

Ecological site DX032X01B150 Sandy (Sy) Big Horn Basin Rim

Last updated: 2/10/2020 Accessed: 05/03/2024

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Marji Patz, Ray Gullion, Everet Bainter
Contact for lead author	marji.patz@wy.usda.gov, 307-271-3130
Date	12/07/2018
Approved by	Scott Woodall
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Inc	Indicators		
1.	Number and extent of rills: Rare to nonexistent. Where present, short and widely spaced.		
2.	Presence of water flow patterns: Barely observable.		
3.	Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: Rare to nonexistent.		
4.	Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): Bare ground can range from 20-30%.		
5.	Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: Active gullies should not be present.		
6.	Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: Rare to nonexistent. As community degrades, this is a prominent indicator.		

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Herbaceous litter expected to move

	only in small amounts (to leeward side of shrubs). Large woody debris from sagebrush will show no movement.
8.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Soil Stability Index ratings range from 1 (interspaces) to 6 (under plant canopy), but average values should be 3 or greater.
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Soil data is limited for this site. Refer to soil series description and map unit information for specific information. Described A-horizons vary from 1-6 inches (3-15 cm) with OM of 1 to 2%.
0.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: The plant community consists of 60-75% grasses, 20% forbs and 10-25% shrubs. Evenly distributed plant canopy (35-55%) and litter plus moderate to moderately rapid infiltration rates result in minimal runoff. Basal cover is typically less than 8% for this site and does very little to effect runoff. Canopy cover is sufficient to reduce raindrop impact.
1.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): No compaction of soil surface crusting should be present. A coarse, dry subsurface will often refuse a probe, causing mis-identification of a compaction layer. Most soil profiles must be described by hand dug holes.
2.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):
	Dominant: Mid-stature, cool-season bunchgrasses >>
	Sub-dominant: perennial shrubs > cool-season rhizomatous grasses
	Other: perennial forbs = short-stature, cool-season bunchgrasses
	Additional:
3.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Minimal decadence, typically associated with shrub component of the canopy cover.
4.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Litter ranges from 15-30% of total canopy measurement with total litter (including beneath the plant canopy) from 30-70% expected. Herbaceous litter depth typically ranges from 3-7 mm.

16.	Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that
	become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not
	invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state
	for the ecological site: The increase of bare ground above 30% is an indicator that a threshold is being crossed.
	Corresponding increase will be noted in one or more of the following species is common: blue grama, Sandberg
	bluegrass, threadleaf sedge, Fendler threeawn, plains prickly pear cactus, Wyoming big sagebrush, and broom
	snakeweed. Annual weeds such as kochia, mustards, lambsquarter, Russian thistle, and pepperweeds are common
	aggressive species in disturbed sites. Common noxious weeds that invade are: cheatgrass (downy brome), knapweeds,
	whitetop and others found on the Noxious Weed List for Wyoming and Montana, as well as on County specific lists (Big
	Horn, Hot Springs, Park, and Hot springs, Wyoming; and Carbon County, Montana).
17.	Perennial plant reproductive capability: All species are capable of reproducing, except in drought years.