

Ecological site R010XA083OR Juniper Shrubby North 9-12 PZ

Accessed: 05/16/2024

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.



Figure 1. Mapped extent

Areas shown in blue indicate the maximum mapped extent of this ecological site. Other ecological sites likely occur within the highlighted areas. It is also possible for this ecological site to occur outside of highlighted areas if detailed soil survey has not been completed or recently updated.

Associated sites

R010XA007OR	Juniper Pumice South 9-12 PZ
R010XA009OR	Juniper Shrubby Pumice Flat 10-12 PZ
R010XA023OR	Juniper Lava Shrubby Blisters 10-12 PZ
R010XA026OR	Juniper Pumice North 10-12 PZ

Similar sites

	Juniper Pumice North 10-12 PZ
R010XA025OR	Juniper Shallow North 10-12 PZ

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	(1) Juniperus occidentalis
	(1) Purshia tridentata(2) Artemisia tridentata var. vaseyana
Herbaceous	(1) Festuca idahoensis(2) Pseudoroegneria spicata ssp. spicata

Physiographic features

This site occurs on gentle to moderately steep north aspects of buttes, ridges, and canyons.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Butte (2) Ridge (3) Canyon
Elevation	853–1,372 m
Slope	15–50%
Aspect	N

Climatic features

The annual precipitation ranges from 9 to 12 inches which occurs mainly between the months of November and June, mostly in the form of rain and snow. The soil temperature regime is mesic. The average annual air temperature is 48 degrees F. with extreme temperatures ranging from -10 to 104 degrees F. The frost free period is 60 to 100 days. The optimum period for plant growth is from November through June.

Table 3. Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (average)	100 days
Freeze-free period (average)	0 days
Precipitation total (average)	305 mm

Influencing water features

Soil features

The soils of this site are moderately deep to deep, well drained and coarse textured. They are generally formed in vocanic ash and colluvium. Permeability is moderate and the available water holding capacity is 3 to 6 inches for the profile. The potential for water erosion is low and for wind erosion is low to high.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Drainage class	Well drained
Permeability class	Moderate
Soil depth	102-152 cm
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	7.62–15.24 cm


Ecological dynamics

Juniper and big sagebrush decline following burning while rabbitbrush increases.

Increasers and invaders include cheatgrass and prickly gilia.

Increases in sand or pumice content of the soil will increase cover of Idaho fescue and bitterbrush.

State and transition model



GENERAL MODEL FOR COOL-SEASON BUNCHGRASS RANGELANDS

State 1 Reference State

Community 1.1 Reference Plant Community

The potential native plant community is dominated by western juniper, antelope bitterbrush, mountain big sagebrush, Idaho fescue, bluebunch wheatgrass, big bluegrass and needlegrass. Vegetative composition is approximately 65% grasses, 10% forbs, and 25% shrubs/trees.

Table 5. Annual production by plant type

Plant Type	Low (Kg/Hectare)	Representative Value (Kg/Hectare)	High (Kg/Hectare)
Grass/Grasslike	656	874	1020
Shrub/Vine	202	269	314
Forb	101	135	157
Tree	50	67	78
Total	1009	1345	1569

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
0	0	0	5	30	50	13	2	0	0	0	0

Additional community tables

Table 6. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production (Kg/Hectare)	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass	/Grasslike				
1	Dominant deep rooted	perennial	404–471		
	Idaho fescue	FEID	Festuca idahoensis	404–471	_
2	Sub-dominant deep roo	oted peren	nial grasses	108–296	
	bluebunch wheatgrass	PSSP6	Pseudoroegneria spicata	67–202	_
	Thurber's needlegrass	ACTH7	Achnatherum thurberianum	27–67	_
	needle and thread	HECO26	Hesperostipa comata	13–27	-
4	Sub-dominant shallow	rooted pe	rennial grasses	94–269	
	Sandberg bluegrass	POSE	Poa secunda	67–202	_
	prairie Junegrass	KOMA	Koeleria macrantha	27–67	_
Forb					
9	Other perennial forbs			27–135	
	common yarrow	ACMI2	Achillea millefolium	0–6	_
	agoseris	AGOSE	Agoseris	0–6	_
	woollypod milkvetch	ASPU9	Astragalus purshii	0–6	_
	Carey's balsamroot	BACA3	Balsamorhiza careyana	0–6	_
	parsnipflower buckwheat	ERHE2	Eriogonum heracleoides	0–6	-
	fleabane	ERIGE2	Erigeron	0–6	_
	lupine	LUPIN	Lupinus	0–6	_
	phacelia	PHACE	Phacelia	0–6	_
	salsify	TRPO	Tragopogon porrifolius	0–6	_
Shrub	/Vine	•			
11	Dominant evergreen sh	rubs		135–269	
	antelope bitterbrush	PUTR2	Purshia tridentata	135–269	_
12	Sub-dominant evergree	en shrubs		67–161	
	mountain big sagebrush	ARTRV	Artemisia tridentata ssp. vaseyana	27–67	_
	green rabbitbrush	ERTE18	Ericameria teretifolia	13–40	_
	slender buckwheat	ERMI4	Eriogonum microthecum	13–27	_
	rubber rabbitbrush	ERNA10	Ericameria nauseosa	13–27	_
14	Sub-dominant deciduo	us (or 1/2	shrubs) shrubs	13–27	
	wax currant	RICE	Ribes cereum	13–27	_
Tree		-		•	
16	Dominant evergreen tre	ees		67–135	
	western juniper	JUOC	Juniperus occidentalis	67–135	_

Hydrological functions

The soils of this site have high infiltration rates and low runoff potential.

Wood products

Firewood and posts.

Other products

Late season grazing will result in much greater use of bitterbrush then will spring or early summer grazing of the site.

Other information

Adapted species for range seedings include crested wheatgrass, sheep fescue, intermediate wheatgrass, big bluegrass, and secar bluebunch wheatgrass.

Other references

B10B site also similar to this site: Droughty North 9-12 PZ #010XB084OR

Contributors

Cici Brooks E Ersch Gene Hickman K.Kennedy

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Jeff Repp and Bruce Franssen
Contact for lead author	State Rangeland Management Specialist
Date	08/03/2012
Approved by	Bob Gillaspy
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

- 1. Number and extent of rills: None, Slight sheet & rill erosion hazard
- 2. Presence of water flow patterns: Rarely some on steeper slopes

3.	Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: None
4.	Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): 5-15%
5.	Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: None
6.	Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None to some, Slight to severe wind erosion hazard
7.	Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Fine - limited movement
8.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Slightly resistant to erosion; aggregate stability = 2-4
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Moderately deep and deep, well drained, loam, sandy loam, and fine sandy loams; low OM (1-2%)
10.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Significant ground cover (70-85%) and moderate to steep slopes (15-50%) moderately limit rainfall impact and overland flow
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): None
12.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):
	Dominant: Idaho fescue > Antelope bitterbrush > Bluebunch wheatgrass = Sandberg bluegrass > other dominant grasses > Western Juniper > forbs > Mountain big sagebrush > other dominant shrubs
	Sub-dominant:
	Other:
	Additional:
13.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Normal decadence and mortality expected

14.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):
15.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): Favorable: 1400, Normal: 1200, Unfavorable: 900 lbs/acre/year at high RSI (HCPC)
16.	Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Perennial brush species will increase with deterioration of plant community. Western Juniper readily increases on the site. Cheatgrass and Medusahead invade sites that have lost deep rooted perennial grass functional groups.
17.	Perennial plant reproductive capability: All species should be capable of reproducing annually