

## Ecological site R012XY037ID Ceanothus Thicket 16-22 PZ CEVE

Last updated: 9/22/2020 Accessed: 04/20/2024

## Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	USDA/Natural Resources Conservation Service Brendan Brazee, State Range Conservationist 9173 W. Barnes Drive, Suite C Boise, ID 83709 (208) 378-5722
Date	01/16/2008
Approved by	Kendra Moseley
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

## **Indicators**

1.	<b>Number and extent of rills:</b> Rills rarely occur on this site. If rills are present, they are most likely to occur on slopes
	greater than 15 percent and immediately following wildfire. Rills are most likely to occur on soils with surface textures of
	silt loam.

- 2. **Presence of water flow patterns:** Water flow patterns rarely occur on this site. When they occur, they are most likely to occur on slopes greater than 15 percent; are short, disrupted by cool season perennial grasses and tall shrubs and are not extensive.
- 3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:** Both are rare on this site. In areas where flow patterns and /or rills are present, a few pedestals may be expected.
- 4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): Data not available. On sites in mid-seral status, bare ground may range from 20-40 percent.
- 5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: None.

6.	Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: Wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas usually do not occur.
7.	Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Fine litter in the interspaces may move up to 2 feet following a significant run-off event. Terracettes and bunchgrass can trap fine litter. Coarse litter generally does not move.
8.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Values should range from 3-5 but need to be tested.
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):
0.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Bunchgrasses, especially deep-rooted, slow run-off and increase infiltration. Shrubs accumulate snow in the interspaces.
1.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): Compaction layer is not present.
	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):
	Dominant:
	Sub-dominant:
	Other:
	Additional:
3.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Snowbrush will become decadent in the absence of fire. Grass and forb mortality will occur as tall shrubs increase immediately following a wildfire.
4.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Annual litter cover in the interspaces will be 20-30 percent to a depth of <0.2. Under the mature shrubs litter is greater than 0.5 inches. Fine litter can accumulate on the terracettes.
5.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): Annual production is 1250 lbs. per acre in a year with normal precipitation and temperatures. Perennial

grasses produce 5-10 percent of the total, forbs 5-10 percent and shrubs 80-85 percent.				

16.	Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize
	degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if
	their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that
	become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not
	invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state
	for the ecological site: Invasive species includes Kentucky bluegrass, Rocky Mountain juniper, spotted and diffuse
	knapweed, leafy spurge and Canada thistle.

17. Perennial plant reproductive capability:	All functional groups have the potential to reproduce in most years.