

## Ecological site R034AY128WY Lowland Green River and Great Divide Basins (LL)

Last updated: 9/28/2023 Accessed: 05/06/2024

## Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	K. Clause, B. Brazee, E. Bainter
Contact for lead author	karen.clause@wy.usda.gov or 307-367-2257
Date	03/16/2007
Approved by	Kirt Walstad
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

## Indicators

water flow patterns.

no	ndicators		
1.	Number and extent of rills: Rare to nonexistent.		
2.	Presence of water flow patterns: Water flow patterns sometimes evident in floodplain zone where this site occurs.		
3.	Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: Rare to nonexistent.		
4.	Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): Bare ground can range from 0-30%.		
5.	Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: Active gullies should not be present.		
6.	Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: Minimal to nonexistent.		

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Herbaceous litter expected to move in

8.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Soil Stability Index ratings range from 2 (interspaces) to 6 (under plant canopy), but average values should be 4.0 or greater.
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Typically an A-horizon of variable depths with weak granular structure and color hues of 10YR or 2.5Y, values of 4-5, and chromas of 2-3. Soil OM is typically less than .8%.
10.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Plant community consists of 45-75% grasses, 15% forbs, and 10-40% shrubs/trees. Dense plant canopy (75-100%) and litter plus moderately rapid to rapid infiltration rates result in minimal to nonexistent runoff. Basal cover is typically greater than 5% for this site and does effectively reduce runoff on this site. Surface gravels are common on this site, which provide site stability, but reduce infiltration.
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): No compaction layer exists.
12.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):
	Dominant:
	Sub-dominant:
	Other:
	Additional: mid-size, cool season bunchgrasses>trees>tall, cool season bunchgrasses>perennial shrubs=cool season rhizomatous grasses>perennial forbs
13.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Some decadence evident, typically associated with shrub/tree component.
14.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Litter ranges from 10-30% of total canopy measurement with total litter (including beneath the plant canopy) from 70-95% expected. Herbaceous litter depth typically ranges from 10-25 mm. Woody litter can be up to several inches (>8 cm).
15.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): English: 1400-2700 lb/ac (2000 lb/ac average); Metric: 1568-3024 kg/ha (2240 kg/ha average).
16.	Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize

degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Bare ground greater than 50% or noxious weed invasion are the most common indicators of a threshold being crossed. Wyoming big sagebrush, rabbitbrush, American licorice, and woods rose are common increasers. Perennial pepperweed, Canada thistle, Russian knapweed, and Kentucky bluegrass are common invasive species.

17. Perennial plant reproductive capability: All species are capable of reproducing, except in drought years.		