

# Ecological site R048AY405UT Mountain Loam (Mountain Big Sagebrush)

Last updated: 3/01/2024  
Accessed: 05/02/2024

## General information

**Provisional.** A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.




Figure 1. Mapped extent

Areas shown in blue indicate the maximum mapped extent of this ecological site. Other ecological sites likely occur within the highlighted areas. It is also possible for this ecological site to occur outside of highlighted areas if detailed soil survey has not been completed or recently updated.

## MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 048A–Southern Rocky Mountains

MLRA 48A makes up about 45,920 square miles (119,000 square kilometers) and is the southern part of the Rocky Mountains. The Southern Rocky Mountains lies east of the Colorado Plateau, south of the Wyoming Basin, west of the Great Plains, and north of the Rio Grande Rift. It is in western and central Colorado, southeastern Wyoming, eastern Utah, and northern New Mexico. The headwaters of major rivers such as the Colorado, Yampa, Arkansas, Rio Grande, North Platte and South Plate rivers are located here. This MLRA has numerous national forests, including the Medicine Bow National Forest in Wyoming; the Routt, Arapaho, Roosevelt, Pike, San Isabel, White River, Gunnison, Grand Mesa, Uncompahgre, Rio Grande, and San Juan National Forests in Colorado; the Carson National Forest and part of the Santa Fe National Forest in New Mexico. Rocky Mountain National Park also is in this MLRA.

MLRA 48A is the southern Rocky Mountains physiographic region. The Southern Rocky Mountains consist primarily of two belts of strongly sloping to precipitous mountain ranges trending north to south. Several basins, or parks, are between the belts. Some high mesas and plateaus are included. It is characterized by mountain ranges that were uplifted during the Laramide Orogeny and then had periods of glaciation. The ranges include the Sangre de Cristo Mountains, the Laramie Mountains, and the Front Range in the east and the San Juan Mountains and the Sawatch and Park Ranges in the west. The ranges are dissected by many narrow stream valleys having steep gradients. In some areas the upper mountain slopes and broad crests are covered by snowfields and glaciers. Elevation typically

ranges from 6,500 to 14,400 feet (1,980 to 4,390 meters) in this area. The part of this MLRA in central Colorado includes the highest point in the Rockies, Mount Elbert, which reaches an elevation of 14,433 feet (4,400 meters). More than 50 peaks in the part of the MLRA in Colorado are at an elevation of more than 14,000 feet (4,270 meters). Many small glacial lakes are in the high mountains.

The mountains in this area were formed mainly by crustal uplifts during the late Cretaceous and early Tertiary periods. This large MLRA can be subdivided into at least 4 large general divisions. First is the Rockies on the east side of this area are called the "Front Range," which is a fault block that has been tilted up on edge and uplifted and is largely igneous and metamorphic geology. It was tilted up on the east edge, so there is a steep front on the east and the west side is more gently sloping and in the south east there are rocks exposed in the mountains are mostly Precambrian igneous and metamorphic rocks. Second is the tertiary rocks, primarily basalt and andesitic lava flows, tuffs, breccias, and conglomerates, are throughout this area (San Juan Mountains Area). The third division is Northwest part of the MLRA is dominantly sedimentary rock from the cretaceous/tertiary and Permian/Pennsylvanian periods. The fourth subset is the long and narrow Sangre de Cristos mountains uplifted in the Cenozoic are between the Rio Grande rift and the great plains. Many of the highest mountain ranges were reshaped by glaciation during the Pleistocene. Alluvial fans at the base of the mountains are recharge zones for local basin and valley fill aquifers. They also are important sources of sand and gravel.

The average annual precipitation ranges predominantly from 12 to 63 inches. Summer rainfall commonly occurs as high-intensity, convective thunderstorms. About half of the annual precipitation occurs as snow in winter; this proportion increases with elevation. In the mountains, deep snowpacks accumulate throughout the winter and generally persist into spring or early summer, depending on elevation. Some permanent snowfields and small glaciers are on the highest mountain peaks. In the valleys at the lower elevations, snowfall is lighter and snowpacks can be intermittent. The average annual temperature is 26 to 54 degrees F (-3 to 12 degrees C). The freeze-free period averages 135 days and ranges from 45 to 230 days, decreasing in length with elevation. The climate of this area is strongly dependent upon elevation; precipitation is greater, and temperatures are cooler at the higher elevations. The plant communities vary with elevation, aspect and change in latitudes due to changing in precipitation kind and timing and temperature.

The dominant soil orders in this MLRA are Mollisols, Alfisols, Inceptisols, and Entisols. The soils in the area dominantly have a frigid or cryic soil temperature regime and an ustic or udic soil moisture regime. Mineralogy is typically mixed, smectitic, or paramicaceous. In areas with granite, gneiss, and schist bedrock, Glossocryalfs (Seitz, Granile, and Leadville series) and Haplocryolls (Rogert series) formed in colluvium on mountain slopes. Dystrocryepts (Leighcan and Mummy series) formed on mountain slopes and summits at the higher elevations. In areas of andesite and rhyolite bedrock, Dystrocryepts (Endlich and Whitecross series) formed in colluvium on mountain slopes. In areas of sedimentary bedrock, Haplustolls (Towave series) formed on mountain slopes at low elevations and with low precipitation. Haplocryolls (Lamphier and Razorba series), Argicryolls (Cochetopa series), and Haplocryalfs (Needleton series) formed in colluvium on mountain slopes at high elevations.

## Ecological site concept

The soil is moderately deep to very deep and well drained. It formed in slope alluvium and/or colluvium over residuum derived from limestone, sandstone, and shale. Permeability is moderately slow to moderately rapid. The available water capacity is 4 to 8 inches in the top 60 inches of soil. Rock fragments may be present on the soil surface and throughout the profile, but make up less than 35 percent of the soil volume. Runoff is medium to high. pH is neutral to moderately alkaline. The soil moisture regime is mostly ustic and the soil temperature regime is frigid. Precipitation ranges from 16 to 22 inches annually.

## Associated sites

R048AY409UT	Mountain Loam (Salina Wildrye)
R048AY448UT	Mountain Stony Loam (Mountain Big Sagebrush)

## Similar sites

R048AY409UT	Mountain Loam (Salina Wildrye)
-------------	--------------------------------

**Table 1. Dominant plant species**

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	(1) <i>Artemisia tridentata ssp. vaseyana</i>
Herbaceous	(1) <i>Leymus salinus ssp. salinus</i>

## Physiographic features

This ecological site typically is on mountain slopes and scarp slopes. Sites are located between 6,500 to 9,500 feet in elevation. Slopes normally range from 2 to 40 percent.

**Table 2. Representative physiographic features**

Landforms	(1) Mountain slope (2) Scarp slope
Runoff class	Medium to high
Flooding frequency	None
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	1,981–2,896 m
Slope	2–40%

## Climatic features

Average annual precipitation is 16 to 22 inches. Approximately 55 percent occurs as rain from may through october. On the average, November through June are the driest months and July through October are the wettest months. Cool temperatures and length of growing season are important environmental factors in this site. In average years, plants begin growth around April 20 and end growth around September 30.

**Table 3. Representative climatic features**

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	406-559 mm

## Influencing water features

Due to its landscape position, this site is not influenced by streams or wetlands.

## Soil features

The soil is moderately deep to very deep and well drained. It formed in slope alluvium and/or colluvium over residuum derived from limestone, sandstone, and shale. Permeability is moderately slow to moderately rapid. The available water capacity is 4 to 8 inches in the top 60 inches of soil. Rock fragments may be present on the soil surface and throughout the profile, but make up less than 35 percent of the soil volume. Runoff is medium to high. pH is neutral to moderately alkaline. The soil moisture regime is mostly ustic and the soil temperature regime is frigid. Precipitation ranges from 16-22 inches annually.

**Table 4. Representative soil features**

Parent material	(1) Slope alluvium–limestone, sandstone, and shale (2) Colluvium–limestone, sandstone, and shale (3) Residuum–limestone, sandstone, and shale
-----------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Surface texture	(1) Fine sandy loam (2) Cobbly fine sandy loam (3) Loam
Family particle size	(1) Fine-loamy
Drainage class	Well drained
Permeability class	Moderately slow to moderately rapid
Depth to restrictive layer	51–254 cm
Soil depth	51–254 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	0–20%
Surface fragment cover >3"	0–14%
Available water capacity (Depth not specified)	10.16–20.32 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (Depth not specified)	0–20%
Electrical conductivity (Depth not specified)	0–2 mmhos/cm
Sodium adsorption ratio (Depth not specified)	0–3
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (Depth not specified)	6.6–8.4
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	0–15%
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	0–10%

## Ecological dynamics

It is impossible to determine in any quantitative detail the historic climax plant community (HCPC) for this ecological site because of the lack of direct historical documentation preceding all human influence. In some areas, the earliest reports of dominant plants include the cadastral survey conducted by the General Land Office, which began in the late 19th century for this area. However, up to the 1870s the Shoshone Indians, prevalent in northern Utah and neighboring states, grazed horses and set fires to alter the vegetation for their needs. Europeans brought cattle and horses to the area, grazing large numbers of them on unfenced parcels year-long. Itinerant and local sheep flocks followed, largely replacing cattle as the browse component increased.

Below is a State and Transition Model diagram to illustrate the “phases” (common plant communities), and “states” (aggregations of those plant communities) that can occur on the site. Differences between phases and states depend primarily upon observations of a range of disturbance histories in areas where this ESD is represented. These situations include grazing gradients to water sources, fence-line contrasts, patches with differing dates of fire, herbicide treatment, tillage, etc. Reference State 1 illustrates the common plant communities that probably existed just prior to European settlement.

The major successional pathways within states, (“community pathways”) are indicated by arrows between phases. “Transitions” are indicated by arrows between states. The drivers of these changes are indicated in codes decipherable by referring to the legend at the bottom of the page and by reading the detailed narratives that follow the diagram. The transition between Reference State 1 and State 2 is considered irreversible because of the naturalization of exotic species of both flora and fauna, possible extinction of native species, and climate change. There may have also been accelerated soil erosion.

When available, monitoring data (of various types) were employed to validate more subjective inferences made in this diagram. See the complete files in the office of the State Range Conservationist for more details.

The plant communities shown in this State and Transition Model may not represent every possibility, but are

probably the most prevalent and recurring plant communities. As more monitoring data are collected, some phases or states may be revised, removed, and/or new ones may be added. None of these plant communities should necessarily be thought of as “Desired Plant Communities.” According to the USDA NRCS National Range & Pasture Handbook (USDA-NRCS 2003), Desired Plant Communities (DPC’s) will be determined by the decision-makers and will meet minimum quality criteria established by the NRCS. The main purpose for including descriptions of a plant community is to capture the current knowledge at the time of this revision.

#### State 1: Reference State

##### Community Phase 1.1: Reference State

The Reference State is a description of this ecological site just prior to Euro-American settlement but long after the arrival of Native Americans. The description of the Reference State was determined by NRCS Soil Survey Type Site Location information and familiarity with rangeland relict areas where they exist. The least modified plant community would have been co-dominated by mountain big sagebrush (*Artemisia tridentata* ssp. *vaseyana*) and a mixture of herbaceous species (1.1). The primary disturbance factor prior to European colonization would have been wildfire (1.1a), which would have removed the sagebrush and allowed the herbs to dominate for a time (1.2). As the time elapsed since the last wildfire grew longer (1.2a), mountain big sagebrush would have increased, and the herbaceous component would have decreased correspondingly. A more complete list of species by lifeform for the Reference State is available in the accompanying tables in the “Plant Community Composition by Weight and Percentage” section of this document.

##### Community Phase 1.1: mountain big sagebrush-steppe/ rich & productive herbaceous component

This plant community would have been characterized by the presence of mountain big sagebrush with a rich and productive herbaceous layer.

##### Community Pathway 1.1a:

Wildfire would remove sagebrush, allowing the herbs to dominate for a time.

##### Community Phase 1.2: herb dominated

This phase would have been dominated by herbaceous species and having few, if any, mountain big sagebrush present.

##### Community Pathway 1.2a:

Over time, sagebrush would increase, and the herbaceous understory would decrease slightly.

##### Transition T1a: (State 1 to State 2)

The simultaneous introduction of exotic species, both plants and animals, possible extinctions of native flora and fauna, and climate change has caused State 1 to transition to State 2. Reversal of such historic changes (i.e. a return pathway) back to State 1 is not practical.

#### State 2: Mountain Big Sagebrush-Steppe/ Introduced Non-natives State

##### Community Phase 2.1: Mountain Big Sagebrush-Steppe/ Introduced Non-natives State

State 2 is identical to State 1 in form and function, with the exception of the presence of non-native plants and animals, possible extinctions of native species, and a different climate. State 2 is a description of the ecological site shortly following Euro-American settlement. This State can be regarded as the current potential. This State varies in the amount of mountain big sagebrush present based upon the time elapsed since the last wildfire. The least modified plant community is a mountain big sagebrush-steppe, characterized by the co-dominance shrubs and herbaceous species. Mountain big sagebrush is the dominant shrub, but other minor shrubs may be present. There is a rich and diverse mixture of herbs as well. Dominant grasses include salina wildrye, muttongrass, and slender wheatgrass, and forbs include yarrow, buckwheat, and lupines, among others (2.1). A small component of non-natives will also be present. Wildfire (2.1a) will remove sagebrush and allow the community to become dominated by herbaceous species for a time (2.2). As the length of time elapsed since the last wildfire grows longer (2.2a), sagebrush will re-establish, and the herbs will decrease slightly. This State is maintained by periodic wildfire and by a healthy, productive, and diverse plant community that can provide native seed sources and promotes soil stability, water infiltration, and soil moisture retention. The resiliency of this State will be maintained by reducing or altering seasons of use and number of livestock. Conversely, this State’s resiliency will be negatively impacted by continuous season-long livestock use.

Community Phase 2.1: Mountain big sagebrush-steppe/ rich & productive herbaceous component

This plant community is characterized by co-dominance of mountain big sagebrush and a rich and productive understory of herbs.

Community Pathway 2.1a:

Wildfire will remove sagebrush, allowing the herbs to dominate for a time.

Community Phase 2.2: herb dominated

This phase is dominated by herbaceous species and having few, if any, mountain big sagebrush present.

Community Pathway 2.2a:

Over time, sagebrush will increase, and the herbaceous understory will decrease slightly.

Transition T2a: from State 2 to State 3 (Mountain Big Sagebrush-Steppe/ Introduced Non-natives State to Mountain Big Sagebrush Super-dominance State)

Lack of fire and continued heavy livestock grazing during the growing season of grasses will cause State 2 to transition into the Mountain Big sagebrush Super-dominance State (State 3). The approach to this transition is indicated by a loss of the perennial grass understory, an increase in the shrub component relative to the grasses, and evidence of soil loss. The trigger causing this transition is heavy growing season grazing.

State 3: Mountain Big Sagebrush Super-dominance State

Community Phase 3.1: Mountain Big Sagebrush Super-dominance State

This State is characterized by a super-dominance of mountain big sagebrush with a markedly diminished grass component which occurs in the absence of fire and with continued heavy impacts from livestock grazing. The stability of this State is maintained by the lack of a healthy, productive and diverse herb component capable of providing native seed source, soil stabilization, and soil moisture retention, and by an abundant seed source for mountain big sagebrush. The resiliency of this State will be maintained by decreased grazing during the growing season of grasses. Conversely, the resiliency of this State will be negatively impacted by continued heavy growing season livestock use.

Community Phase 3.1: abundant Mountain big sagebrush / diminished perennial herbs

This plant community is characterized by a dramatic increase in mountain big sagebrush with substantial reduction in the perennial herbaceous component as compared to State 2.

Transition T3a: from State 3 to State 4 (Mountain Big Sagebrush Super-dominance State to Yellow Rabbitbrush State)

Wildfire or brush management, either by mechanical means or prescribed fire, will temporarily remove the mountain big sagebrush. However, an increase in yellow rabbitbrush (*Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus*) is expected in most circumstances. The herbaceous component will also increase after fire or brush beating. The approach to this transition is indicated by an increase in rabbitbrush seedlings. The transition is triggered by wildfire or mechanical removal of sagebrush accompanied by heavy grazing.

Transition T3b: from State 3 to State 5 (Mountain Big Sagebrush Super-dominance State to Native Perennial Grass State)

Brush management using 2, 4-D or 2, 4-5T will remove both the shrub and forb components, leaving the grasses. This transition is triggered by herbicide application.

Transition T3c: from State 3 to State 6 (Mountain Big Sagebrush Super-dominance State to Introduced Grassland State)

This transition occurs when a decision is made to increase forage production by tilling and re-seeding with intermediate wheatgrass (*Thinopyrum intermedium*), smooth brome (*Bromus inermis*), or orchardgrass (*Dactylis glomerata*) –all introduced (non-native) species.

Restoration Pathway: R3a

Prescribed grazing during the non-growing season of the grasses and forbs will allow the native perennial herbaceous species to re-establish, returning the community to a mountain big sagebrush-steppe (State 2).

## State 4: Yellow Rabbitbrush State

### Community Phase 4.1: Yellow Rabbitbrush State

This State is characterized by having an abundance of yellow rabbitbrush and forb species and a reduced amount of mountain big sagebrush. This State occurs when the sagebrush is removed by fire or mechanical means from an area where it was previously super-dominant. This State is maintained by lack of sagebrush seedling establishment. It could also be maintained by periodic sagebrush removal by fire.

### Community Phase 4.1: yellow rabbitbrush & forbs abundant/ mountain big sagebrush reduced

This Phase is characterized by having an abundance of yellow rabbitbrush and forb species and having a reduced amount of mountain big sagebrush.

### Transition T4a: from State 4 to State 3 (Yellow Rabbitbrush State to Mountain Big Sagebrush Super-dominance State)

Heavy continuous season long grazing will impact the herbaceous component, allowing the shrubs to return to dominance.

## State 5: Native Perennial Bunchgrass State

### Community Phase 5.1: Native Perennial Bunchgrass State

This State is dominated by native perennial bunchgrasses such as Salina wildrye, muttongrass, and slender wheatgrass. Shrubs and have been reduced and forbs eliminated by 2,4-D™ or 2,4-5T™ application. This State is maintained by the lack of shrub and forb seed source, and the abundance of native perennial grass seed source.

### Community Phase 5.1: increased native perennial grasses/ shrubs reduced/ forbs eliminated

This Phase is characterized by the dominance of perennial native bunchgrasses such as Salina wildrye, muttongrass, and slender wheatgrass. Shrubs and have been reduced and forbs eliminated.

### Transition T5a: from State 5 to State 3 (Native Perennial Bunchgrass State to Mountain Big Sagebrush Super-dominance State)


Heavy continuous season long grazing will impact the native graminoids, allowing the shrubs to return to dominance. The approach to this transition is indicated by an increase in sagebrush seedlings. This transition is triggered by heavy growing season livestock grazing.

## State 6: Introduced Grassland State

### Community Phase 6.1: Introduced Grassland State

This state is characterized by the dominance of seeded grasses such as intermediate wheatgrass, smooth brome, or orchardgrass. This state occurs when a decision is made to increase forage production by tilling and re-seeding introduced grasses. Periodic brush management is required to maintain the grass-dominance of this state. This resiliency of this State can be maintained by sustainable levels of livestock grazing as determined by monitoring. Conversely, continued heavy use will negatively impact the resiliency of this state.

## **State and transition model**



State 1  
Reference State

Community 1.1



## Reference Plant Community

The general view of this site is grass – mountain big sagebrush. The potential natural plant community by air-dry weight is composed of approximately 60 percent perennial grasses, 10 percent forbs, and 30 percent shrubs.

Table 5. Annual production by plant type

Plant Type	Low (Kg/Hectare)	Representative Value (Kg/Hectare)	High (Kg/Hectare)
Grass/Grasslike	639	908	1177
Shrub/Vine	319	454	588
Forb	106	151	196
<b>Total</b>	<b>1064</b>	<b>1513</b>	<b>1961</b>

Table 6. Ground cover

Tree foliar cover	0%
Shrub/vine/liana foliar cover	19-21%
Grass/grasslike foliar cover	49-51%
Forb foliar cover	4-6%
Non-vascular plants	0%
Biological crusts	0%
Litter	0%
Surface fragments >0.25" and <=3"	0%
Surface fragments >3"	0%
Bedrock	0%
Water	0%
Bare ground	0%

Table 7. Canopy structure (% cover)

Height Above Ground (M)	Tree	Shrub/Vine	Grass/ Grasslike	Forb
<0.15	–	–	–	–
>0.15 <= 0.3	–	–	–	4-6%
>0.3 <= 0.6	–	–	49-51%	–
>0.6 <= 1.4	–	19-21%	–	–
>1.4 <= 4	–	–	–	–
>4 <= 12	–	–	–	–
>12 <= 24	–	–	–	–
>24 <= 37	–	–	–	–
>37	–	–	–	–

## Additional community tables

Table 8. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production (Kg/Hectare)	Foliar Cover (%)
<b>Shrub/Vine</b>					
0	<b>Dominant Shrubs</b>			235–392	
	mountain big sagebrush	ABTBV	<i>Artemisia tridentata</i> ssp. <i>tridentata</i>	157–225	

	mountain big sagebrush	ARTRV	<i>Artemisia tridentata</i> ssp. <i>vaseyana</i>	157-235	-
	mountain snowberry	SYOR2	<i>Symphoricarpos oreophilus</i>	78-157	-
3	<b>Sub-Dominant Shrubs</b>			168-336	
	Shrub (>.5m)	2SHRUB	<i>Shrub (&gt;.5m)</i>	78-157	-
	Utah serviceberry	AMUT	<i>Amelanchier utahensis</i>	16-47	-
	alderleaf mountain mahogany	CEMO2	<i>Cercocarpus montanus</i>	16-47	-
	longflower rabbitbrush	CHDE2	<i>Chrysothamnus depressus</i>	16-47	-
	yellow rabbitbrush	CHVIL4	<i>Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus</i> ssp. <i>lanceolatus</i>	16-47	-
	crispleaf buckwheat	ERCO14	<i>Eriogonum corymbosum</i>	16-47	-
	broom snakeweed	GUSA2	<i>Gutierrezia sarothrae</i>	16-47	-
	creeping barberry	MARE11	<i>Mahonia repens</i>	16-47	-
	antelope bitterbrush	PUTR2	<i>Purshia tridentata</i>	16-47	-
	spineless horsebrush	TECA2	<i>Tetradymia canescens</i>	16-47	-
<b>Grass/Grasslike</b>					
0	<b>Dominant Grasses</b>			471-863	
	saline wildrye	LESAS	<i>Leymus salinus</i> ssp. <i>salinus</i>	157-235	-
	muttongrass	POFE	<i>Poa fendleriana</i>	78-157	-
	Letterman's needlegrass	ACLE9	<i>Achnatherum lettermanii</i>	78-157	-
	slender wheatgrass	ELTR7	<i>Elymus trachycaulus</i>	78-157	-
	needle and thread	HECO26	<i>Hesperostipa comata</i>	78-157	-
1	<b>Sub-Dominant Grasses</b>			280-717	
	Grass, annual	2GA	<i>Grass, annual</i>	78-157	-
	Grass, perennial	2GP	<i>Grass, perennial</i>	78-157	-
	Indian ricegrass	ACHY	<i>Achnatherum hymenoides</i>	16-47	-
	Columbia needlegrass	ACNE9	<i>Achnatherum nelsonii</i>	16-47	-
	blue grama	BOGR2	<i>Bouteloua gracilis</i>	16-47	-
	nodding brome	BRAN	<i>Bromus anomalus</i>	16-47	-
	Ross' sedge	CARO5	<i>Carex rossii</i>	16-47	-
	squirreltail	ELEL5	<i>Elymus elymoides</i>	16-47	-
	prairie Junegrass	KOMA	<i>Koeleria macrantha</i>	16-47	-
	western wheatgrass	PASM	<i>Pascopyrum smithii</i>	16-47	-
<b>Forb</b>					
2	<b>Sub-Dominant Forbs</b>			157-314	
	Forb, annual	2FA	<i>Forb, annual</i>	78-157	-
	Forb, perennial	2FP	<i>Forb, perennial</i>	78-157	-
	common yarrow	ACMI2	<i>Achillea millefolium</i>	16-47	-
	silverleaf milkvetch	ASAR4	<i>Astragalus argophyllus</i>	16-47	-
	Wyoming Indian paintbrush	CALI4	<i>Castilleja linariifolia</i>	16-47	-
	shaggy fleabane	ERPU2	<i>Erigeron pumilus</i>	16-47	-
	sulphur-flower buckwheat	ERUM	<i>Eriogonum umbellatum</i>	16-47	-
	Utah sweetvetch	HEBO	<i>Hedysarum boreale</i>	16-47	-
	fineleaf hymenopannus	HYFI	<i>Hymenopannus filifolius</i>	16-47	-

Common Name	Soil Series	Scientific Name	Soil Series	Soil Series
tailcup lupine	LUCAC3	<i>Lupinus caudatus ssp. caudatus</i>	16-47	-
Parry's goldenrod	ORPA3	<i>Oreochrysum parryi</i>	16-47	-
lobeleaf groundsel	PAMU11	<i>Packera multilobata</i>	16-47	-
Rocky Mountain penstemon	PEST2	<i>Penstemon strictus</i>	16-47	-
longleaf phlox	PHLO2	<i>Phlox longifolia</i>	16-47	-
Pacific aster	SYCHC	<i>Symphotrichum chilense var. chilense</i>	16-47	-
stemless four-nerve daisy	TEACA2	<i>Tetraeneuris acaulis var. acaulis</i>	16-47	-
Navajo tea	THSU	<i>Thelesperma subnudum</i>	16-47	-
American vetch	VIAM	<i>Vicia americana</i>	16-47	-

## Animal community

This site is suited for sheep and cattle grazing during fall, winter, and spring.

This site provides food and cover for many species of wildlife. Wildlife using this site include sage grouse, rabbit, coyote, mule deer and elk.

## Hydrological functions

The soil series in this site are in hydrologic groups B and C. The runoff curve numbers are 61 through 86 depending on the condition of the watershed.

## Recreational uses

This site offers color and aesthetic appeal during the growing season. Recreation values include hiking and hunting.

## Wood products

None

## Contributors

David Somerville

## Approval

Kirt Walstad, 3/01/2024

## Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Jack Alexander, Range Specialist, Synergy Resource Solutions, Inc. Julia Kluck, Soil Scientist, Synergy Resource Solutions, Inc. , Shane Green, NRCS
Contact for lead author	shane.green@ut.usda.gov

Date	02/07/2012
Approved by	Kirt Walstad
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

## Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:** No rills present. Very minor rill development may occur in sparsely vegetated areas. If rills are present, they should be widely spaced and not connected. Rill development may increase following large storm events, but should begin to heal during the following growing season. Frost heaving will accelerate recovery. Rill development may increase when run inflow enters site from adjacent sites that produce large amounts of runoff (i.e. steeper sites, slickrock, rock outcrop). Site is essentially level and rills do not form.

---

2. **Presence of water flow patterns:** Water flow patterns will be very short (1-3'), narrow (<1'), and meandering; interrupted by plants and exposed rocks. Slight to no evidence of erosion or deposition associated with flow patterns. Where slopes exceed 5%, water flow patterns may be of medium length (5 –10 feet).

---

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:** Plants may have small pedestals (1-3") where they are adjacent to water flow patterns, but without exposed roots. Terracettes should be few and stable. Terracettes should be small (1-3") and show little sign of active erosion. Some plants may appear to have a pedestal but rather than be formed by erosion, they are the result of litter and soil accumulating at plant bases, forming the appearance of a pedestal.

---

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):** 15-30% bare ground (soil with no protection from raindrop impact). Very few if any bare spaces of greater than 1 square foot. In general, bare ground increases as production decreases. As species composition of shrubs relative to grasses increases, bare ground is likely to increase. Poorly developed biological soil crust that is susceptible to erosion from raindrop impact should be recorded as bare ground.

---

5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:** No gullies present.

---

6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:** Very minor evidence of active wind-generated soil movement. Wind scoured (blowouts) and depositional areas are rarely present. If present they have muted features and are mostly stabilized with vegetation and/or biological crust.

---

7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):** Most litter resides in place with some redistribution caused by water and wind movement. Very minor litter removal may occur in water flow paths with deposition occurring at points of obstruction. Where litter movement does occur, litter accumulates at plant bases. Some leaves, stems, and small twigs may accumulate in soil depressions adjacent to plants. Woody stems are not likely to move.

---

8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of**

**values):** This site should have an erosion rating of 5 to 6 under plant canopies and a rating of 4 to 5 in the interspaces with an average rating of 5 using the soil stability kit test.

---

9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):** A11--0 to 6 inches; dark grayish brown (10YR 4/2) very fine sandy loam, very dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2) moist; moderate very fine granular structure; slightly hard, very friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, and medium roots; many very fine pores; mildly alkaline; clear smooth boundary. (4 to 8 inches thick)
- 
10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:** Bunchgrasses and shrubs are equally important for increasing infiltration and reducing runoff. Plant litter and canopy cover from all functional groups intercept rainfall and prevent splash erosion. Bunchgrasses contribute organic matter directly to soil through root decay, and organic matter helps stabilize soil aggregates and maintain soil porosity. Shrubs hold snow and slow wind evaporation. Bunchgrass bases intercept litter and soil in water flow paths, reducing runoff. Biological soil crusts (where present) are resistant to raindrop impact and splash erosion. Spatial distribution of vascular plants and well-developed biological soil crusts (where present) provides detention storage and surface roughness that slows runoff allowing time for infiltration. Interspaces between plants and any well-developed biological soil crusts (where present) may serve as water flow patterns during episodic runoff events, with natural erosion expected in severe storms.
- 
11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):** A compaction layer is not expected.
- 
12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**
- Dominant: Dominant: Perennial cool-season bunchgrasses (saline wildrye, Letterman's needlegrass, slender wheatgrass, needle and thread, muttongrass) = non-sprouting shrubs (mountain big sagebrush)
- Sub-dominant: Sub-dominant: Sprouting shrubs (mountain snowberry)
- Other: Other: Forbs = other perennial grasses = other shrubs
- Additional:
- 
13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):** During years with average to above average precipitation, there should be very little recent mortality or decadence apparent in either the shrubs or grasses. Some mortality of bunchgrass and other shrubs may occur during very severe (long-term) droughts. There may be partial mortality of individual bunchgrasses and shrubs during less severe drought and toward the end of the fire cycle. Long-lived species dominate the site. Open spaces from disturbance are quickly filled by new plants through seedlings and asexual reproduction (tillering).
- 
14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth ( in):** Litter cover includes litter under plants. Most litter will be fine (herbaceous) litter. Litter will be concentrated under plant canopies and sparser between plant canopies, with an average cover of 25-35% and an average depth of 0.5-1 inches. Litter cover may increase following years with favorable growing conditions. Excess litter may accumulate in absence of disturbance. Vegetative production may be reduced if

litter cover exceeds 40%.

---

15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):** 1300-1400 lbs/acre.

Even the most stable communities exhibit a range of production values. Production will vary between communities and across the MRLA. Refer to the community descriptions in the ESD. Production will differ across the MLRA due to the naturally occurring variability in weather, soils, and aspect. The biological processes on this site are complex; therefore, representative values are presented in a land management context.

---

16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:** Cheatgrass, mustards, kochia, Russian thistle, Rocky mountain juniper
- 

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:** All perennial plants should have the ability to reproduce sexually or asexually, except in drought years. Density of plants indicates that plants reproduce at level sufficient to fill available resource. Within capability of site there are no restrictions on seed or vegetative reproductive capacity.
-