

# **Ecological site R047XA614UT Subalpine Loam (cranesbill)**

Accessed: 07/17/2024

#### **General information**

**Provisional**. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.



Figure 1. Mapped extent

Areas shown in blue indicate the maximum mapped extent of this ecological site. Other ecological sites likely occur within the highlighted areas. It is also possible for this ecological site to occur outside of highlighted areas if detailed soil survey has not been completed or recently updated.

## **Classification relationships**

Modal Soil: Faim L, 3-25% — fine, montmorillonitic, argic Pachic Cryoborolls

## **Associated sites**

R047XA630UT	Subalpine Stony Loam (snowfield sagebrush)
-------------	--

#### Similar sites

R047XA630UT	Subalpine Stony Loam (snowfield sagebrush)
-------------	--

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	Not specified
	<ul><li>(1) Geranium viscosissimum</li><li>(2) Bromus carinatus</li></ul>

## Physiographic features

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Mountain slope
Elevation	2,591–3,048 m
Slope	3–25%

#### **Climatic features**

Climate is cool and humid with cold, snowy winters.

Table 3. Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (average)	40 days
Freeze-free period (average)	0 days
Precipitation total (average)	1,016 mm

## Influencing water features

#### Soil features

The soil is very deep and well-drained. It formed in alluvium and glacial till derived dominantly from sedimentary rocks. The surface layer is dark brown loam about 16 inches thick. The subsoil is dark brown clay loam or clay 27 inches thick. The substratum is dark brown cobbly clay loam to 60 inches or more. Soil permeability is moderately slow. Available water capacity is about 9 to 10 inches. The water supplying capacity is 22 to 27 inches. Runoff is rapid and the hazard of water erosion is severe.

Table 4. Representative soil features


Surface texture	(1) Clay loam (2) Clay
Drainage class	Well drained
Permeability class	Moderately slow
Soil depth	152 cm
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	22.86–25.4 cm

## **Ecological dynamics**


As this site deteriorates due to grazing pressure mountain brome, slender wheatgrass, sticky geranium, and thickleaf peavine decrease while yarrow and Louisiana wormwood increase. Under fire the forbs will decrease and some of the grasses will increase.

#### State and transition model

## **Ecosystem states**



## State 1 submodel, plant communities



## State 1 Reference State

## Community 1.1 Reference State

The general view of this site is grass-forb. The natural plant community is composed of approximately 40 percent perennial grasses, and 60 percent forbs, and negligible amount of shrubs.

Table 5. Annual production by plant type

Plant Type	Low (Kg/Hectare)	Representative Value (Kg/Hectare)	•
Forb	841	1244	1580
Grass/Grasslike	560	829	1054
Total	1401	2073	2634

#### Table 6. Ground cover

Tree foliar cover	0%
Shrub/vine/liana foliar cover	0%
Grass/grasslike foliar cover	29-31%
Forb foliar cover	39-41%
Non-vascular plants	0%
Biological crusts	0%
Litter	0%
Surface fragments >0.25" and <=3"	0%
Surface fragments >3"	0%
Bedrock	0%
Water	0%
Bare ground	0%

Table 7. Canopy structure (% cover)

Height Above Ground (M)	Tree	Shrub/Vine	Grass/ Grasslike	Forb
<0.15	_	_	_	-
>0.15 <= 0.3	_	_	_	-
>0.3 <= 0.6	_	_	29-31%	39-41%
>0.6 <= 1.4	_	_	_	-
>1.4 <= 4	_	_	_	-
>4 <= 12	_	-	-	_
>12 <= 24	_	-	-	-
>24 <= 37	_	-	-	-
>37	_	1	-	-

## Additional community tables

Table 8. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production (Kg/Hectare)	Foliar Cover (%)	
Grass/Grasslike						
0	Dominant Grasses			447–703		
	California brome	BRCA5	Bromus carinatus	213–319	-	
	slender wheatgrass	ELTR7	Elymus trachycaulus	106–213	-	
	Columbia needlegrass	ACNE9	Achnatherum nelsonii	64–106	-	
	nodding bluegrass	PORE	Poa reflexa	64	-	
1	Sub-Dominant Grasses			298–681		
	Grass, annual	2GA	Grass, annual	106–213	_	
	Grass, perennial	2GP	Grass, perennial	106–213	_	
	spike fescue	LEKI2	Leucopoa kingii	21–64	_	
	oniongrass	MEBU	Melica bulbosa	21–64	_	
	spike trisetum	TRSP2	Trisetum spicatum	21–64	_	
Forb						
0	Dominant Forbs			724–1065		
	sticky purple geranium	GEVI2	Geranium viscosissimum	319–426	-	
	Nevada pea	LALA3	Lathyrus lanszwertii	213–319	-	
	Fendler's meadow-rue	THFE	Thalictrum fendleri	64–106	-	
	common sneezeweed	HEAU	Helenium autumnale	64–106	-	
	white sagebrush	ARLU	Artemisia ludoviciana	64–106	-	
2	Sub-Dominant Forbs			575–1086		
	Forb, annual	2FA	Forb, annual	213–319	-	
	Forb, perennial	2FP	Forb, perennial	213–319	-	
	common yarrow	ACMI2	Achillea millefolium	21–64	-	
	pale agoseris	AGGL	Agoseris glauca	21–64	-	
	showy goldeneye	HEMU3	Heliomeris multiflora	21–64		
	tall fringed bluebells	MECI3	Mertensia ciliata	21–64		
	Tolmie's owl's-clover	ORTO	Orthocarpus tolmiei	21–64		
	hookedspur violet	VIAD	Viola adunca	21–64		

## **Animal community**

This site is grazed by cattle and sheep during the summer and fall.

This site provides food for many species or wildlife. It rates high for rangeland and openland but low for wetland and woodland.

This site is used by coyote, badger, mule deer, elk, and blue grouse.

## **Hydrological functions**

The soil series in this site are in hydrologic group c and the hydrologic curve number is 74 when the vegetation is in good condition.

#### Recreational uses

This site has high values for aesthetics and natural beauty. The diversity of forbs blooming gives it a high value.

#### **Wood products**

None

#### **Contributors**

Darryl Trickler, Tim Watson

## Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	V. Keith Wadman, NRCS Retired.
Contact for lead author	shane.green@ut.usda.gov
Date	10/27/2012
Approved by	Shane A. Green
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

#### **Indicators**

- 1. **Number and extent of rills:** None. A very slight amount of rill development may be observed following large storm events or spring runoff periods, but they should heal within the following growing season. Slight rill development may also be observed where the site is adjacent to ecological sites that produce large amounts of runoff (i.e. steeper sites, slickrock, etc.).
- 2. **Presence of water flow patterns:** None to rare. Any flow patterns present should be sinuous and wind around perennial plant bases. They should be short (5 to 10 feet), < one foot wide, and spaced from 20 to 30 feet apart. They should be stable with only minor evidence of deposition. Flooding and ponding do not normally occur on these high elevation meadows and their seasonal water table is typically 40 to 60 inches below the surface.

3.	<b>Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:</b> None to rare. A few plants may show very minor pedestalling where they are adjacent to any water flow patterns present, but there will be no exposed roots. Terracettes are not present.
4.	Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): 10 to 20% bare ground. Any bare ground openings present should be < 1 foot in size and should not be connected.
5.	Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: None to Rare at site level. Scattered landscape level gully channels, however, are a normal component of basin/range environments. Where landscape gullies are present, they should be stable, partially vegetated on their sides and bottoms, with no evidence of head-cutting. Some slight increase in disturbance may be evident following significant weather events or when gullies convey considerable runoff from higher elevation rocky or naturally eroding areas.
6.	Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: No evidence of wind generated soil movement. Wind scoured (blowouts) and depositional areas are not present.
7.	Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): The majority of litter accumulates in place at the base of plant canopies. Slight movement of the finest material (< 1/4 inch) may move 1 to 2 feet downslope when transported by water. Little accumulation is observed behind obstructions.
8.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): This site should have a soil stability rating of 5 to 6 under plant canopies and a rating of 4 to 5 in any interspaces present. The average should be 5. Surface textures typically vary from sandy loams to loams.
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): (Faim) Soil surface is typically 0 to 14 inches deep. Surface texture is a loam, and structure is weak fine granular. The A-horizon color is dark grayish brown, (10YR 4/2). Soils have an Mollic epipedon that extends 45 inches into the soil profile. Use the specific information for the soil you are assessing found in the published soil survey to supplement this description.
10.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Perennial vegetation breaks raindrop impact and reduces splash erosion. Dense distribution of plants slows runoff by obstructing surface flows, allowing time for increased infiltration.
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): None. This site will normally have textural changes within its' profile. These should not be mistaken for compaction layers.

12.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):
	Dominant: Perennial Grasses and grass-likes (Mountain brome, Columbia needlegrass) > Rhizomatous Grasses (slender wheatgrass)
	Sub-dominant: Perennial Forbs (geranium, thickleaf peavine).
	Other: Functional/structural groups may appropriately contain non-native species if their ecological function is the same as the native species in the reference state. Biological soil crust is variable in its' expression where present on this site and is measured as a component of ground cover. Perennial and annual forbs can be expected to vary widely in their expression in the plant community based upon departures from average growing conditions.
	Additional: Disturbance regimes include insects, infrequent fire, and flooding. Temporal variability can be caused by fires, droughts, insects, etc. Spatial variability can be caused by runoff, soil pH, and topography.
13.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): During years with average to above average precipitation, there should be no mortality or decadence in either perennial grasses or grasslikes. During severe (multi-year) droughts that affect groundwater levels, up to 10% of the perennial plants may die. There may be partial mortality of individual grasses and grasslikes during less severe droughts.
14.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Litter cover ranges from 40 to 60%. Depth should be 1 inch thickness in any interspaces 2 inches under perennial plant canopies.
15.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): Annual production in air-dry herbage should be approximately 1800 to 1900 pounds per acre on an average year. Production could vary from 1200 to 2400 pounds per acre during drought or above-average years.
16.	Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Black medic, Canada thistle, curlycup gumweed, whitetop and other non-native forbs and grasses.
17.	Perennial plant reproductive capability: All perennial plants should have the ability to reproduce sexually or asexually in most years, except in drought years.