

# Ecological site F018XC201CA

## Thermic Granitic Foothills

Last updated: 4/24/2024  
Accessed: 05/20/2024

---

### General information

**Provisional.** A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

### MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 018X–Sierra Nevada Foothills

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA) 18, Sierra Nevada Foothills is located entirely in California and runs north to south adjacent to and down-slope of the west side of the Sierra Nevada Mountains (MLRA 22A). MLRA 18 includes rolling to steep dissected hills and low mountains, with several very steep river valleys. Climate is distinctively Mediterranean (xeric soil moisture regime) with hot, dry summers, and relatively cool, wet winters. Most of the precipitation comes as rain; average annual precipitation ranges from 15 to 55 inches in most of the area (precipitation generally increases with elevation and from south to north). Soil temperature regime is thermic; mean annual air temperature generally ranges between 52 and 64 degrees F. Geology is rather complex in this region; there were several volcanic flow and ashfall events, as well as tectonic uplift, during the past 25 million years that contributed to the current landscape.

### LRU notes

LRU 18XC is located on moderate to steep mountains and hills in the Sierra Nevada Foothills east of Fresno, CA. The major differences between the southern and northern foothills are the dryer climate (12 to 37 inches of annual precipitation), greater summer/winter temperature variation, and steeper topography of the southern foothills. The geology of this region is predominately granitoid. The elevation ranges between 300 and 4100 feet above sea level. Warmer temperatures and lower precipitation (than at higher latitudes) allow for blue oak grasslands to exist at higher elevations. The soil temperature regime is primarily thermic, however some mesic soils are found at higher elevations of 18XC. At these upper elevations, the break in soil temperature regime (between thermic and mesic) is highly aspect dependent. Southern and western aspects at the steep, high elevations promote chamise-yucca plant assemblages. Buckeye is common in the concave positions. Riparian trees that are generally absent from the northern LRU's include California Sycamore (*Plantanus racemosa*) and lemon scented gum (*Eucalyptus citriodora*).

### Classification relationships

#### CLASSIFICATION RELATIONSHIPS

This site is located within M261F, the Sierra Nevada Foothills Section, (McNab et al., 2007) of the National Hierarchical Framework of Ecological Units (Cleland et al., 1997), M261Fc, the Lower Granitic Foothills and M261Fd, Southern Granitic Foothills Subsections.

Level III and Level IV ecoregions systems (Omernik, 1987, and EPA, 2011) are: Level III, Central California Foothills and Coastal Mountains and Level IV, Ecoregion 6c, Southern Sierran Foothills.

### Ecological site concept

This site is characterized by moderately deep to very deep soils occurring on all hillslope positions except toeslopes on foothills and mountains formed from granitic parent material. Slopes typically range from 6 to 60%. Annual

precipitation typically ranges from 23 to 27 inches per year. Elevation typically ranges from 1000 to 4350 feet.

The high available water capacity associated with these moderately deep to very deep soils leads to productive woodlands. However, soils derived from granitic materials tend to be subject to erosion because of their coarse-textured surface horizons. This becomes more apparent in chaparral dominated states, where intense fires are more common. The most representative soil components include Ahwahnee and Sierra. Production can be expected to be lower on the Ahwahnee soils due to the coarse-loamy and relatively shallow soil when compared to Sierra, however both soils would likely respond similarly to disturbance and management, particularly after erosion. Sierra soils may favor slightly larger trees, especially in the undisturbed state and in the lower sloping positions. Ahwahnee soils are moderately deep and are classified as coarse-loamy, mixed, active, thermic Mollic Haploxeralfs. Sierra soils are very deep and are classified as fine-loamy, mixed, active, thermic Ultic Haploxeralfs.

Vegetation includes open oak woodland with scattered California foothill pines (*Pinus sabiniana*) and buckbrush (*Ceanothus cuneatus*). Blue oak (*Quercus douglasii*) tends to be dominant in the overstory component. Scattered interior live oak (*Quercus wislizeni*) also occurs. Herbaceous annuals such as soft chess (*Bromus hordeaceus*), ripgut brome (*Bromus diandrus*), wild oat (*Avena fatua*), and fillaree (*Erodium* spp.) tend to dominate in the understory and collectively range from 2000 to 3500 lbs per acre.

### Associated sites

|             |   |
|-------------|---|
| F018XI201CA | <b>Moderately Deep Thermic Foothills</b><br>This site commonly occurs nearby. |
| F018XI202CA | <b>Deep Thermic Steep Hillslopes</b><br>This site commonly occurs nearby.     |

### Similar sites

|             |   |
|-------------|---|
| F018XC203CA | <b>Cool Thermic Slopes</b><br>Site relationships being developed. |
|-------------|---|

**Table 1. Dominant plant species**

|            |  |
|------------|--|
| Tree       | (1) <i>Quercus douglasii</i><br>(2) <i>Pinus sabiniana</i> |
| Shrub      | (1) <i>Ceanothus cuneatus</i>                              |
| Herbaceous | (1) <i>Bromus hordeaceus</i><br>(2) <i>Avena fatua</i>     |

### Physiographic features

The landscape position of this site is on all hillslope positions except toeslopes on soils formed from granitic parent material. Elevation typically ranges from 1000 to 4350 feet. Slopes typically range from 6 to 60%.

**Table 2. Representative physiographic features**

|                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| Hillslope profile  | (1) Summit<br>(2) Shoulder<br>(3) Backslope<br>(4) Footslope |
| Landforms          | (1) Foothills > Hillslope<br>(2) Foothills > Hill            |
| Runoff class       | High   |
| Flooding frequency | None   |
| Ponding frequency  | None   |
| Elevation          | 381–914 m  |

|        |              |
|--------|--------------|
| Slope  | 10–60%       |
| Aspect | W, SE, S, SW |

**Table 3. Representative physiographic features (actual ranges)**


|                    |                     |
|--------------------|---------------------|
| Runoff class       | Medium to very high |
| Flooding frequency | None                |
| Ponding frequency  | None                |
| Elevation          | 61–1,494 m          |
| Slope              | 2–75%               |

## Climatic features


This ecological site is characterized by hot, dry summers and cool, wet winters, a typical Mediterranean climate. Mean annual precipitation ranges from 23 to 27 inches and usually falls from October to May. Mean annual temperature is 59 to 62 degrees F with 155 to 193 frost free days.

**Table 4. Representative climatic features**


|  |              |
|--|--------------|
| Frost-free period (characteristic range)   | 155-193 days |
| Freeze-free period (characteristic range)  | 287-365 days |
| Precipitation total (characteristic range) | 584-686 mm   |
| Frost-free period (actual range)           | 118-195 days |
| Freeze-free period (actual range)          | 199-365 days |
| Precipitation total (actual range)         | 533-686 mm   |
| Frost-free period (average)                | 170 days     |
| Freeze-free period (average)               | 316 days     |
| Precipitation total (average)              | 635 mm       |




**Figure 1. Monthly precipitation range**




**Figure 2. Monthly minimum temperature range**




**Figure 3. Monthly maximum temperature range**



**Figure 4. Monthly average minimum and maximum temperature**



**Figure 5. Annual precipitation pattern**



**Figure 6. Annual average temperature pattern**

### **Climate stations used**

- (1) ASH MTN [USC00040343], Three Rivers, CA
- (2) AUBERRY 2 NW [USC00040379], Auberry, CA
- (3) THREE RVRS EDISON PH 1 [USC00048917], Three Rivers, CA
- (4) GLENNVILLE [USC00043463], Glennville, CA

### **Influencing water features**

Due to the topographic position, this site does not have water features.

### **Wetland description**

N/A

### **Soil features**

The soils in this ecological site are formed from the residuum of granite, diorite, and quartz diorite rock types. The typical depth ranges from moderately to very deep, and the bedrock is a restrictive layer found between 28 and 70 inches of depth. The particle size control section is fine-loamy and surface textures include sandy loam, coarse sandy loam and loam. Gravels (< 3 inch diameter) cover up to 13% of the soil surface, while larger fragments (= 3 inch diameter) only occupy up to 3% percent of the soil surface. Subsurface gravels make up 0 to 7% of the soil volume while larger fragments are virtually absent from the profile. The soils in this ecological site are well drained and the permeability is typically moderate but can be moderately rapid to rapid. The Available Water Capacity (AWC) is between 3.2 and 6.2 inches and soil reaction in the top 10 inches of the soil ranges from 6.1 to 6.7 while in the subsoil the pH ranges from 5.9 to 6.7.

Common soil components in this ecological site include Auberry and Sierra, both of which are fine-loamy ultic haploxeralfs. Auberry is deep and Sierra is deep to very deep. Blasingame components are also common under this ecological site. This soil is moderately deep, fine-loamy, and a typical haploxeralf. These soils are all derived from intrusive igneous parent materials, ranging from acidic (Auberry) to more basic (Blasingame).



Figure 7. Soil profile of a Sierra soil series, taken in Sequoia National Park in 2013.

Table 5. Representative soil features

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Parent material                               | (1) Residuum–granite<br>(2) Residuum–quartz-diorite<br>(3) Residuum–diorite |
| Surface texture                               | (1) Sandy loam<br>(2) Coarse sandy loam<br>(3) Loam                         |
| Family particle size                          | (1) Fine-loamy  |
| Drainage class                                | Well drained  |
| Permeability class                            | Moderate  |
| Depth to restrictive layer                    | 71–183 cm   |
| Soil depth                                    | 71–183 cm   |
| Surface fragment cover ≤3"                    | 0–13%   |
| Surface fragment cover >3"                    | 0–3%  |
| Available water capacity<br>(0-101.6cm)       | 8.13–15.75 cm   |
| Soil reaction (1:1 water)<br>(0-25.4cm)       | 6.1–6.7   |
| Subsurface fragment volume ≤3"<br>(0-152.4cm) | 0–7%  |
| Subsurface fragment volume >3"<br>(0-152.4cm) | 0–1%  |

Table 6. Representative soil features (actual values)

|   |                   |
|---|-------------------|
| Drainage class                          | Well drained      |
| Permeability class                      | Moderate to rapid |
| Depth to restrictive layer              | 51–203 cm         |
| Soil depth                              | 51–203 cm         |
| Surface fragment cover ≤3"              | 0–20%             |
| Surface fragment cover >3"              | 0–10%             |
| Available water capacity<br>(0-101.6cm) | 4.83–20.32 cm     |

|   |         |
|---|---------|
| Soil reaction (1:1 water)<br>(0-25.4cm)       | 5.1–7.8 |
| Subsurface fragment volume ≤3"<br>(0-152.4cm) | 0–17%   |
| Subsurface fragment volume >3"<br>(0-152.4cm) | 0–5%    |

## Ecological dynamics

This ecological site exists along the mid elevations of the Sierra Nevada Foothills and consists of blue oak-foothill pine woodlands dominated by annual grasses and forbs in the understory. Blue oak woodland is the most extended hardwood cover in California (Bolsinger, 1988) distributed primarily around the ranges surrounding the Sacramento and San Joaquin valleys. Historically, many native forbs and some perennial bunchgrasses (Bartolome, 1987) may have been found on this site, but there is a chasm of different opinions concerning which plant lifeform was prevailing at the time that the first Spanish settlers arrived. It is uncertain when exactly most of the introduced herbaceous plants arrived, but Bartolome (1987) estimates that by the mid 1800's, "most of the annual grasses, filarees, bromes and fescues" from the Mediterranean region had established. In 1850, one traveler in the vicinity of San Jose wrote, "we found ourselves between lofty hills, those to the right (east) being covered by wild oat" (Stewart, 2002). The introduced annuals quickly naturalized to a climate highly similar to their place of origin (i.e. mild, wet winters and dry summers; Bartolome, 1987). This ecological site has open canopy of blue oak and in some areas, the soil depth and presence of rocks may prohibit trees from growing.

### Disturbance Dynamics:


**Fire:** Fire has likely been a shaping landscape force over the Sierra Nevada Foothills. Native indigenous groups among the entire length of the Sierra Nevada Foothills practiced setting fires millennia before European establishment. The diverse array of reasons for burning, included hunting purposes, to maintain vegetation (clearing underbrush), and to improve crop yield (Stewart, 2002). Possibly, the openness of the oak savannah communities could be a consequence of repeated fires. Native Californians may have burnt in selected plots of the grassland/oak communities to prepare sites for planting of tobacco. Some records indicate that the Maidu People of Northern California set fires annually to maintain open country (safety purposes) and to promote grasses and herbs over brush (Stewart, 2003).

**Grazing:** Livestock grazing has occurred for at least 200 years and has likely contributed to the spread of Mediterranean annual grasses such as *Bromus*, *Avena* genus (Jackson, 1985). Grazing impacts can vary depending on the timing and duration, and livestock type (Keeley et. Al., 2003). A separate disturbance factor that often accompanies domestic livestock operations is mechanical clearing. Clearing is sometimes independent from livestock operations, as it is used to reduce fire danger or to generate firewood.

**Disease and Pathogens:** Some diseases of blue oak damage the heartwood of the trunk and large limbs (McDonald, 1990). Several fungi cause wood decay in the limbs and trunks of oaks (Hickman et al., 2011). The sulphur conk, (*Laetiporus sulphureus*), hedgehog fungus (*Hydnum erinaceum*) and the artist's fungus (*Ganoderma applanatum*) can cause significant damage to living oaks (i.e. heartwood rot.). Other diseases such as the shoestring fungus rot (*Armillaria mellea*) gradually weakens trees at the base until they fall. Diseases of California foothill pine include western gall rust (*Periderium harknessii*) and dwarf-mistletoe (*Arceuthobium occidentale* and *A. campylopodum* forma *campylopodum*) (Howard, 1992).

**Drought:** Blue oak is one of the most drought resistant deciduous trees in California (Callaway, 1992; Abrams, 1990).

## State and transition model





## Community pathways and Transitions

T1.a This transition occurs after decades of little to no disturbance agents (including management), which builds up fuels. There is a shift towards chaparral shrubs and accompanying changes in fire regime will favor species that can sprout and seed after high intensity fires.

T1.b This transition occurs after repeated fires that reduce the competition of woody vegetation. Also, mechanical tree removal and repeated brush management.

1.1a This community pathway occurs when significant time passes without a natural disturbance.

1.1b This community pathway occurs after a moderate intensity fire which kills some of the trees and shrubs. Alternatively, this community pathway occurs with light mechanical clearing or thinning. Some oaks remain on the site.

1.1c This community pathway occurs when favorable conditions such as abundant moisture and/or seeds (acorns) etc. cause oak regeneration. Low intensity fire or clearing can also result in a resprouting of oaks.

1.2a Normal growth and progression.

1.2b This community pathway occurs after an intense fire, or mechanical treatment of some of the young trees/shrubs.

1.3a This community pathway occurs with time and regeneration of oaks, often following low to moderately intense fire and favorable conditions permitting saplings/seedlings to become established. This assumes that enough seedlings survive insect, rodent, or other types of herbivory to persist into adulthood.

1.4a This community pathway occurs after mortality of older trees/shrubs create canopy gaps, leading to a slightly more open woodland.

T2.a This transition occurs after repeated fires that reduce the competition of woody vegetation. Also, mechanical tree removal and repeated brush management can result in annual herbaceous vegetation.

R2.a This restoration pathway occurs after active brush management, chemical treatment, followed up with tree planting.

2.1a This community pathway occurs following a high intensity wildfire.

2.2a This community pathway occurs over time with absence of disturbance.

## Community pathways and Transitions continued

R3.a This restoration pathway occurs with tree planting, often requires shade screens, and seedling protection from browsers to be successful.

3.1a This community pathway occurs as forbs become more dominant, often following low winter precipitation and reduced litter layers.

3.2a This community pathway occurs as grasses become more dominant, often in response to higher litter levels.

## State 1

### Representative State (Blue oak woodland)

#### Community 1.1

##### Representative plant community



Open QUDO (10-35% Canopy Cover) with PISA2, and scattered QUWI2. Shrubs (CECU, AECA, TODI, HEAR5, or ARCTO3) may be present. Dense annual grasses and forbs in understory.

#### Community 1.2

##### QUDO recruitment community



Dominated by younger cohort of smaller QUDO (10-20 feet tall), Early phases will be dominated by seedlings. Shrub layer may play key role in this phase.

### **Community 1.3** **Post-fire or brushed plant community**



QUDO cover up to 15% with very little regeneration. Shrubs usually absent, but sometimes CECU or RHAMN 5-10%. Dense annual grasses and forbs in understory.

### **Community 1.4** **Mixed shrub oak plant community**



Closed blue oak woodlands with 1:1 QUDO to QUWI2 ratio. Shrubs such as ARCTO3 or ADFA, are becoming dominant, (> 25 % CC). Fewer annuals due to greater shading.

### Pathway 1.1c Community 1.1 to 1.2



Representative plant community



QUDO recruitment community

1.1c This community pathway occurs when favorable conditions such as abundant moisture and/or seeds (acorns) etc. cause oak regeneration. Low intensity fire or clearing can also result in a re-sprouting of oaks.

### Pathway 1.1b Community 1.1 to 1.3



Representative plant community



Post-fire or brushed plant community

1.1b This community pathway occurs after a moderate intensity fire which kills some of the trees and shrubs. Alternatively, this community pathway occurs with light mechanical clearing or thinning. Some oaks remain on the site.

### Pathway 1.1a Community 1.1 to 1.4




Representative plant community




Mixed shrub oak plant community

1.1a This community pathway occurs when significant time passes without a natural disturbance.

### Pathway 1.2a Community 1.2 to 1.1



QUDO recruitment community



Representative plant community

1.2a Normal growth and progression.

### Pathway 1.2b Community 1.2 to 1.3



QUDO recruitment community



Post-fire or brushed plant community

1.2b This community pathway occurs after an intense fire, or mechanical treatment of some of the young trees/shrubs.

### Pathway 1.3a Community 1.3 to 1.2




Post-fire or brushed plant community



QUDO recruitment community

1.3a This community pathway occurs with time and regeneration of oaks, often following low to moderately intense fire and favorable conditions permitting saplings/seedlings to become established. This assumes that enough seedlings survive insect, rodent, or other types of herbivory to persist into adulthood.

### Pathway 1.4a Community 1.4 to 1.1



Mixed shrub oak plant community



Representative plant community

1.4a This community pathway occurs after mortality of older trees/shrubs create canopy gaps, leading to a slightly more open woodland.

## State 2 Shrub Chaparral State

### Community 2.1 Mature shrub chaparral



This community phase is made of ARCTO3, ADFA and/or HEAR5 (> 40 % CC). These shrubs can exceed hts of 15

ft. Some annual grasses and forbs in understory. Very few oaks or if present, suppressed in understory

## Community 2.2 Post-fire burn shrub community



Community dominated by sprouting shrubs and new seedlings. Annual grasses and forbs abound.

### Pathway 2.1a Community 2.1 to 2.2



Mature shrub chaparral



Post-fire burn shrub community

2.1a This community pathway occurs following a high intensity wildfire.

### Pathway 2.2a Community 2.2 to 2.1



Post-fire burn shrub community



Mature shrub chaparral

2.2a This community pathway occurs over time with absence of disturbance.

## State 3 Annual Grassland State

### Community 3.1 Grass-dominated system



This community phase is dominated by annual grasses, such as AVFA, BROMU, HORDE, and VULPI.

**Community 3.2  
Forb-dominated system**



This community phase is dominated by annuals, such as ERODI, GALIU, and TRIFO species.

**Pathway 3.1a  
Community 3.1 to 3.2**



Grass-dominated system




Forb-dominated system

3.1a This community pathway occurs as forbs become more dominant, often following low winter precipitation and reduced litter layers.

**Pathway 3.2a  
Community 3.2 to 3.1**



Forb-dominated system



Grass-dominated system

3.2a This community pathway occurs as grasses become more dominant, often in response to higher litter levels.

### **Transition T1.a**

#### **State 1 to 2**

T1.a This transition occurs after decades of little to no disturbance agents (including management), which builds up fuels. There is a shift towards chaparral shrubs and accompanying changes in fire regime will favor species that can sprout and seed after high intensity fires.

### **Transition T1.b**

#### **State 1 to 3**

T1.b This transition occurs after repeated fires that reduce the competition of woody vegetation. Also, mechanical tree removal and repeated brush management.

### **Restoration pathway R2.a**

#### **State 2 to 1**

R2.a This restoration pathway occurs after active brush management, chemical treatment, followed up with tree planting.

### **Transition T2.a**

#### **State 2 to 3**

T2.a This transition occurs after repeated fires that reduce the competition of woody vegetation. Also, mechanical tree removal and repeated brush management can result in annual herbaceous vegetation.

### **Restoration pathway R3.a**

#### **State 3 to 1**

R3.a This restoration pathway occurs with tree planting, often requires shade screens, and seedling protection from browsers to be successful.

## **Additional community tables**

### **Inventory data references**

Inventory data to be collected using future projects based on priorities.

## **References**

Natural Resources Conservation Service. . National Ecological Site Handbook.

## **Other references**

### **Other References**

Abrams, M.D. 1990. Adaptations and responses to drought in *Quercus* species of North America. *Tree Physiology* 7(1-4): 227-238.

Bartolome, J. W. 1987. California annual grassland and oak savannah. *Rangelands* 9:122-125.

Bolsinger, C. L. 1988. The hardwoods of California's timberlands, woodlands, and savannas. Portland, OR: Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Forest Service, USDA.

Callaway, R.M. 1992. Morphological and physiological responses of three California oak species to shade. *International Journal of Plant Science*. 153(3): 434-441.



Hickman, G.W., Perry, E.J. and R.M. Davis. 2011. Wood Decay Fungi in Landscape Trees. University of California. Integrated Pest Management Program. Agriculture and Natural Resources. Pest Notes 74109.

Howard, J.L. 1992. Pinus sabiniana. In: Fire Effects Information System. (Online) USDA, Forest Service Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Lab (Producer). Accessed: <http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/>[April 20, 2017]

Jackson, L. 1985. Ecological origins of California's Mediterranean grasses. Journal of Biogeography 12:349-361.

Keeley, J. E., Lubin, D. and Fotheringham, C. J. 2003. Fire and grazing impacts on plant diversity and alien plant invasions in the southern Sierra Nevada. Ecological Applications 13:1355-1374.

McDonald, P.M. 1990. Quercus douglasii Hook & Arn. Blue oak. In: Burns, Russell M; Honkala, Barbara H, tech. cords. Silvics of North America. Vol. 2: Hardwoods. Agricultural Handbook 654. Washington DC: USDA, Forest Service: 631-639.

Perakis, S.S. and C.H. Kellogg. 2007. Imprint of oaks on nitrogen availability and delta N-15 in California grassland-savanna: a case of enhanced N inputs? Plant Ecology 191: 209-220.

Stewart, O. C., H. T. Lewis (ed.) and M. K. Anderson (ed.) 2002. Forgotten fires: Native Americans and the transient wilderness. University of Oklahoma Press: Norman, OK.

USDA, Forest Service, Missoula Fire Sciences Laboratory. 2012. Information from LANDFIRE on fire regimes of California oak woodlands. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Missoula Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: [https://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/fire\\_regimes/CA\\_oak\\_woodlands/all.html](https://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/fire_regimes/CA_oak_woodlands/all.html)[2018, March 21].

## Contributors

Nathan Roe  
Michael Higgins

## Approval

Kendra Moseley, 4/24/2024

## Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

|   |                   |
|---|-------------------|
| Author(s)/participant(s)                    |                   |
| Contact for lead author                     |                   |
| Date  | 05/20/2024        |
| Approved by                                 | Kendra Moseley    |
| Approval date                               |                   |
| Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on | Annual Production |

## Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:**

---

2. **Presence of water flow patterns:**

---

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:**

---

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):**

---

5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:**

---

6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:**

---

7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):**

---

8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):**

---

9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):**

---

10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:**

---

11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):**

---

12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

---

13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):**

---

14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth ( in):**

---

15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):**

---

16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:**

---

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:**

---