

Ecological site EX044B01A030 Limy (Ly) LRU 01 Subset A

Last updated: 9/11/2023 Accessed: 05/19/2024

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Grant Petersen
Contact for lead author	grant.petersen@mt.usda.gov
Date	02/20/2019
Approved by	Kirt Walstad
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators		
1.	Number and extent of rills: No Rills Present	
2.	Presence of water flow patterns: Water flow patterns are rare in the reference condition. If present, they are most likely to occur on steeper slopes (10-15%) and are inconspicuous, disconnected, and very short in length.	
3.	Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: No Pedestals or Terracettes present	
4.	Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): Bare ground is between 10-15 percent.	
5.	Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: No Gullies Present	
6.	Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: Wind scoured, or depositional areas are not evident in the reference condition.	

7.	Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Movement of fine herbaceous litter may occur within less than a foot from where it originated.
8.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Soil Surface Stable with Stability Ratings of 4-6 (both under canopy and bare). Biotic crusts and or root mats may be present
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Granular to subangular blocky, A horizon 2-4 inches (5-10cm) thick, light to dark grey-brown color (Value of 6 or less, Chroma 3 or less) Official Series Description (OSD) for characteristic range.
10.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Evenly distributed across the site, bunchgrasses improve infiltration while rhizomatous grass protects the surface from runoff forces. The Limy ecological site is well drained and has a high infiltration rate. An even distribution of Mid-Statured Bunchgrasses (60-70%), Rhizomatous grasses (5-10%) along with a mix of Shortgrasses (5-15%), Forbs (1-10%) and Shrubs (1-10%).
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): Not Present
	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):
	Dominant: Mid-Statured, cool season, perennial bunchgrasses
	Sub-dominant: cool season shortgrasses ≥ Rhizomatous grasses ≥ perennial forbs = shrubs
	Other: Native annual grasses and forbs
	Additional:
13.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Mortality in herbaceous species is not evident. Species with bunch growth forms may have some natural mortality in centers.
14.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Total litter cover ranges from 25 to 30%. Most litter is irregularly distributed on the soil surface and is not at a measurable depth.
15.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): Average annual production is 975. Low: 600 High 1225 lbs per acre. Production varies based on effective precipitation and natural variability of soil properties for this ecological site.

16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: SPotential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). Invasive species on this ecological site include (but not limited to) annual brome spp., spotted knapweed, yellow toadflax, leafy spurge, ventenata, crested wheatgrass

Native species with the ability to indicate degradation however species presence alone does not imply degradation: Sandberg bluegrass (*Poa secunda*), Big sagebrush (*Artemisia tridentata*), Three-tip sagebrush (Artemisia tripartita), Broom snakeweed (*Gutierrezia sarothrae*), Rubber rabbitbrush (*Ericameria nauseosa*), Yellow rabbitbrush (*Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus*), Rocky Mountain Juniper (*Juniperus scopulorum*), Douglas fir (Psuedotsuga menziesii)

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:** In the reference condition, all plants are vigorous enough for reproduction either by seed or rhizomes in order to balance natural mortality with species recruitment. Density of plants indicates that plants reproduce at level sufficient to fill available resource.