

## **Ecological site R010XA018OR Juniper Shrubby Loam 10-12 PZ**

Accessed: 05/05/2024

## Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Jeff Repp and Bruce Frannsen
Contact for lead author	State Rangeland Management Specialist for NRCS - Oregon
Date	08/03/2012
Approved by	Bob Gillaspy
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

## Indicators

ndicators		
Number and extent of rills: None, Slight sheet & rill erosion hazard		
Presence of water flow patterns: None		
Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: None		
Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): 5-10%		
Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: None		
Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None, Slight wind erosion hazard		
Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Fine - limited movement		

δ.	values): Significantly resistant to erosion; aggregate stability = 4-6
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Shallow to moderately deep, well drained loams and cobbly loams; moderate OM (1-3%)
10.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Significant ground cover (75-85%) and level to gently rolling slopes (0-20%) limit rainfall impact and overland flow
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): None
12.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):
	Dominant: Bluebunch wheatgrass > Idaho fescue > Sandberg bluegrass = Basin big sagebrush > Antelope bitterbrush = Western Juniper > other dominant grasses > other forbs > other grasses > other shrubs
	Sub-dominant:
	Other:
	Additional:
13.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Normal decadence and mortality expected
14.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth ( in):
15.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): Favorable: 1100, Normal: 900, Unfavorable: 700 lbs/acre/year at high RSI (HCPC)
16.	Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Perennial brush species will increase with deterioration of plant community. Western Juniper readily increases on the site. Chapteress and Medusahood invade sites that have lost does rected perennial grass.

functional groups.

17.	Perennial plant reproductive capability: All species should be capable of reproducing annually