

## Ecological site R028BY086NV GRAVELLY CLAY 10-12 P.Z.

Accessed: 04/29/2024

## Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	P. Novak-Echenique
Contact for lead author	State Rangeland Management Specialist
Date	09/24/2009
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None

## **Indicators**

1.	<b>Number and extent of rills:</b> Rills are none to rare. A few may occur on steeper slopes after summer convection storms or rapid snowmelt.
2.	Presence of water flow patterns: Water flow patterns are none to rare. A few may occur on steeper slopes and are typically short (<1 m) and disconnected. They are meandering and are interrupted by plants.
3.	Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: Pedestals are rare. Occurrence is usually limited to areas of water flow patterns. Frost heaving of shallow rooted plants should not be considered an indicator of soil erosion.
4.	Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): Bare Ground ± 10-20%.
5.	Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: Gullies are none.

7.	Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Fine litter (foliage from grasses and annual & perennial forbs) expected to move distance of slope length during intense summer convection storms or rapid snowmelt events. Persistent litter (large woody material) will remain in place except during large rainfall events.
8.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Soil stability values should be 4 to 6 on most soil textures found on this site.
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Surface structure is typically weak, thin platy or fine granular. Soil surface colors are pale browns and soils have an ocrhic epipedon. Surface textures are sandy loams. Organic carbon of the surface 2 to 4 inches is typically less than 1.5 percent dropping off quickly below. Organic matter content can be more or less depending on micro-topography.
10.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Perennial herbaceous plants (especially deep-rooted bunchgrasses [i.e., Thurber needlegrass & Indian ricegrass]) slow runoff and increase infiltration. Shrub canopy and associated litter break raindrop impact and provide opportunity for snow catch and accumulation on site.
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): Compacted layers are none. Subangular blocky or massive sub-surface horizons and subsoil argillic horizons are not to be interpreted as compacted.
12.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):
	Dominant: Reference State: Deep-rooted, cool season, perennial bunchgrasses (Indian ricegrass & Thurber needlegrass) > tall shrubs (big sagebrush & antelope bitterbrush)
	Sub-dominant: deep-rooted, cool season, perennial forbs = associated shrubs = shallow-rooted, cool season, perennial bunchgrasses > fibrous, shallow-rooted, cool season, perennial forbs = annual forbs
	Other: evergreen trees
	Additional: With an extended fire return interval, the shrub and tree component will increase at the expense of the herbaceous component. Singleleaf pinyon and Utah juniper will eventually dominate this site and the understory component will be greatly decreased.
13.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Dead branches within individual shrubs common and standing dead shrub canopy material may be as much as 20% of total woody canopy; some of the mature bunchgrasses (<10%) have dead centers.
14.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth ( in): Between plant interspaces (±20-30%) and litter depth is ±1/4 inch.

15.	<b>Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):</b> For normal or average growing season (through mid-June) ±700 lbs/ac. Favorable years ±900 lbs/ac and unfavorable years ±450 lbs/ac.
16.	Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if
	their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that
	become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not
	invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state
	for the ecological site: Potential invaders include cheatgrass, annual mustards, knapweeds and Russian thistle. With

17.	Perennial plant reproductive capability: All functional groups should reproduce in average (or normal) and above
	average growing season years. Reduced growth and reproduction will occur during extreme or extended drought
	conditions.

an extended fire return interval, singleleaf pinyon and Utah juniper will increase and potentially dominate this site.