

Ecological site EX043B23B142 Saline Subirrigated (SS) Absaroka Upper Foothills

Last updated: 3/04/2024 Accessed: 05/04/2024

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Marji Patz, Blaise Allen
Contact for lead author	blaise.allen@usda.gov
Date	03/31/2020
Approved by	Kirt Walstad
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

- 1. **Number and extent of rills:** Rare to nonexistent. A very slight amount of rill development may be observed following large storm events or spring runoff periods, but they should heal within the following growing season. Slight rill development may also be observed where the site is adjacent to ecological sites that produce large amounts of runoff (i.e. steeper sites)
- 2. **Presence of water flow patterns:** Barely observable. Any flow patterns present should be sinuous and wind around perennial plant bases. They should be short (5 to 10 feet), one foot wide, and spaced from 20 to 30 feet apart. They should be stable with only minor evidence of deposition. This site is periodically inundated with runoff water from adjacent sites. It also acts as a filter and trap sediment.
- 3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:** Rare to nonexistent. A few plants may show very minor pedestalling where they are adjacent to any water flow patterns present, but there will be no exposed roots. Terracettes are not present.
- 4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): Bare ground can range from 0-5%. Any bare ground openings present should be <1 foot in size and should not be connected.

5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: Active gullies should not be present. 6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: No evidence of wind generated soil movement. Wind scoured (blowouts) and depositional areas are not present. 7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Herbaceous and woody litter is not expected to move. 8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Soil Stability Index ratings range from 3 (interspaces) to 6 (under plant canopy), but average values should be 4.0 or greater. 9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Typically an A-horizon of greater than 2 inches (5 cm) with massive structure and color hues of 10YR or 2.5Y, values of 5-6, and chromas of 2-3. Organic matter typically is 1-2%. 10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Plant community consists of 75-90% grasses, 10% forbs, and 0-15% shrubs. Dense plant canopy (80-100%) and litter plus moderate infiltration rates result in minimal to nonexistent runoff. Basal cover is typically greater than 5% for this site and effectively reduces runoff on this site. With the physiographic location of this site being in low lying areas, it often acts as a terminal accumulation site for runoff. The amount of sodium in the soil can affect infiltration and facilitate puddling on the surface. 11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): No compaction layer exists. This site will normally have textural changes within its' profile and should not be mistaken for compaction layers. 12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to): Dominant: warm-season bunchgrasses (3 species) Sub-dominant: cool-season bunchgrasses (3 species) Other: Community 1.1 = warm-season bunchgrasses > cool-season bunchgrasses > Perennial Forbs = Shrubs 12b. F/S Groups not expected for the site: Annual Grasses 12c. Number of F/S Groups: 3 groups 12d. Species number in Dominate and Sub-dominate F/S Groups: 6 species

Additional: Biological soil crust is variable in its' expression where present on this site and is measured as a component of ground cover. Perennial and annual forbs can be expected to vary widely in their expression in the plant community based upon departures from average growing conditions. Disturbance regimes include insects, infrequent fire, and flooding. Temporal variability can be caused by fires, droughts, insects, etc. Spatial variability can be caused by runoff,

	soil pH, and topography.
13.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): During years with average to above average precipitation, there should be no mortality or decadence in either perennial grasses or grasslikes. During severe (multi-year) droughts that affect groundwater levels, up to 10% of the perennial plants may die. There may be partial mortality of individual grasses and grasslikes during less severe droughts.
14.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Litter ranges from 0-20% of total canopy measurement with total litter (including beneath the plant canopy) from 80-100% expected. Herbaceous litter depth typically ranges from 10-25 mm.
15.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): Total annual production ranges from 3200-4400 lb/ac (3586-4931 kg/ha); with an average annual production of 3800 lb/ac (4259 kg/ha).
16.	Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Bare ground greater than 20% is the most common indicator of a threshold being crossed. Greasewood and inland saltgrass are common increasers. Redtop bentgrass and foxtail barley may degrade the site.
17.	Perennial plant reproductive capability: All species are capable of reproducing, except in drought years.