

Ecological site R010XC075OR SR Mountain Shallow North 12-16 PZ

Accessed: 05/03/2024

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Jeff Repp and Bruce Frannsen
Contact for lead author	NRCS Oregon State Rangeland Management Specialist
Date	04/24/2003
Approved by	Bob Gillaspy
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

ndicators					
Number and extent of rills: None to some					
Presence of water flow patterns: None to some					
Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: None to very few (some frost heaving)					
Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): 0-10%					
Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: None					
Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None					
Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Fine - limited movement					

8.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Moderately resistant to erosion: aggregate stability = 3-5					
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Moderate fine granular to subangular blocky structure, dry color value 4-5, 4-6 inches thick; moderate OM (1-2%)					
10.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Significant ground cover (70-80%) moderately to significantly limit rainfall impact and overland flow on these gentle to steep slopes (12-60%)					
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): None					
12.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):					
	Dominant: Perennial, deep-rooted, cool-season bunchgrasses					
	Sub-dominant: Evergreen shrubs					
	Other: Perennial forbs = other perennial grasses = other shrubs					
	Additional:					
13.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Normal decadence and mortality expected					
14.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):					
15.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): Favorable: 1200, Normal: 900, Unfavorable: 600 lbs/acre/year at high RSI					
16.	Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Western Juniper readily invades the site. Cheatgrass and Medusahead invade sites that have lost deep rooted perennial grass functional groups					
17.	Perennial plant reproductive capability: All species should be capable of reproducing annually					