

Ecological site R035XY227UT Semidesert Shallow Sand (Utah Juniper-Pinyon)

Accessed: 05/03/2024

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Robert Stager (BLM), Randy Beckstrand (BLM), V. Keith Wadman (NRCS Ret.), Dana Truman (NRCS), Paul Curtis (BLM), Shane A. Green (NRCS).
Contact for lead author	shane.green@ut.usda.gov
Date	10/23/2008
Approved by	Shane A. Green
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

- Number and extent of rills:** Rills increase immediately following large storm events but should not persist more than one or two winters due to frost-heave recovery. There should be very few on slopes < 6%. On slopes >6%, rills may be 5-10 feet in length. Rills are most likely to form below adjacent exposed bedrock or water flow patterns where sufficient water accumulates to cause erosion.
- Presence of water flow patterns:** Waterflow patterns are often associated with micro topography on the site (small hummocks, etc.), these waterflow patterns should be narrow (<1-1½') but can be very long. These waterflow patterns should be widely spaced (15-20 yds) on low slopes (< 6%), increasing in frequency (every 10-15yds) with slope. Otherwise, there should be none to few and short (3-6') water flow patterns on low slopes (< 6%), increasing in frequency and length (up to 5-10') with slope. Waterflow patterns should dissipate where the slope flattens.
- Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:** Shrubs that occur on the edge of water flow patterns and rills on steeper slopes (>6%) may be pedestalled, but there should be no exposed roots. Terracettes are few, occurring where woody litter obstructs water flow patterns.
- Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):** 20-25%. Most bare ground is associated with water flow patterns, rills, and gullies. Any areas with well developed biological soil crusts should not be counted as bare ground. Poorly developed biological soil crusts that are interpreted as functioning as bare ground (therefore they would be susceptible to raindrop splash erosion) should be

recorded as bare ground. This site has variable rock cover ranging from 0 to 70%, but most are under 25%. This can affect the amount of bare ground to expect. Use the description in the published soil survey to determine the amount of rock cover to expect on a specific site. Ground cover is based on first raindrop impact, and bare ground is the opposite of ground cover. Ground cover + Bare ground = 100%.

5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:** No active gullies. Some stable gullies may be present in landscape settings where increased runoff may accumulate (such as areas below exposed bedrock). Such gully development is expected to be limited to slopes exceeding 15% and adjacent to sites where runoff accumulation occurs. Any gullies present should show little sign of accelerated erosion and should be stabilized with perennial vegetation. Natural features of the rolling micro topography should not be interpreted as gullies.

6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:** There should be very little evidence of active wind scoured, blowout or depositional areas. Wind caused deposition at the base of shrubs and trees is stabilized by litter.

7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):** There may be movement of fine litter on low slopes (< 6%) of up 2-4'. On steeper slopes, fine litter may be redistributed by wind or water flow patterns following large storm events, depositing where the slope flattens or behind obstructions. Woody litter (if present) should not move from beneath the plant.

8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):** This site should have a soil stability rating of 4 to 5 under the plant canopies, and a rating of 3 in the interspaces. The average should be a 4. Surface texture is fine sand. Vegetation cover, litter and surface rock reduce erosion.

9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):** Soil surface is typically 4 inches deep. Structure is typically weak. Color is typically light reddish brown (5YR6/4). The A horizon does not differ between interspaces and underneath plant canopies. Use the specific information for the soil you are assessing found in the published soil survey to supplement this description.

10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:** Distribution of vascular plants and/or biological soil crusts (where present) intercept raindrops preventing, but not eliminating, reduction of infiltration due to physical crusting. Plants and/or biological soil crusts usually have sufficient cover to slow runoff allowing time for infiltration. Shrubs and bunchgrasses and associated plant litter provide barriers to flow.

11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):** None, although bedrock is found within 20 inches of soil surface. In addition, there may be layers of calcium carbonate or other naturally occurring hard layers found in the soil subsurface. These should not be considered to be compaction layers.

12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live**

foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant: sprouting shrub (Bigelow sagebrush > Torrey mormontea = Roundleaf buffaloberry) > trees (Utah juniper, Two needle pinyon) > cool-season bunchgrasses (e.g. Indian ricegrass, Needle and thread) > warm-season bunchgrasses (e.g. Galleta)

Sub-dominant: forbs (e.g. Wrights birdbeak, Horned spurge) > > biological soil crusts

Other: Perennial and annual forbs can be expected to vary widely in their expression in the plant community based upon departures from average growing conditions. Functional/structural groups may appropriately contain non-native species if their ecological function is the same as the native species in the reference state.

Additional: Factors contributing to temporal variability include wildlife (deer) use; drought and insects and other pathogens such as mistletoe on the Junipers.

Factors contributing to spatial variability include texture, depth and coarse fragment (rock/gravel) content, slope and aspect.

-
13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):** During years with average to above-average precipitation, there should be very little recent mortality or decadence apparent in either the shrubs or grasses. Some mortality of bunchgrass and other shrubs may also occur during severe droughts, particularly on the shallower and coarser soils associated with this site. There may be partial mortality of individual bunchgrasses and other shrubs during less severe drought. Because woody stems may persist for many years, juniper (especially older trees) will normally have dead stems within the plant canopy.
-
14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):** Litter cover (including under plants) nearly all of which should be fine litter. Depth should be 1 leaf thickness in the interspaces and up to ¼" under shrub canopies, and up to ¾" under tree canopies. Litter cover may increase up to 10% immediately following leaf drop. Litter redistribution following natural extreme runoff events can reduce litter cover by concentrating it in low-lying areas. Litter cover may increase to 7-10% followings seasons with above average production due to a high production of annuals.
-
15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):** 300-800 #/acre on an average year
-
16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:** None currently known; however cheatgrass, Russian thistle, and other introduced annual forbs have future potential. This reference should be revised if any of these species become invasive in this ecological site.
-
17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:** All perennial plants should have the ability to reproduce sexually or asexually in most years, except in drought years.
-