

Ecological site R010XY120OR Loamy Fan 9-12 PZ

Accessed: 05/13/2024

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.



Figure 1. Mapped extent

Areas shown in blue indicate the maximum mapped extent of this ecological site. Other ecological sites likely occur within the highlighted areas. It is also possible for this ecological site to occur outside of highlighted areas if detailed soil survey has not been completed or recently updated.

Associated sites

| R010XY005OR | Loamy Bottom |
|-------------|--|
| | Loamy bottom, mesic, 1500#/acre normal |

Similar sites

| R010XY005OR | Loamy Bottom |
|-------------|--|
| | Loamy bottom, mesic, 1500#/acre normal |

| Table | 1. | Dominant | plant | species |
|-------|----|----------|-------|---------|
|-------|----|----------|-------|---------|

| Tree | Not specified |
|------------|---|
| Shrub | (1) Artemisia tridentata ssp. tridentata |
| Herbaceous | (1) Pseudoroegneria spicata ssp. spicata(2) Achnatherum thurberianum |

Physiographic features

This site occurs on fans near and at the outlets of ephemeral streams. Braiding is common. It occurs along major rivers and streams as a series of coalescing fans. Slopes range from 2 to 15%. Elevations range from 1,300 to

| Landforms | (1) Fan |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|
| Elevation | 396–1,097 m |
| Slope | 2–15% |
| Water table depth | 152 cm |
| Aspect | Aspect is not a significant factor |

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Climatic features

The annual precipitation ranges from 9 to 12 inches, which occurs in the form of rain and snow during the months of November through March. A seasonal supply of deep subsurface moisture augments the precipitation. Localized convectional storms occasionally occur during the summer. The soil temperature regime is mesic with a mean annual air temperature of 52 degrees F. Temperature extremes range from 100 to -20 degrees F. The frost-free period ranges from 90 to 140 days. The optimum growth period for native plants is from April through July.

Table 3. Representative climatic features

| Frost-free period (average) | 140 days |
|-------------------------------|----------|
| Freeze-free period (average) | 0 days |
| Precipitation total (average) | 305 mm |

Influencing water features

Soil features

The soils of this site are recent, deep to very deep, skeletal and well drained. Typically, the surface layer is a gravelly loam about 30 inches thick. The subsoil is a gravelly loam over 20 inches thick. The substratum is alluvium. Permeability is moderate. The available water holding capacity (AWC) is about 6 to 8 inches for the profile. Deep seasonal subsurface flows augment the available water. The potential for erosion is moderate.

| Surface texture | (1) Very gravelly loam |
|---|------------------------|
| Family particle size | (1) Loamy |
| Drainage class | Well drained |
| Permeability class | Moderate |
| Soil depth | 127 cm |
| Available water capacity (0-101.6cm) | 15.24–20.32 cm |

Table 4. Representative soil features

Ecological dynamics


Range in Characteristics:

Basin wildrye depends on the extent and duration of lateral subsurface water flows. Bluebunch wheatgrass and needlegrasses increase on drier areas with limited subsurface flows. Production follows a similar pattern, increasing on fans that receive late subsurface flows from large drainage areas. As a site susceptible to fire, the amount of basin big sagebrush and juniper, when present, is influenced by fire frequency.

Response to Disturbance:

If the condition of the site deteriorates as a result of overgrazing, basin wildrye decreases. Bluebunch wheatgrass and needle-and-thread increase. With further deterioration, basin big sagebrush, and Sandberg bluegrass increase. Basin big sagebrush effectively replaces basin wildrye in the use of deep moisture. Annuals and juniper, when present, invades and useable forage production decreases. Streambanks become unstable from loss of vegetation and channels degrade, becoming wider in the process. Bare ground increases, filtering capacity is lost and downstream sedimentation increases.

State and transition model



GENERAL MODEL FOR COOL-SEASON BUNCHGRASS RANGELANDS

State 1 Reference Plant Community

Community 1.1 Reference Plant Community

The potential native plant community is dominated by basin wildrye and bluebunch wheatgrass. Basin big sagebrush, antelope bitterbrush, and Thurber needlegrass are common in the stand. Needle-and-thread, big bluegrass, Sandberg bluegrass, and a variety of forbs are present. Vegetative composition of the community is approximately 80 percent grasses, 5 percent forbs, and 15 percent shrubs. Approximate ground cover is 70-80 percent (basal and crown).

Table 5. Annual production by plant type

| Plant Type | Low (Kg/Hectare) | Representative Value (Kg/Hectare) | High (Kg/Hectare) |
|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Grass/Grasslike | 857 | 1367 | 1883 |
| Shrub/Vine | 67 | 157 | 252 |
| Forb | 67 | 118 | 168 |
| Total | 991 | 1642 | 2303 |

Figure 4. Plant community growth curve (percent production by month). OR4161, B10 JD FAN & SWALE 9-16. B10B FAN, SWALE, Gumbo, & JD Sandy Lm 9-16 RPC Growth Curve.

| Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | Мау | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 30 | 35 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |

Additional community tables

Table 6. Community 1.1 plant community composition

| Group | Common Name | Symbol | Scientific Name | Annual Production (Kg/Hectare) | Foliar Cover (%) |
|-------|-------------------------------|-----------|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Grass | /Grasslike | | | · | |
| 1 | Perennial, deep-rooted, d | ominant | | 504–841 | |
| | bluebunch wheatgrass | PSSP6 | Pseudoroegneria spicata | 504–841 | _ |
| 2 | Perennial, deep-rooted, s | ub-domina | ant | 336–1009 | |
| | Thurber's needlegrass | ACTH7 | Achnatherum thurberianum | 168–504 | _ |
| | basin wildrye | LECI4 | Leymus cinereus | 168–504 | _ |
| 5 | Other perennial grasses, | all | | 17–34 | |
| | squirreltail | ELEL5 | Elymus elymoides | 0–11 | _ |
| | needle and thread | HECO26 | Hesperostipa comata | 0–11 | _ |
| | sand dropseed | SPCR | Sporobolus cryptandrus | 0–11 | _ |
| Forb | | | | | |
| 7 | Perennial, all, dominant | | | 50–101 | |
| | milkvetch | ASTRA | Astragalus | 17–34 | - |
| | buckwheat | ERIOG | Eriogonum | 17–34 | _ |
| | lupine | LUPIN | Lupinus | 17–34 | _ |
| 9 | Other perennial forbs, all | | | 17–67 | |
| | common yarrow | ACMI2 | Achillea millefolium | 0–6 | _ |
| | white sagebrush | ARLU | Artemisia ludoviciana | 0–6 | _ |
| | arrowleaf balsamroot | BASA3 | Balsamorhiza sagittata | 0–6 | _ |
| | tapertip hawksbeard | CRAC2 | Crepis acuminata | 0–6 | _ |
| | fleabane | ERIGE2 | Erigeron | 0–6 | _ |
| | western stoneseed | LIRU4 | Lithospermum ruderale | 0–6 | _ |
| | desertparsley | LOMAT | Lomatium | 0–6 | _ |
| | phacelia | PHACE | Phacelia | 0–6 | _ |
| | phlox | PHLOX | Phlox | 0–6 | _ |
| | gooseberryleaf globemallow | SPGR2 | Sphaeralcea grossulariifolia | 0–6 | _ |
| Shrub | /Vine | Į | Į Į_ | I | |
| 11 | Perennial, evergreen, doi | ninant | | 34–168 | |
| | basin big sagebrush | ARTRT | Artemisia tridentata ssp. tridentata | 34–168 | - |
| 15 | Other perennial shrubs, a | all | <u> </u> | 34–84 | |
| | rabbitbrush | CHRYS9 | Chrysothamnus | 0–22 | _ |
| | broom snakeweed | GUSA2 | Gutierrezia sarothrae | 0–22 | _ |
| | antelope bitterbrush | PUTR2 | Purshia tridentata | 0–22 | _ |
| | greasewood | SAVE4 | Sarcobatus vermiculatus | 0–22 | _ |

Animal community

Livestock Grazing:

This site is suited to use by cattle, sheep, and horses in spring, summer, and fall under a planned grazing system. Limitations in the spring are wet soils and unstable banks. Use should be postponed until the soils are firm enough to prevent trampling damage and soil compaction, yet while soil moisture is adequate to allow the completion of the plant growth cycle. As a sediment deposition area, improvement and/or maintenance of herbeceous cover should

be considered during all seasons, particularly in the fall for winter and spring high flow periods.

Native Wildlife Associated With The Potential Climax Community:

Mule deer Songbirds Hawks Rodents

This site will offer food and cover for mule deer, elk, rodents, and a variety of birds. It is an important wintering area for mule deer and elk.

Hydrological functions

The soils are in hydrologic group B. The soils of this site have moderately low runoff potential. This site is potentially subject to three high flow periods: low elevation snowmelt, high elevation snowmelt, and summer cloudburst flow.

Wood products

This site is susceptible to increase in western juniper. Where this has occured, the site will yield fence posts, firewood, and specialty products.

Other information

The soils in this site have good water holding capacities providing late season water for plant growth and slow water releases to streams. Increase in western juniper and the subsequent competition for moisture will lead to a reduction of available forage. Overgrazing can easily reduce ground cover and accelerate soil loss. Improving infiltration and permeability, and reducing runoff should be the immediate goal of juniper control. When incised channels are present, rehabilitation will markedly improve production, reduce downstream sedimentation and restore good hydrologic characteristics. On altered sites, the reintroduction of basin wildrye may be needed to fully restore the site potential.

Contributors

Cici Brooks J. Thompson, A. Bahn M. Parks (OSU)

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

| Author(s)/participant(s) | |
|---|-------------------|
| Contact for lead author | |
| Date | |
| Approved by | |
| Approval date | |
| Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on | Annual Production |

Indicators

- 1. Number and extent of rills:
- 2. Presence of water flow patterns:
- 3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:
- 4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):
- 5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:
- 6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:
- 7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):
- 8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages most sites will show a range of values):
- 9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):
- 10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:
- 11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):
- 12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

- 13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):
- 14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):
- 15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annualproduction):
- 16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:
- 17. Perennial plant reproductive capability: