

Ecological site R028BY021NV SODIC DUNE

Accessed: 05/09/2024

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	PATTI NOVAK-ECHENIQUE
Contact for lead author	State Rangeland Management Specialist
Date	07/12/2012
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:** Rills are non-existent.
-

2. **Presence of water flow patterns:** A few small water flow paths (<2m) may occur after summer convection storms or rapid snowmelt.
-

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:** Pedestals are few to common with occurrence due to wind scouring.
-

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):** Bare Ground 70-80%.
-

5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:** None
-

6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:** Slight to moderate wind scouring may occur especially during wind events prior to summer convection storms or winter storms.
-

7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):** Fine litter (foliage from grasses and annual & perennial forbs) expected to move unsheltered distance during heavy wind. Persistent litter (large woody material) expected to remain in place.
-
8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):** Soil stability values should be 1 to 4 on the sandy soil textures found on this site. (To be field tested.)
-
9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):** Surface structure is typically loose and single grained. Soil surface colors are pale browns and soils are typified by an ochric epipedon. Surface textures are typically fine sands and sandy loams. Organic matter of the surface 2 to 3 inches is typically less than 1 percent. Organic matter content can be more or less depending on micro-topography.
-
10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:** Perennial herbaceous plants (especially deep-rooted bunchgrasses [i.e., Indian ricegrass] slow runoff and increase infiltration. Shrub canopy and associated litter break raindrop impact and provide some opportunity for snow catch and accumulation on site.
-
11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):** Compacted layers are none. Massive sub-surface horizons are not to be interpreted as compaction.
-
12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**
- Dominant: Reference State: Tall shrubs (black greasewood) > deep-rooted, cool season, perennial bunchgrasses
- Sub-dominant: associated shrubs > deep-rooted, cool season, perennial forbs > rhizomatous, cool season perennial grasses = fibrous, shallow-rooted, cool season, annual and perennial forbs.
- Other: warm season perennial bunchgrasses
- Additional:
-
13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):** Dead branches within individual shrubs common and standing dead shrub canopy material may be as much as 30% of total woody canopy; some of the mature bunchgrasses ($\pm 20\%$) have dead centers.
-
14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):** Between plant interspaces ($\pm 10\text{-}15\%$) and depth of litter is $\pm \frac{1}{4}$ inch
-
15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):** For normal or average growing season (thru June) ± 300 lbs/ac; Favorable years ± 400 lbs/ac and unfavorable years ± 200 lbs/ac.

-
16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native).** List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Potential invaders include cheatgrass, halogeton, Russian thistle, annual mustards, and annual kochia.
-

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:** All functional groups should reproduce in average (or normal) and above average growing season years. Reduced growth or reproduction occurs in extreme or extended drought years.
-