

Ecological site R046XC520MT Riparian Subirrigated (RSb) RRU 46-C 13-19 PZ

Last updated: 4/29/2024 Accessed: 05/04/2024

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

| Author(s)/participant(s) | G. Petersen K. Walstad |
|---|---------------------------|
| Contact for lead author | grant.petersen@usda.gov |
| Date | 03/01/2020 |
| Approved by | Kirt Walstad |
| Approval date | |
| Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on | Annual Production |

| Inc | Indicators | | |
|-----|--|--|--|
| 1. | Number and extent of rills: Rills are not present in the reference condition. | | |
| 2. | Presence of water flow patterns: Water flow patterns are not present in the reference condition. | | |
| 3. | Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: Pedestals are not evident in the reference condition. | | |
| 4. | Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): Bare ground is 0-2%. It consists of small, randomly scattered patches. | | |
| 5. | Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: Gullies are not present in the reference condition. | | |
| 6. | Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: Wind scoured, or depositional areas are not evident in the reference condition. | | |

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Litter movement is not evident in the

| 8. | Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): The average soil stability rating is 5-6 under plant canopies and plant interspaces. The A horizon is 18-25 inches thick. | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 9. | Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Soil Structure at the surface is typically strong to medium fine granular. A Horizon should be 18-25 inches thick with color, when wet, typically ranging in Value of 3 or less and Chroma of 2 or less. A thin organic horizon may exist on this site. Local geology may affect color, it is important to reference the Official Series Description (OSD) for characteristic range. https://soilseries.sc.egov.usda.gov/osdname.aspx | | |
| 0. | Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Infiltration of the Riparian Subirrigated ecological site is moderate to moderately rapid. The site is somewhat poorly drained. An even distribution of shrubs (40-50%), mid stature, cool season bunchgrasses (25-35%), cool season sedges (20-30%) along with forbs (5-10%), and rhizomatous grass (<5%) | | |
| 1. | Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): A compaction layer is not present in the reference condition. | | |
| 2. | Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to): | | |
| | Dominant: Shrubs > Mid-statured, cool season, perennial bunchgrasses = cool, season sedges | | |
| | Sub-dominant: forbs > rhizomatous grasses | | |
| | | | |
| | Other: | | |
| | Other: Additional: | | |
| 3. | | | |

production): Average annual production is 4070. Low: 3485 High 4700. Production varies based on effective

precipitation and natural variability of soil properties for this ecological site.

reference condition.

| 16. | Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize |
|-----|---|
| | degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if |
| | their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that |
| | become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not |
| | invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state |
| | for the ecological site: Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). Invasive species on this |
| | ecological site include (but not limited to) sulphur cinquefoil, houndstounge, whitetop, Canada thistle, yellow toadflax, |
| | leafy spurge, Kentucky bluegrass, Redtop, Creeping meadow foxtail |
| | Native species such as lupine, larkspur, Rocky Mountain iris when their populations are significant enough to affect |
| | ecological function, indicate site condition departure. |
| | |

| 17. | Perennial plant reproductive capability: In the reference condition, all plants are vigorous enough for reproduction |
|-----|--|
| | either by seed or rhizomes in order to balance natural mortality with species recruitment. |