

## **Ecological site R026XY017NV** LOAMY HILL 10-12 P.Z.

Last updated: 4/10/2024 Accessed: 05/18/2024

## Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	GK BRACKLEY
Contact for lead author	State Rangeland Management Specialist
Date	02/21/2007
Approved by	Kendra Moseley
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Ind	ndicators		
1.	Number and extent of rills: Rills are none to rare.		
2.	Presence of water flow patterns: Water flow patterns are rare although a few can be expected on steeper slopes in areas recently subjected to summer convection storms or rapid snowmelt.		
3.	Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: Pedestals are rare. Occurrence is usually limited to areas of water flow patterns. Frost heaving of shallow rooted plants should not be considered a "normal" condition.		
4.	Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): Bare Ground ±40%; surface rock fragments >35%; shrub canopy 20 to 30%; foliar cover of perennial herbaceous plants ± 30%.		
5.	Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: None		
6	Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None		

7.	Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Fine litter (foliage from grasses and annual & perennial forbs) is expected to move the distance of slope length during intense summer convection storms or rapid snowmelt events. Persistent litter (large woody material) will remain in place except during catastrophic events.
8.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Soil stability values should be 3 to 6 on most soil textures found on this site. (To be field tested) High amounts of surface rock fragments help protect soil surface from accelerated erosion.
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Surface structure is typically thin to thick platy, subangular blocky, or massive. Soil surface colors are browns and the soils are typified by a mollic epipedon. Organic carbon of the surface 2 to 3 inches is typically 1 to 1.5 percent dropping off quickly below.
Ο.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Perennial herbaceous plants (especially deep-rooted bunchgrasses [i.e., Thurber'''s needlegrass] slow runoff and increase infiltration. Shrub canopy and associated litter break raindrop impact and provide opportunity for snow catch and accumulation on site.
1.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): Compacted layers are not typical. Platy or massive sub-surface horizons or subsoil argillic horizons are not to be interpreted as compacted.
2.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):
	Dominant: Reference Plant Community: Deep-rooted, cool season, perennial bunchgrasses >> tall shrubs (Wyoming big sagebrush) >> associated mid- to low-shrubs. (By above ground production)
	Sub-dominant: Deep-rooted, cool season, perennial forbs > fibrous, shallow-rooted, cool season, perennial grasses > shallow-rooted, cool season, perennial and annual forbs. (By above ground production)
	Other:
	Additional:
3.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Dead branches within individual shrubs are common and standing dead shrub canopy material may be as much as 25% of total woody canopy; some of the mature bunchgrasses (<25%) have dead centers.
4.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth ( in): Between plant interspaces (±10%) and litter depth is ±¼ inch.
5.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-

16.	Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Cheatgrass, Russian thistle, and annual mustards are invaders. Douglas rabbitbrush, snakeweed, Anderson peachbrush, Utah juniper and singleleaf pinyon are increasers on this site.
17.	Perennial plant reproductive capability: All functional groups should reproduce in average (or normal) and above average growing season years.

production): For normal or average growing season (through mid-June) ± 600 lbs/ac; Spring moisture significantly

affects total production.