

Ecological site R040XA112AZ Loamy Swale 10"-13" p.z.

Accessed: 04/26/2024

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Dave Womack, Dan Robinett, Emilio Carrillo
Contact for lead author	NRCS Tucson Area Office
Date	03/08/2005
Approved by	S. Cassady
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

- Number and extent of rills:** Rills are present on this site but are well vegetated and not eroding.

- Presence of water flow patterns:** Uncommon; probably cover no more than 5% of area; very short and discontinuous, 1-2 terracetes.

- Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:** Slope is 0-1% and not conducive to forming pedestals and terracettes.

- Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):** 5-15%

- Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:** None

- Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:** None

- Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):** All litter size classes staying in place,

occasionally transported in flow paths.

8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):** Expect values of 5-6 across site.
-

9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):** WEak thin platy to weak granular to moderate subangular block; color is 7.5-10YR6/4 dry, .5-10YR4/4 moist; thickness to 4 inches.
-

10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:** Canopy 60-70%; asa 15-25%, litter 15%: 80% canopy cover is perennial grasses, 2-5% forbs, 15% shrubs and trees.
-

11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):** None
-

12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**

Dominant: perennial mid grasses > trees & shrubs > short grasses > grasslike species > perennial forbs > annual grasses and forbs.

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):** 50% basal area lost on perennial grasses.
-

14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):**
-

15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):** 346 lbs/ac unfavorable precipitation, 1510 lbs/ac normal precipitation, 2540 lbs/ac favorable precipitation.
-

16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:** mesquite, whitethorn, creosote, Bermuda grass, Johnson grass, blue panic, buffleggrass, yellow

bluestem, London Rocket, malta starthistle, cheeseweed, cocklebur

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:** Not affected even following several years of prolonged drought period for region.
-