

Ecological site R028AY107NV SALINE FLOODPLAIN

Accessed: 05/03/2024

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	D NOVAK ECHENIOLIE
Author(s)/participant(s)	P NOVAK-ECHENIQUE
Contact for lead author	STATE RANGELAND MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST
Date	11/03/2015
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

1. Number and extent of rills: This site is essentially level and rills are not expected.

Indicators

terracettes.

bare ground): Bare Ground 20-30%

2.	Presence of water flow patterns: Water flow patterns are rare to common dependent on site location relative to major
	inflow areas. Water flow patterns are typically short, ending in depressional areas where water ponds. Moderately fine to
	fine surface textures and physical crusts result in limited infiltration rates. The surface layer will normally crust and bake upon drying, inhibiting water infiltration and seedling emergence. Ponding occurs in late winter/early spring in many areas. Ponding may also occur after heavy summer convection storms.
3.	Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: A few pedestals may occur along flow paths. There are no

4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not

6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None

5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: None

7.	Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Fine litter (foliage of grasses and annual & perennial forbs) expected to move distance of slope length during periods of intense summer convection storms or run in of early spring snow melt flows. Persistent litter (large woody material) will remain in place except during unusual flooding (ponding) events.
8.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Soil stability values will range from 2 to 4. (To be field tested.)
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Structure of soil surface will be thick to thin platy. Soil surface colors are pale browns and soils are typified by an ochric epipedon. Organic matter is typically less than 1 percent.
10.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: This site is typically ponded for short periods in the late winter/early spring and runoff is not significant. In areas, with herbaceous cover (sparse) of deep-rooted perennial herbaceous bunchgrasses (alkali sacaton) and/or rhizomatous grasses (basin wildrye, western wheatgrass), these plants can increase infiltration.
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): Compacted layers are none. Subsurface argillic or calcic horizons are normal for this site and are not to be interpreted as compaction.
12.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):
	Dominant: Reference State: Tall-statured, deep-rooted, cool season, perennial grasses (basin wildrye, alkali sacaton>
	Sub-dominant: salt-desert shrubs > rhizomatous grasses > deep-rooted perennial forbs > deep-rooted cool season bunchgrasses = rhizomatous grasses > associated grass-like plants
	Other: annual forbs, microbiotic crusts
	Additional:
13.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Dead branches within individual shrubs common and standing dead shrub canopy material may be as much as 35% of total woody canopy
14.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Between plant interspaces (20-30%) and depth (<1/4 in.)
15.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-

production): For normal or average growing season (thru June) \pm 1200 lbs/ac; Favorable years \pm 1700 lbs/ac and
unfavorable years ± 900 lbs/ac.

- 16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Potential invaders include annual mustards, perennial pepperweed, white top, annual kochia, Russian thistle, halogeton, and cheatgrass.
- 17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:** All functional groups should reproduce in average (or normal) and above average growing season years. Reduced growth and reproduction occurs during extended or extreme drought conditions.