

Ecological site R042CY151NM Limestone Hills

Last updated: 10/21/2024 Accessed: 11/13/2024

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

Figure 1. Mapped extent

Areas shown in blue indicate the maximum mapped extent of this ecological site. Other ecological sites likely occur within the highlighted areas. It is also possible for this ecological site to occur outside of highlighted areas if detailed soil survey has not been completed or recently updated.

Ecological site concept

This site occurs on hills, low mountains, and foot slopes of higher mountains. Slopes range from 15 to 75 percent and average 20 percent. Soils are well-drained and shallow (< 20") to limestone bedrock.

Associated sites

R042CY152NM	Shallow Shallow occurs on gravelly alluvial fans of higher limestone mountains. Slopes range from 5 to 20 percent. Soils are loamy, gravelly, and very shallow to a petrocalcic horizon also known as a root restricting layer. The reference plant community is mixed prairie grassland with scattered shrubs, trees, and forbs.
R042CY156NM	Gravelly This rangeland ecological site occurs on gravelly alluvial fans of higher limestone mountains. Slopes range from 5 to 20 percent. Soils are deep gravelly loams. The reference plant community is mixed prairie grassland with scattered shrubs, trees, and forbs.
R042CY154NM	Swale Swale sites often dissect the lower footslopes of Limestone Hills.

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified		
Shrub	(1) Yucca		
Herbaceous	(1) Bouteloua gracilis(2) Bouteloua curtipendula		

Physiographic features

This site occurs as hills, low mountains, and foot slopes of higher mountains. Slopes range from 15 to 75 percent and average 20 percent. Elevation ranges from 4,000 to 7,000 feet above sea level. This site is a complex of soils, fractured limestone aspect and degree of slope. Aspect and elevation are important in the determination of species composition and production. Runoff is high to very high.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Hill
	(2) Mountain slope

Elevation	4,000–7,000 ft
Slope	15–75%

Climatic features

The climate of this area is "semi-arid continental."

Annual average precipitation ranges from 11 to 19 inches. Variations of 5 inches, more or less, are not uncommon. Approximately 70 percent of the precipitation occurs from May through October. Most of the summer rain comes in the form of high-intensity, short- uration thunderstorms. Winter moisture is usually negligible.

Temperatures are characterized by distinct seasonal changes and large annual and diurnal temperature changes. The average annual temperature ranges from 55 degrees to 60 degrees, with extremes of 20 degrees below zero in the winter to 110 degrees in the summer not uncommon.

The average frost-free season is 170 to 189 days. The last killing frost is in early April and the first killing frost is in mid October.

Both temperature (especially south slope)and precipitation favor warm-season species. However, approximately 40 percent of the precipitation (and temperature on north slopes) is favorable to to cool season growth at the middle to higher elevations. This could allow the cool season plants to occupy a very important part of this complex plant community. Due to the shallow soil profile, vegetation responds well to light rains. Moisture can also be stored relatively deep in the seams and cracks of fractured limestone. This moisture is also available for plant use. Slope aspect is also important when the strong winds from the west and southwest blow. These winds, which blow from February to June, cause the soil to dry during the critical growth stage for many cool season species. The north slopes are somewhat protected and do not dry as fast as the south slopes.

Climate data was obtained from http://www.wrcc.sage.dri.edu/summary/climsmnm.html web site. Data interpreted utilizing NM NRCS Climate Summarizer spreadsheet.

Table 3. Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (average)	189 days
Freeze-free period (average)	211 days
Precipitation total (average)	19 in

Influencing water features

This is an upland site, and is not associated with water features or wetlands. During heavy rain events, this site may receive run-on moisture from landforms above and contribute runoff to landforms below.

Soil features

The soils on this site are typically shallow over limestone. Pockets of deeper soils can exist usually occurring along seams and cracks in the fractured limestone. The soils are well drained. Permeability is moderate to moderately slow. Water-holding capacity is low except at seams and cracks in the limestone bedrock. Surface textures are cobbly loams, stony or rocky loams and cobbly silt loams. The soils of this site are over fractured limestone bedrock.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Residuum–limestone	
Surface texture	(1) Cobbly loam (2) Very cobbly silt loam (3) Very cobbly loam	
Family particle size	(1) Loamy	

Drainage class	Well drained
Permeability class	Moderately slow to moderate
Soil depth	4–20 in
Surface fragment cover <=3"	15–35%
Surface fragment cover >3"	15–35%
Available water capacity (0-40in)	3–6 in
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-40in)	7.9–8.4
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	15–35%
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	15–35%

Ecological dynamics

The distribution of vegetation within the site is highly dependent on the local environment. Elevation, soil moisture, aspect, slope gradient, latitude, variability of the soils, and amount of rock outcrop are the major factors controlling plant species composition and distribution. Two Reference Plant Communities are recognized for this site. A midgrass/mixed shrub community occurs primarily on south facing slopes and ridgetops while a midgrass/tree/shrub savanna community occurs on north facing slopes.

Historically, the site has evolved with native herbivores such as mule deer, desert bighorn sheep, and pronghorn antelope (on low relief areas in some areas.). Bison were not documented in the historical record as being present in any significant amount due to contributing factors such as lack of water and steep topography. Small lightning induced fires were mostly likely common mainly because of the adequate amount of fine fuels present.


Early records suggest cattle, sheep, goats, and horses were introduced into the southwest from Mexico in the mid-1500's. However, extensive ranching began in the 1880s. Sheep and goats grazed this site extensively up to the mid 1900s. Direct fire suppression and overutilization of plant resources in some areas most likely began during this time.

The impact of improper grazing within this site specifically will lead to a reduction of palatable midgrasses and forbs and an increase of woody plants such as juniper and catclaw acacia. In addition, direct fire suppression will also allow the woody plants to increase. On north facing slopes, fires can reopen somewhat dense woodlands if enough fine fuels are present.

The following diagram suggests general pathways that the vegetation on this site might follow. There are other plant communities and states not shown on the diagram. This information is intended to show what might happen in a given set of circumstances; it does not mean that this would happen the same way in every instance. Local professional guidance should always be sought before pursuing a treatment scenario.

State and transition model

Limestone Hill R070DY151NM



Legend

- 1.1A Heavy Continuous Grazing, Fire Suppression
- 1.2A Prescribed or No Grazing, Prescribed Burning (low relief areas)
- T1A Improper Grazing Management, Fire Suppression,
- R2A, Prescribed or No Grazing and Prescribed Burning (low relief areas and if adequate fuels is present)
- 3.1A Heavy Continuous Grazing, No Fire
- 3.2A Prescribed or No Grazing, Prescribed Burning
- 3.2B Heavy Continuous Grazing, No Fire
- 3.3A Prescribed or No Grazing and Prescribed Burning (if fine fuels have recovered)

State 1 Grass/Shrubland State - South Facing Slopes

The Midgrass/Mixed-shrub/Forb community is characterized by midgrasses such as blue grama, sidoats grama, curlyleaf muhly, and black grama and shrubs such as yuccas, sotol, ocotillo, and lechuguilla. Some redberry juniper and wavyleaf oak along with a higher productivity of grasses can be found in some south facing slopes, usually in higher elevations or in areas transitioning to a cooler and wetter climate. The overall warmer and drier soil climate in this community limits trees such as pinyon pine and gray oak. In more mesic areas where this site occurs such as Guadalupe Mountains National Park, fine fuel productivity is higher and fire does play a role in suppression shrubs. The Shortgrass/Shrub community is the result of palatable grasses such as blue grama and black grama decreasing while unpalatable grasses such as threeawns, hairy grama, slim tridens increase. Annual grasses and forbs are more abundant in this phase.

Community 1.1 Midgrass/Mixed-shrub/Forb Community



Figure 4. 1.1 Midgrass/Mixed-shrub/Forb Community

This plant community occurs primarily on south facing slopes and ridgetops. The community is characterized by midgrasses such as blue grama, sidoats grama, curlyleaf muhly, and black grama and shrubs such as yuccas, sotol, ocotillo, lechuguilla. Some redberry juniper and wavyleaf oak along with a higher productivity of grasses can be found in some south facing slopes, usually in higher elevations or in areas transitioning to a cooler and wetter climate. The overall warmer and drier soil climate in this community limits trees such as pinyon pine and gray oak. In some areas fire probably is not very influential is shaping the plant communities due to continuities in fine fuels limit the extent and frequency of fires. In more mesic areas where this site occurs such as Guadalupe Mountains National Park, fine fuel productivity is higher and fire does play a role in suppression shrubs.

Table 5. Annual production by plant type

Plant Type	Low (Lb/Acre)	Representative Value (Lb/Acre)	
Grass/Grasslike	480	640	800
Shrub/Vine	200	300	400
Forb	30	40	50
Tree	0	0	0
Total	710	980	1250

Table 6. Ground cover

Tree foliar cover	10%
Shrub/vine/liana foliar cover	10%
Grass/grasslike foliar cover	0%
Forb foliar cover	0%
Non-vascular plants	0%
Biological crusts	0%
Litter	10-15%
Surface fragments >0.25" and <=3"	0%
Surface fragments >3"	0%
Bedrock	0%
Water	0%
Bare ground	10-18%

Figure 6. Plant community growth curve (percent production by month). NM4601, R070DY151NM Limestone Hills Reference State. R070DY151NM Limestone Hills Reference State A mixed mid/tall, warm/cool-season grassland with a major shrub, half-shrub and forb component..

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
0	0	3	5	10	10	25	30	12	5	0	0

Community 1.2 Shortgrass/Shrub Community

This plant community is the result of heavy continuous grazing. Palatable grasses such as blue grama and black grama decrease while unpalatable grasses such as threeawns, hairy grama, and slim tridens increase. Sideoats grama initially increases following overgrazing and will ultimately decrease with continued overgrazing. Annual grasses and forbs are more abundant in this phase. Fine fuels will have to recover before prescribed fire is an option on low relief areas. Prescribed fire is usually not attempted on very steep rocky slopes.

Pathway 1.1A Community 1.1 to 1.2

With heavy continuous grazing pressure and fire suppression, the Midgrass/Mixed-shrub/Forb Community will shift to the Shortgrass/Shrub Community.

Pathway 1.2A Community 1.2 to 1.1

With Prescribed or No Grazing and Prescribed Burning in low relief areas conservation practices implemented, the Shortgrass/Shrub Community can be restored to the Midgrass/Mixed-shrub/Forb Community.

Conservation practices

Prescribed Burning

Prescribed Grazing

State 2 Shrub/Succulent State - South Facing Slopes

The Shrub/Succulent State is the result of heavy continuous grazing. Abundant increaser shrubs (> 35% cover) such as lechuguilla and/or sotol are indicators of this community. There are some areas with abundant ocotillo and it is unknown if this is completely attributed to past disturbances such as heavy continuous grazing or if they with are within the inherent variability of the site. Restoration practices are very limited in this state. Steepness and rough slopes prevents many brush management treatments such as chemical and/or mechanical treatments. Fine fuels will have to recover before prescribed fire is an option on low relief areas. Prescribed fire is usually not attempted on very steep rocky slopes.

Community 2.1 Shrub/Succulent Community

This state is characterized by the predominance of shrubs and/or succulents, with perennial grasses as the subordinate component and is resulted by heavy continuous grazing. Juniper may dominate at mid to higher elevations. Pinchot juniper has a limited distribution in New Mexico and is typically the dominant in the CP-4 resource unit at mid-elevations in the Guadalupe Mountains. Oneseed and alligator juniper have a wider distribution and may dominate a mid-to higher elevations on Limestone Hills throughout most of CP-4. At lower elevations, catclaw mimosa may dominate in localized areas, often forming dense thickets along headers and drainageways. Blue grama is often the primary grass species associated with increased densities of juniper, while threeawns, tridens, hairy grama, and black grama are more strongly associated with catclaw mimosa. Sotol, agave species, sacahuista, or cholla may become the dominant succulent with greater than 35% canopy cover. Sotol and agave are often co-dominant in localized areas, usually on south and west aspects along side slopes of hills. It may be that local dominance by sotol and or agave is natural and due to their adaptability on the shallower rocky limestone soils. Sacahuista is better adapted to the cooler north and east aspects and may dominate with sideoats and curlyleaf muhly as subordinate species. On the slightly deeper soils of ridge tops and benches historically overgrazed by

sheep, cholla may be the dominant species with mat muhly occurring as a sub-dominant. There are some areas with abundant ocotillo and it is unknown if this is completely attributed to past disturbances such as heavy continuous grazing or if they with are within the inherent variability of the site. Restoration practices are very limited in this state. Steepness and rough slopes prevents many brush management treatments such as chemical and/or mechanical treatments. Fine fuels will have to recover before prescribed fire is an option on low relief areas. Prescribed fire is usually not attempted on very steep rocky slopes.

State 3 Pine/Oak Savanna State - North Facing Slopes

The Midgrass/Tree/Shrub Community (3.1) is the reference community for the north facing slopes or in mesic areas of the site. Pinyon pine, redberry juniper, wavyleaf oak are scattered among grasses such as blue grama, sideoats grama, curlyleaf muhly, New Mexico muhly, and New Mexico feathergrass. The Shortgrass/Tree/Shrub Community (3.2) is the result of heavy continuous grazing. Palatable grasses such as blue grama and New Mexico muhly decrease while unpalatable grasses such as threeawns, hairy grama, slim tridens increase. The Pine/Oak/Mixed-grass Woodland Community (3.3) is the result of heavy continuous grazing which reduces the fine fuels needed to carry a fire. This phase can also result from fire suppression alone. Canopy cover of pinyon pine is greater than 50 percent.

Community 3.1 Midgrass/Tree/Shrub Community



Figure 7. North Facing Slopes in Background

This plant community is the reference on north facing slopes or in mesic areas of the site. Pinyon pine, redberry juniper, wavyleaf oak are scattered among grasses such as blue grama, sideoats grama, curlyleaf muhly, New Mexico muhly, and New Mexico feathergrass. Pine muhly can be found in places. Common shrubs include mountain mahogany, desert ceanothus, and agarito. Canopy cover of pinyon ranges from 10-50 percent depending on fire history. Natural fires play an important role in maintaining this community.

Table 7. Annual production by plant type

Plant Type	Low (Lb/Acre)	Representative Value (Lb/Acre)	High (Lb/Acre)
Grass/Grasslike	700	900	1500
Shrub/Vine	200	300	400
Tree	50	100	200
Forb	30	40	50
Total	980	1340	2150



Figure 9. 3.2 Shortgrass/Tree/Shrub Community

This plant community is the result of heavy continuous grazing. Palatable grasses such as blue grama and New Mexico multiple decrease while unpalatable grasses such as threeawns, hairy grama, and slim tridens increase. Sideoats grama initially increases following overgrazing and will ultimately decrease with continued overgrazing. Annual grasses and forbs are more abundant in this phase.

Community 3.3 Pine/Oak/Mixed-grass Woodland Community


This plant community is the result of heavy continuous grazing which reduces the fine fuels needed to carry a fire. This phase can also result from fire suppression alone. Canopy cover of pinyon pine is greater than 50 percent. Prescribed grazing or no grazing will allow herbaceous plants to recover and will allow fires to reduce the canopy cover of pinyon pine. Wavyleaf oak will resprout readily after fires and possibily increase in abundance after fire depending on herbivory.

Pathway 3.1 Community 3.1 to 3.2



With heavy continuous grazing and no fires, the Midgrass/Tree/Shrub Community will shift to the Shortgrass/Tree/Shrub Community.

Pathway 3.2A Community 3.2 to 3.1



With Prescribed or No Grazing and Prescribed Burning conservation practices implemented, the Shortgrass/Tree/Shrub Community can be reverted to the Midgrass/Tree/Shrub Community.

Conservation practices

Prescribed Burning

Pathway 3.2B Community 3.2 to 3.3

With heavy continuous grazing and no fires, the Shortgrass/Tree/Shrub Community will shift to the Pine/Oak/Mixed-grass/Woodland Community.

Pathway 3.3A Community 3.3 to 3.2

With the implementation of various conservation practices including Prescribed or No Grazing and Prescribed Burning (if the fine fuels are available), the Pine/Oak/Mixed-grass Woodland Community can be restored to the Shortgrass/Tree/Shrub Community.

Conservation practices

Prescribed Burning
Prescribed Grazing

Transition T1A State 1 to 2

With improper grazing management and fire suppression, the Grass/Shrubland State will transition into the Shrub/Succulent State.

Restoration pathway R2A State 2 to 1

With Prescribed or No Grazing and Prescribed Burning (only at low relief areas and where adequate fuel are present) conservation practices applied to the plant community, the Shrub/Succulent State can be restored to the Grass/Shrubland State.

Conservation practices

Prescribed Burning
Prescribed Grazing

Additional community tables

Table 8. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production (Lb/Acre)	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass	/Grasslike				
1	Warm-season stoloniferou	s		96–160	
	black grama	BOER4	Bouteloua eriopoda	96–160	_
2	Warm-season mid/tallgras	ses		168–280	
	sideoats grama	BOCU	Bouteloua curtipendula	60–200	_
	blue grama	BOGR2	Bouteloua gracilis	60–200	_
	curlyleaf muhly	MUSE	Muhlenbergia setifolia	50–150	_
3	Warm-season midgrasses	_		120–200	
	Arizona cottontop	DICA8	Digitaria californica	50–125	_
	sand dropseed	SPCR	Sporobolus cryptandrus	40–100	_

	later and by 11 1 11	051.50	Ostorio Israeli	22.22	
	streambed bristlegrass	SELE6	Setaria leucopila	30–90	_
4	Cool-season midgrasses	T	T	48–80	
	New Mexico feathergrass	HENE5	Hesperostipa neomexicana	30–80	
	southwestern needlegrass	ACEM4	Achnatherum eminens	15–50	_
5	Warm-season mid/shortgra	1		48–80	
	common wolfstail	LYPH	Lycurus phleoides	15–45	_
	slim tridens	TRMU	Tridens muticus	8–25	_
	threeawn	ARIST	Aristida	8–25	_
	hairy grama	BOHI2	Bouteloua hirsuta	8–25	_
	fall witchgrass	DICO6	Digitaria cognata	8–25	
	low woollygrass	DAPU7	Dasyochloa pulchella	3–10	_
6	Annuals	-		0–10	
	Forb, annual	2FA	Forb, annual	0–10	_
Forb	-	•			
7	Perennial Forbs			30–50	
	dropseed	SPORO	Sporobolus	12–61	_
	tridens	TRIDE	Tridens	12–61	_
	Forb, perennial	2FP	Forb, perennial	3–10	_
	damianita	CHME3	Chrysactinia mexicana	3–10	_
	croton	CROTO	Croton	3–10	_
	buckwheat	ERIOG	Eriogonum	3–10	_
	Gregg's tube tongue	JUPI5	Justicia pilosella	3–10	_
	tansyaster	MACHA	Machaeranthera	3–10	_
	mallow	MALVE	Malvella	3–10	_
	evening primrose	OENOT	Oenothera	3–10	
	Texas snoutbean	RHSET	Rhynchosia senna var. texana	3–10	
	pricklyleaf dogweed	THAC	Thymophylla acerosa	3–10	
	vervain	VERBE	Verbena	3–10	_
<u> </u>	desert zinnia	ZIAC	Zinnia acerosa	3–10	_
8	Annual Forbs			0–5	
	green sprangletop	LEDU	Leptochloa dubia	61–123	
	Forb, annual	2FA	Forb, annual	0–5	
	bladderpod	LESQU	Lesquerella	0-3	_
Shrul	b/Vine	122000	20044070714		
9	Tall Shrubs			100–200	
	ocotillo	FOSP2	Fouquieria splendens	15–100	
	Pinchot's juniper	JUPI	Juniperus pinchotii	10-75	
	pungent oak	QUPU	Quercus pungens	10–75	
	Graminoid (grass or grass-	2GRAM	Graminoid (grass or grass-like)	12–61	
	like)	VICT	Viguiore eteralata	45.50	
	resinbush	VIST	Viguiera stenoloba	15–50	
	catclaw acacia	ACGR	Acacia greggii	10–25	_
	desert myrtlecroton	BEOB	Bernardia obovata	10–25	_

	Texas swampprivet	FOAN	Forestiera angustifolia	10–25	_
10	Subshrubs			50–100	
	silver prairie clover	DABIA	Dalea bicolor var. argyrea	10–25	_
	featherplume	DAFO	Dalea formosa	5–15	_
	gumhead	GYGL	Gymnosperma glutinosum	5–15	_
	littleleaf ratany	KRER	Krameria erecta	5–15	_
	showy menodora	MELO2	Menodora longiflora	5–15	_
	rough menodora	MESC	Menodora scabra	5–15	_
	mariola	PAIN2	Parthenium incanum	5–15	_
11	Fibrous/Succulents			50–100	
	lechuguilla	AGLE	Agave lechuguilla	5–25	_
	tree cholla	CYIMI	Cylindropuntia imbricata var. imbricata	10–25	-
	green sotol	DALE2	Dasylirion leiophyllum	10–25	-
	sacahuista	NOMI	Nolina microcarpa	10–25	_
	pricklypear	OPUNT	Opuntia	10–25	_
	yucca	YUCCA	Yucca	10–25	_

Table 9. Community 3.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production (Lb/Acre)	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass	/Grasslike	- -		•	
1	Warm-season mid/tallgras	280–600			
	sideoats grama	BOCU	Bouteloua curtipendula	140–400	_
	blue grama	BOGR2	Bouteloua gracilis	140–400	_
2	Warm-season midgrasses	s		210–450	
	longawn muhly	MUSP4	Muhlenbergia spiciformis	50–200	_
	curlyleaf muhly	MUSE	Muhlenbergia setifolia	50–150	_
	plains lovegrass	ERIN	Eragrostis intermedia	25–100	_
	green sprangletop	LEDU	Leptochloa dubia	25–100	_
	slender muhly	MUTE4	Muhlenbergia tenuifolia	25–75	_
	streambed bristlegrass	SELE6	Setaria leucopila	25–75	-
3	Cool-season midgrasses			140–300	
	New Mexico feathergrass	HENE5	Hesperostipa neomexicana	30–200	-
	southwestern needlegrass	ACEM4	Achnatherum eminens	30–100	_
	littleawn needlegrass	ACLO7	Achnatherum lobatum	25–75	_
	pinyon ricegrass	PIFI	Piptochaetium fimbriatum	25–75	_
4	Warm-season mid/shortgrasses			70–150	
	threeawn	ARIST	Aristida	15–30	-
	hairy grama	BOHI2	Bouteloua hirsuta	15–30	_
	common wolfstail	LYPH	Lycurus phleoides	15–30	_
	slim tridens	TRMU	Tridens muticus	10–25	_
	fall witchgrass	DICO6	Digitaria cognata	15–25	_
5	Annuals	•	•	0–10	
	Cross annual	201	Cross canual	0 10	

6	Perennial Forbs		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	30–50	
	Forb, perennial	2FP	Forb, perennial	3–10	
	damianita	CHME3	Chrysactinia mexicana	3–10	
	croton	CROTO	Croton	3–10	
	buckwheat	ERIOG	Eriogonum	3–10	
	Gregg's tube tongue	JUPI5	Justicia pilosella	3–10	
	tansyaster	MACHA	Machaeranthera	3–10	
	mallow	MALVE	Malvella	3–10	
	evening primrose	OENOT	Oenothera	3–10	
	Texas snoutbean	RHSET	Rhynchosia senna var. texana	3–10	_
	pricklyleaf dogweed	THAC	Thymophylla acerosa	3–10	
	vervain	VERBE	Verbena	3–10	_
	desert zinnia	ZIAC	Zinnia acerosa	3–10	
7	Annual Forbs			0–5	
	Forb, annual	2FA	Forb, annual	0–5	
	bladderpod	LESQU	Lesquerella	0–3	
Shru	_l' ub/Vine		<u> </u>	1	
8	Tall shrubs			150–300	
	pungent oak	QUPU	Quercus pungens	25–200	_
	skunkbush sumac	RHTR	Rhus trilobata	25–100	
	Pinchot's juniper	JUPI	Juniperus pinchotii	25–100	
	alderleaf mountain mahogany	CEMO2	Cercocarpus montanus	15–75	_
	desert ceanothus	CEGR	Ceanothus greggii	15–50	
	resinbush	VIST	Viguiera stenoloba	10–35	_
	cliff fendlerbush	FERU	Fendlera rupicola	15–35	_
	jointfir	EPHED	Ephedra	10–25	
	catclaw acacia	ACGR	Acacia greggii	10–25	
	Texas swampprivet	FOAN	Forestiera angustifolia	5–15	
9	Subshrubs		-	30–60	
	showy menodora	MELO2	Menodora longiflora	10–20	
	rough menodora	MESC	Menodora scabra	10–20	
	silver prairie clover	DABIA	Dalea bicolor var. argyrea	5–15	
	featherplume	DAFO	Dalea formosa	5–15	
	gumhead	GYGL	Gymnosperma glutinosum	3–5	
10	Fibrous/Succulents			20–40	
	green sotol	DALE2	Dasylirion leiophyllum	5–25	_
	sacahuista	NOMI	Nolina microcarpa	5–25	
	banana yucca	YUBA	Yucca baccata	5–25	
	pricklypear	OPUNT	Opuntia	5–10	
	Parry's agave	_	·	3–10	_
	tree cholla	CYIMI	Cylindropuntia imbricata var.	5–10	

Inventory data references

Data collection for this site was done in conjunction with the progressive soil surveys within the Pecos-Canadian Plains and Valleys Major Land Resource Area of New Mexico (MLRA 70).

This site has been mapped and correlated with soils in the following soil surveys: Otero, Eddy, Chaves, Lincoln

Other references

References

- 1. Gehlbach, F.R. 1967. Vegetation of the Guadalupe Escarpment, New Mexico-Texas. Ecology. 48(3): 404-419.
- 2. Johnsen, T. N., Jr. 1962. One-seeded juniper invasion of northern Arizona grasslands. Ecological Monographs. 32:187-207.
- 3. Kunst, C. R., R. E. Sosebee, and M. J. Dumesnil. 1988. Herbicidal control of cholla. Society of range Management. Abstract Papers. 41: 67.
- 4. Martin, S. C., J. L. Thames, and E. B. Fish. 1974. Changes in cactus numbers and herbage production. Prog. Agric. Ariz. 26: 3-6.
- 5. Pieper, R. D. 1971. Blue grama vegetation responds inconsistently to cholla cactus control. Journal of Range Management. 24: 52-54
- 6. Wright, H.A., and A.W. Bailey. 1982. Fire ecology: United States and southern Canada. New York: John Wiley and Sons. 501p.

Contributors

David Trujillo
Don Sylvester
Elizabeth Wright
John Tunberg
Michael Margo, RMS, NRCS, Marfa, Texas

Approval

Kendra Moseley, 10/21/2024

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	11/13/2024

Approved by	Kendra Moseley
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

Dominant:

1.	Number and extent of rills:
2.	Presence of water flow patterns:
3.	Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:
4.	Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):
5.	Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:
6.	Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:
7.	Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):
8.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):
0.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:
1.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):
2.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

	Sub-dominant:
	Other:
	Additional:
13.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):
14.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):
15.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):
16.	Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:
17.	Perennial plant reproductive capability: