may increase and reduce cover of herbaceous plants. Cheatgrass and Medusahead invade sites that have lost shallow rooted perennial grass functional groups

8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of

17.	Perennial plant reproductive capability: All species should be capable of reproducing annually