

Ecological site R010XA022OR Juniper Lava Blisters 8-10 PZ

Accessed: 04/11/2024

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Jeff Repp and Bruce Frannsen.			
Contact for lead author	State Rangeland Management Specialist for NRCS Oregon.			
Date	04/24/2003			
Approved by	Bob Gillaspy			
Approval date				
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production			

Indicators

nc	ndicators						
1.	Number and extent of rills: None						
2.	Presence of water flow patterns: None						
3.	Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: None						
4.	Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): 15-30%						
5.	Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: None						
6.	Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None to some						
7.	Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Fine - limited movement						

δ.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Moderately to slightly resistant to erosion: aggregate stability = 2-4				
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): weak thir to coarse platy structure parting to weak fine granular structure, dry color value 5, 2-5 inches thick; low soil OM (0.5 - 4%).				
10.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Moderate to slight ground cover (30-40%) and slight to severe slopes (0-15% with some as high as 60% on sides of blisters) moderately limit rainfall impact and overland flow				
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): None				
12.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):				
	Dominant: Perennial deep-rooted cool-season bunch-grasses				
	Sub-dominant: Evergreen shrubs >= perennial shallow-rooted cool-season bunchgrasses > forbs				
	Other:				
	Additional:				
13.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Normal decadence and mortality expected				
14.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):				
15.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): Favorable: 800, Normal: 600, Unfavorable: 400 lbs/acre/year at high RSI				
16.	Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Cheatgrass and Medusahead invade sites that have lost deep rooted perennial grass functional groups				

o.o.mai piant iep	nial plant reproductive capability: All species should be capable of reproducing annually						