

Ecological site R010XY011ID South Slope Stony 12-16 PZ ARTRT/PSSPS

Last updated: 9/23/2020 Accessed: 09/21/2024

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

| Author(s)/participant(s) | Dave Franzen and Jacy Gibbs Intermountain Range Consultants 17700 Fargo Rd. Wilder, ID 83676 |
|---|--|
| Contact for lead author | Brendan Brazee, State Rangeland Management Specialist USDA-NRCS 9173 W. Barnes Drive, Suite C, Boise, ID 83709 |
| Date | 03/26/2008 |
| Approved by | Kendra Moseley |
| Approval date | |
| Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on | Annual Production |

Indicators

| 1. | Number and extent of rills: can occur on this site due to steep slopes, limited water-holding capacity and percent bare ground. Gravel and stones on the surface reduces erosion. |
|----|--|
| 2. | Presence of water flow patterns: are common on this site. When they occur they may be short and not extensive. |
| 3. | Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: are common on this site. Terracettes develop uphill from the large bunchgrasses and shrubs. |
| 4. | Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): ranges from 30-40 percent. |

5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: do not occur on this site.

| | Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: usually does not occur. |
|---|--|
| • | Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): fine litter in the interspaces may move up to 5 feet or further following a significant run-off event. Terracettes and rocks can trap fine litter. Coarse litter generally does not move. |
| • | Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): values should range from 4 to 6, but needs to be tested. |
| | Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): the A or A1 horizon is typically 3 to 11 inches thick. Structure ranges from weak very fine granular to moderate coarse granular. Soil organic matter (SOM) ranges from 1 to 4 percent. |
| | Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: bunchgrasses, especially deep-rooted perennials, slow run-off and increase infiltration. Tall shrubs accumulate snow in the interspaces. Terracettes provide a favorable micro-site for vegetative establishment which further increases infiltration. |
| | Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): not present. |
| | Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to): |
| | Dominant: cool season deep-rooted perennial bunchgrasses |
| | Sub-dominant: tall shrubs= perennial forbs |
| | Other: |
| | |
| | Additional: |
| | Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): basin big sagebrush and antelope bitterbrush will become decadent in the absence of fire and ungulate grazing. Grass and forb mortality will occur as tall shrubs increase. |

| production): 600 lbs. per acre in a year with normal precipitation and temperatures. Production is normally low due to |
|---|
| low infiltration, steep south aspect and moderate water capacity. Perennial grasses produce 55-65 percent of the total, |
| forbs 15-25 percent, and shrubs 15-25 percent. |
| |

| 16. | Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize |
|-----|--|
| | degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if |
| | their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that |
| | become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not |
| | invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state |
| | for the ecological site: cheatgrass, medusahead, bulbous bluegrass, rush skeletonweed, scotch thistle, spotted and |
| | diffuse knapweed. |

| 17. Perennial plant reproductive capability: all functional groups have the potential to reprodu | ice in favorable years. |
|--|-------------------------|
|--|-------------------------|