

## Ecological site R040XB208AZ Limy Upland, Deep 7"-10" p.z.

Accessed: 05/08/2024

### Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Author(s)/participant(s)                    | Dave Womack, Byron Lambeth, Dan Robinett, Emilio Carrillo |
| Contact for lead author                     | NRCS Tucson Area Office                                   |
| Date  | 03/02/2005  |
| Approved by                                 | S. Cassady  |
| Approval date                               |   |
| Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on | Annual Production   |

### Indicators

- Number and extent of rills:** Rills are common and continuous in absence of high gravel cover.

---
- Presence of water flow patterns:** Water flow patterns are common, continuous and occupy 15-20% of area.

---
- Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:** Accumulated pedestals on most perennial plants, not so much so in high gravel cover areas, 2-5 inches high. Erosional pedestals not present on most perennial plants.

---
- Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):** 10-60% (low values in high gravel cover areas and/or El Nino years)

---
- Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:** none

---
- Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:** none

---
- Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):** Woody litter mostly stays under plant canopy, herbaceous litter can travel long distances.

- 
8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):** Soil surface resistance to erosion is good under shrub canopies to moderate in interspaces due to crusts formed by raindrop impact<sup>5</sup>.
- 
9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):** single grain; color is 7.5-10YR6/4 dry, 7.5-10YR5/4 moist; Entisol - no A horizon
- 
10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:** Cover estimated as: canopy 20-25%, basal 1-2%; 50% canopy cover is shrubs, 20% trees and 30% succulents. Cover is well dispersed throughout the site.
- 
11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):** None
- 
12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**
- Dominant: shrubs > subshrubs > trees > succulents > forbs = perennial grasses (Note: in El Nino years annual forbs and grasses are #1 in above ground weight.)
- Sub-dominant:
- Other:
- Additional:
- 
13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):** 0-50% canopy mortality.
- 
14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth ( in):**
- 
15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):** 150 lbs/ac unfavorable precipitation; 250 lbs/ac normal precipitation; 350 lbs/ac favorable precipitation
- 
16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:** Sahara mustard, schismus, filaree

---

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:** Not impaired for shrubs, drought impaired for perennial grasses and forbs.

---