

Ecological site R010XA014OR Juniper Cinder Hills 10-12 PZ

Accessed: 04/30/2024

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.



Figure 1. Mapped extent

Areas shown in blue indicate the maximum mapped extent of this ecological site. Other ecological sites likely occur within the highlighted areas. It is also possible for this ecological site to occur outside of highlighted areas if detailed soil survey has not been completed or recently updated.

Associated sites

R010XA009OR Ju	niper Shrubby Pumice Flat 10-12 PZ
-----------------------	------------------------------------

Similar sites

R010XA007OF	Juniper Pumice South 9-12 PZ
-------------	------------------------------

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	(1) Juniperus occidentalis
Shrub	(1) Artemisia tridentata var. wyomingensis
Herbaceous	(1) Pseudoroegneria spicata ssp. spicata

Physiographic features

This site occurs on cinder buttes and ridges on all aspects.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Butte
-----------	-----------

Elevation	762–914 m			
Slope	0–50%			
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor			

Climatic features

The annual precipitation ranges from 10 to 12 inches which occurs mainly between the months of November and June in the form of rain and snow. The soil temperature regime is mesic. The average annual air temperature is 47 degrees F. with extreme temperatures ranging from -20 to 100 degrees F. The frost free period is 60 to 90 days. The optimum period for plant growth is from April through June.

Table 3. Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (average)	90 days
Freeze-free period (average)	0 days
Precipitation total (average)	305 mm

Influencing water features

Soil features

The soils of this site are very deep and some what excessively drained. Scoriaceous cinders occur at a depth of 10 to 20 inches. They are generally formed in volcanic ash over cinders. Permeability is moderately rapid and the available water holding capacity is 2 to 4 inches for the profile. The potential for water or wind erosion is high.

Table 4. Representative soil features


Drainage class	Somewhat excessively drained
Permeability class	Moderately rapid
Soil depth	152 cm
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	5.08–10.16 cm

Ecological dynamics

Burning reduces juniper and sagebrush cover but usually stimulates bluebunch wheatgrass.

Areas with more gravel and cinders on the surface have less herbaceous cover

State and transition model



GENERAL MODEL FOR COOL-SEASON BUNCHGRASS RANGELANDS

State 1 Historic Climax Plant Community

Community 1.1 Historic Climax Plant Community

The potential native plant community is dominated by an open stand of short, stunted western juniper and Wyoming big sagebrush. Bluebunch wheatgrass and Sandberg bluegrass dominate the ground layer.

Table 5. Annual production by plant type

Plant Type	Low (Kg/Hectare)	Representative Value (Kg/Hectare)	High (Kg/Hectare)
Grass/Grasslike	263	356	448
Shrub/Vine	90	121	151
Tree	28	43	56
Forb	11	17	22
Total	392	537	677

Figure 4. Plant community growth curve (percent production by month). OR4051, B10A Mesic, Mid Elev., N/A, Stony, Good Condition. HCPC Growth Curve B10A Mesic, Mid Elev., N/A, Stony, Good Condition - Cindery Hills & Lava Blisters.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
0	0	5	30	55	10	0	0	0	0	0	0

Additional community tables

Table 6. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production (Kg/Hectare)	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass	/Grasslike	•			
1	Dominant deep rooted	d perennial	grasses	224–308	
	bluebunch wheatgrass	PSSP6	Pseudoroegneria spicata	224–308	_
2	Sub-dominant deep ro	ooted pere	nnial grasses	11–56	
	Thurber's needlegrass	ACTH7	Achnatherum thurberianum	6–28	_
	Idaho fescue	FEID	Festuca idahoensis	6–28	_
3	Dominant shallow roo	ted perenr	nial grasses	28–84	
	Sandberg bluegrass	POSE	Poa secunda	28–84	_
Forb					
7	Dominant perennial forbs			11–22	
	common yarrow	ACMI2	Achillea millefolium	6–11	_
	spreading phlox	PHDI3	Phlox diffusa	6–11	_
Shrub	/Vine				
11	Dominant evergreen s	shrubs		84–140	
	Wyoming big sagebrush	ARTRW8	Artemisia tridentata ssp. wyomingensis	84–140	_
12	2 Sub-dominant evergreen shrubs			6–11	
	antelope bitterbrush	PUTR2	Purshia tridentata	6–11	_
Tree		-			
16	Dominant evergreen t	rees		28–56	
	western juniper	JUOC	Juniperus occidentalis	28–56	_

Animal community

Little wildlife use is made of this site.

Hydrological functions

The soils of this site have high infiltration rates and low runoff potential.

Wood products

Poorly suited for wood products.

Other products

Generally not widely use for grazing due to steep slopes and fragile soils.

Other information

Not suited for mechanical site preparation.

This is a marginal site for seeding due to the droughty nature of the soils. Low survival rates should be expected even with species compatible with the site i.e., crested wheatgrass, Siberian wheatgrass, Canby bluegrass, and Secar bluebunch wheatgrass.

Contributors

Cici Brooks E Ersch Gene Hickman K.Kennedy

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Jeff Repp and Bruce Frannsen
Contact for lead author	State Rangeland Management Specialist for NRCS - Oregon
Date	08/03/2012
Approved by	Bob Gillaspy
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

no	licators
1.	Number and extent of rills: None to some, Severe sheet & rill erosion hazard
2.	Presence of water flow patterns: None
3.	Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: None
4.	Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): 5-15%
5.	Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: None
6.	Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None to some, Severe wind erosion hazard
7.	Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Fine - limited movement

0.	values): Slightly resistant to erosion; aggregate stability = 2-4
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Deep (scoriaceous cinders occur at 10-20 inches), excessively drained sandy loam; low OM (1-2%)
10.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Moderate ground cover (45-60%) and steep slopes (to 50%) slightly limit rainfall impact and overland flow
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): None
12.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):
	Dominant: Bluebunch wheatgrass > Wyoming big sagebrush > Sandberg bluegrass = Western Juniper > other dominant grasses > forbs > Antelope bitterbrush
	Sub-dominant:
	Other:
	Additional:
13.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Normal decadence and mortality expected
14.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):
15.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): Favorable: 600, Normal: 500, Unfavorable: 300 lbs/acre/year at high RSI (HCPC)
16.	Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Perennial brush species will increase with deterioration of plant community. Western Juniper readily increases on the site. Cheatgrass and Medusahead invade sites that have lost deep rooted perennial grass

functional groups.

17.	Perennial plant reproductive capability: All species should be capable of reproducing annually