

Ecological site EX044B01Y081 Riparian Subirrigated (RSb) LRU 01 Subset Y

Last updated: 9/07/2023
Accessed: 04/27/2024

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Grant Petersen
Contact for lead author	grant.petersen@usda.gov
Date	09/12/2019
Approved by	Kirt Walstad
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:** Not present

2. **Presence of water flow patterns:** Water flow patterns as a result of flooding may be present and are part of the natural dynamics of the system. These flow patterns tend to stabilize quickly as a result of deep rooted

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:** Not Present

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):** Due to high amounts of plant production and litter amounts, bare ground will be zero.

5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:** Not Present

6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:** Wind movement of soil particles will not occur on this site.

7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):** Typically litter movement is not associated with this site; however under exceptional flooding conditions, all size classes of litter may move hundreds of feet to areas of small debris dams.
-
8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):** This site is considered to have high resistance to erosion and will not have canopy gaps. Site Stability readings of 5 or 6. Root mats are common.
-
9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):** A horizon is 8-11 inches thick and sometimes under an organic root mat (Oe horizon). Colors can be variable due to mixed origins of alluvium however are considered dark with Munsell Color Values typically 4 or less with Chromas of 2 or less. This suggests high organic matter content. Several soils common on this site will have thin organic layers up to 3 inches above mineral A horizon. Structure of the A horizon is medium granular however in areas that receive more frequent water inundation the granular structure may part to a weak platy structure as a result of eluviation.
-
10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:** The high amounts of fine to coarse fibrous roots from the grasses and sedges combined with the deep coarse roots of the shrubs creates areas of moderate to rapid infiltration. Runoff is typically very low. Site often absorbs runoff from neighboring sites. Organic horizons offer buffering capacity also.
-
11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):** Site will not have a compaction layer. Areas that receive frequent inundation may express a platy E horizon or have weak platy structure in the A horizon. These characteristics may be mistaken for compaction. Compaction layers on this site are often associated with site hummocking and often exhibit massive (sometimes known called structureless) subsoil.
-
12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**
- Dominant: Shrubs (primarily Salix spp) = Tall Sedges and grasses
- Sub-dominant: Forbs = increaser grasses and grasslikes > Trees
- Other:
- Additional:
-
13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):** No mortality is evident in any functional group under reference conditions.
-
14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):** Litter amounts will be high and can exceed 65%. Litter depth will be at least 1" deep
-

15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):** Annual production is 4150 to 4800lbs per acre of above ground species.
-

16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:** Non-native species common on this site include (but not limited to): Kentucky bluegrass, Canada bluegrass, smooth brome, creeping meadow foxtail, houndstongue, leafy spurge, Canada thistle, whitetop, sulphur cinquefoil, purple loosestrife, Russian olive, salt cedar (Tamarisk), and paleyellow iris

Native species capable of indicating degraded states however presence alone does not imply degradation includes: cottonwood (Populus spp.), ponderosa pine, Rocky Mountain juniper, Arctic rush, smallwing sedge

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:** Capability very high. Density of plants indicates that plants reproduce at level sufficient to fill available resource. No restriction on seed or vegetative reproductive capacity.
-