

## Ecological site R010XY001ID North Slope Loamy 12-16 PZ FEID-PSSPS

Last updated: 9/23/2020 Accessed: 07/27/2024

## Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Dave Franzen and Jacy Gibbs Intermountain Range Consultants 17700 Fargo Rd. Wilder, ID 83676
Contact for lead author	Brendan Brazee, State Rangeland Management Specialist USDA-NRCS 9173 W. Barnes Drive, Suite C, Boise, ID 83709
Date	03/25/2008
Approved by	Kendra Moseley
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

## **Indicators**

1.	Number and extent of rills: can occur on this site. If rills are present they are likely to occur immediately following wildfire. Rills are most likely to occur on soils with surface textures of silt loam and clay loam.
2.	<b>Presence of water flow patterns:</b> occur on the site. They occur as short and disrupted flows. They are disrupted by cool season grasses and tall shrubs and are not extensive.
3.	Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: are rare on this site. Where flow patterns and/or rills are present, a few pedestals may be expected. Terracettes can occur as deposits behind large bunchgrasses and shrubs. They typically are not extensive.

bare ground): data is not available. On sites in mid-seral status bare ground may range from 15-25 percent.

4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not

5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: do not occur on this site.

2.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):  Dominant: cool season deep-rooted perennial bunchgrasses.  Sub-dominant: tall shrubs>perennial forbs>shallow rooted bunchgrasses.  Other:  Additional:
2.	foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):  Dominant: cool season deep-rooted perennial bunchgrasses.  Sub-dominant: tall shrubs>perennial forbs>shallow rooted bunchgrasses.
2.	foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):  Dominant: cool season deep-rooted perennial bunchgrasses.
2.	foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):
2.	
1.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): not present.
0.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: bunchgrasses, especially deep-rooted perennials, slow run-off and increase infiltration. Tall shrubs intercept raindrops and increase infiltration. Tall shrubs accumulate snow in the interspaces.
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): the A or A1 horizon is typically 6-15 inches thick. Structure ranges from weak think platy to strong thick platy. Soil organic matter (SOM) ranges from 1 to 5 percent.
8.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Values should range from 4 to 6 but needs to be tested.
7.	Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): fine litter in the interspaces may move up to 3 feet following a significant run-off event. Coarse litter generally does not move.

Perennial grasses produce 60-70 percent of the total production, forbs 10-20 percent and shrubs 15-25 percent.

Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: include cheatgrass, bulbous bluegrass, whitetop, rush skeletonweed, leafy spurge, musk, Canadian and scotch thistle and diffuse and spotted knapweed.
Perennial plant reproductive capability: all functional groups have the potential to reproduce in most years.