


# **Ecological site R048AY473UT** **Mountain Very Steep Stony Loam (Shrub)**

Last updated: 3/01/2024  
 Accessed: 05/19/2024

## **General information**

**Provisional.** A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.



**Figure 1. Mapped extent**

Areas shown in blue indicate the maximum mapped extent of this ecological site. Other ecological sites likely occur within the highlighted areas. It is also possible for this ecological site to occur outside of highlighted areas if detailed soil survey has not been completed or recently updated.

## **MLRA notes**

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 048A–Southern Rocky Mountains

MLRA 48A makes up about 45,920 square miles (119,000 square kilometers) and is the southern part of the Rocky Mountains. The Southern Rocky Mountains lies east of the Colorado Plateau, south of the Wyoming Basin, west of the Great Plains, and north of the Rio Grande Rift. It is in western and central Colorado, southeastern Wyoming, eastern Utah, and northern New Mexico. The headwaters of major rivers such as the Colorado, Yampa, Arkansas, Rio Grande, North Platte and South Plate rivers are located here. This MLRA has numerous national forests, including the Medicine Bow National Forest in Wyoming; the Routt, Arapaho, Roosevelt, Pike, San Isabel, White River, Gunnison, Grand Mesa, Uncompahgre, Rio Grande, and San Juan National Forests in Colorado; the Carson National Forest and part of the Santa Fe National Forest in New Mexico. Rocky Mountain National Park also is in this MLRA.

MLRA 48A is the southern Rocky Mountains physiographic region. The Southern Rocky Mountains consist primarily of two belts of strongly sloping to precipitous mountain ranges trending north to south. Several basins, or parks, are between the belts. Some high mesas and plateaus are included. It is characterized by mountain ranges that were uplifted during the Laramide Orogeny and then had periods of glaciation. The ranges include the Sangre de Cristo Mountains, the Laramie Mountains, and the Front Range in the east and the San Juan Mountains and the Sawatch and Park Ranges in the west. The ranges are dissected by many narrow stream valleys having steep gradients. In some areas the upper mountain slopes and broad crests are covered by snowfields and glaciers. Elevation typically

ranges from 6,500 to 14,400 feet (1,980 to 4,390 meters) in this area. The part of this MLRA in central Colorado includes the highest point in the Rockies, Mount Elbert, which reaches an elevation of 14,433 feet (4,400 meters). More than 50 peaks in the part of the MLRA in Colorado are at an elevation of more than 14,000 feet (4,270 meters). Many small glacial lakes are in the high mountains.

The mountains in this area were formed mainly by crustal uplifts during the late Cretaceous and early Tertiary periods. This large MLRA can be subdivided into at least 4 large general divisions. First is the Rockies on the east side of this area are called the "Front Range," which is a fault block that has been tilted up on edge and uplifted and is largely igneous and metamorphic geology. It was tilted up on the east edge, so there is a steep front on the east and the west side is more gently sloping and in the south east there are rocks exposed in the mountains are mostly Precambrian igneous and metamorphic rocks. Second is the tertiary rocks, primarily basalt and andesitic lava flows, tuffs, breccias, and conglomerates, are throughout this area (San Juan Mountains Area). The third division is Northwest part of the MLRA is dominantly sedimentary rock from the cretaceous/tertiary and Permian/Pennsylvanian periods. The fourth subset is the long and narrow Sangre de Cristos mountains uplifted in the Cenozoic are between the Rio Grande rift and the great plains. Many of the highest mountain ranges were reshaped by glaciation during the Pleistocene. Alluvial fans at the base of the mountains are recharge zones for local basin and valley fill aquifers. They also are important sources of sand and gravel.

The average annual precipitation ranges predominantly from 12 to 63 inches. Summer rainfall commonly occurs as high-intensity, convective thunderstorms. About half of the annual precipitation occurs as snow in winter; this proportion increases with elevation. In the mountains, deep snowpacks accumulate throughout the winter and generally persist into spring or early summer, depending on elevation. Some permanent snowfields and small glaciers are on the highest mountain peaks. In the valleys at the lower elevations, snowfall is lighter and snowpacks can be intermittent. The average annual temperature is 26 to 54 degrees F (-3 to 12 degrees C). The freeze-free period averages 135 days and ranges from 45 to 230 days, decreasing in length with elevation. The climate of this area is strongly dependent upon elevation; precipitation is greater, and temperatures are cooler at the higher elevations. The plant communities vary with elevation, aspect and change in latitudes due to changing in precipitation kind and timing and temperature.

The dominant soil orders in this MLRA are Mollisols, Alfisols, Inceptisols, and Entisols. The soils in the area dominantly have a frigid or cryic soil temperature regime and an ustic or udic soil moisture regime. Mineralogy is typically mixed, smectitic, or paramicaceous. In areas with granite, gneiss, and schist bedrock, Glossocryalfs (Seitz, Granile, and Leadville series) and Haplocryolls (Rogert series) formed in colluvium on mountain slopes. Dystrocryepts (Leighcan and Mummy series) formed on mountain slopes and summits at the higher elevations. In areas of andesite and rhyolite bedrock, Dystrocryepts (Endlich and Whitecross series) formed in colluvium on mountain slopes. In areas of sedimentary bedrock, Haplustolls (Towave series) formed on mountain slopes at low elevations and with low precipitation. Haplocryolls (Lamphier and Razorba series), Argicryolls (Cochetopa series), and Haplocryalfs (Needleton series) formed in colluvium on mountain slopes at high elevations.

## Ecological site concept

The soils of this site formed mostly in slope alluvium and colluvium derived from sandstone and shale. Surface soils are channery loam to gravelly sandy loam in texture. Rock fragments may be present on the soil surface and throughout the profile and make up more than 50 percent of the soil volume. These soils are shallow to deep, well-drained, and have moderate to moderately rapid permeability. pH is slightly to moderately alkaline. Available water-holding capacity ranges from 1 to 4 inches of water in the upper 60 inches of soil. The soil moisture regime is mostly ustic and the soil temperature regime is frigid. Precipitation ranges from 16 to 22 inches annually.

## Associated sites

F048AY475UT	Mountain Very Steep Stony Loam (Douglas Fir)
-------------	--

## Similar sites

F048AY452UT	Mountain Stony Loam (Douglas Fir)
-------------	-----------------------------------

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	(1) <i>Cercocarpus montanus</i> (2) <i>Amelanchier utahensis</i>
Herbaceous	Not specified

## Physiographic features

This ecological site typically is on mountain slopes, and mountains. Sites are located between 7,000 to 9,500 feet in elevation. Slopes normally range from 25 to 80 percent.

**Table 2. Representative physiographic features**

Landforms	(1) Mountain slope (2) Mountain
Runoff class	Medium to high
Flooding frequency	None
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	2,134–2,896 m
Slope	25–80%
Ponding depth	Not specified
Water table depth	Not specified

## Climatic features

Average annual precipitation is 16 to 22 inches. Approximately 55 percent occurs as rain from May through October, on the average, November through June are the driest months and July through October are the wettest months. Cool temperatures and length of growing season are important environmental factors in this site. In average years, plants begin growth around April 20 and end growth around September 30.

**Table 3. Representative climatic features**

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	406-559 mm

## Influencing water features

Due to its landscape position, this site is not influenced by streams or wetlands.

## Soil features

The soils of this site formed mostly in slope alluvium and colluvium derived from sandstone and shale. Surface soils are channery loam to gravelly sandy loam in texture. Rock fragments may be present on the soil surface and throughout the profile and make up more than 50 percent of the soil volume. These soils are shallow to deep, well-drained, and have moderate to moderately rapid permeability. pH is slightly to moderately alkaline. Available water-holding capacity ranges from 1 to 4 inches of water in the upper 60 inches of soil. The soil moisture regime is mostly ustic and the soil temperature regime is frigid. Precipitation ranges from 16-22 inches annually.

Modal Soil: Tosca GR-SL, 40-80% — loamy-skeletal, mixed Typic Calciboralls

**Table 4. Representative soil features**

Parent material	(1) Slope alluvium–sandstone and shale (2) Colluvium–sandstone and shale
Surface texture	(1) Channery loam (2) Gravelly sandy loam
Family particle size	(1) Loamy-skeletal
Drainage class	Well drained
Permeability class	Moderate to moderately rapid
Depth to restrictive layer	25–152 cm
Soil depth	25–152 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	1–30%
Surface fragment cover >3"	0–32%
Available water capacity (Depth not specified)	2.54–10.16 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (Depth not specified)	5–15%
Electrical conductivity (Depth not specified)	0–2 mmhos/cm
Sodium adsorption ratio (Depth not specified)	0
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (Depth not specified)	7.4–8.4
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	0–23%
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	0–34%

## Ecological dynamics

It is impossible to determine in any quantitative detail the historic climax plant community (HCPC) for this ecological site because of the lack of direct historical documentation preceding all human influence. In some areas, the earliest reports of dominant plants include the cadastral survey conducted by the General Land Office, which began in the late 19th century for this area (Galatowitsch 1990). However, up to the 1870s the Shoshone Indians, prevalent in northern Utah and neighboring states, grazed horses and set fires to alter the vegetation for their needs (Parson 1996). In the 1860s, Europeans brought cattle and horses to the area, grazing large numbers of them on unfenced parcels year-long (Parson 1996). Itinerant and local sheep flocks followed, largely replacing cattle as the browse component increased.

Below is a State and Transition Model diagram to illustrate the “phases” (common plant communities), and “states” (aggregations of those plant communities) that can occur on the site. Differences between phases and states depend primarily upon observations of a range of disturbance histories in areas where this ESD is represented. These situations include grazing gradients to water sources, fence-line contrasts, patches with differing dates of fire, herbicide treatment, tillage, etc. Reference State 1 illustrates the common plant communities that probably existed just prior to European settlement.

The major successional pathways within states, (“community pathways”) are indicated by arrows between phases. “Transitions” are indicated by arrows between states. The drivers of these changes are indicated in codes decipherable by referring to the legend at the bottom of the page and by reading the detailed narratives that follow the diagram. The transition between Reference State 1 and State 2 is considered irreversible because of the naturalization of exotic species of both flora and fauna, possible extinction of native species, and climate change. There may have also been accelerated soil erosion.

When available, monitoring data (of various types) were employed to validate more subjective inferences made in this diagram. See the complete files in the office of the State Range Conservationist for more detail.

The plant communities shown in this State and Transition Model may not represent every possibility, but are probably the most prevalent and recurring plant communities. As more monitoring data are collected, some phases or states may be revised, removed, and/or new ones may be added. None of these plant communities should necessarily be thought of as “Desired Plant Communities.” According to the USDA NRCS National Range & Pasture Handbook (USDA-NRCS 2003), Desired Plant Communities (DPC’s) will be determined by the decision-makers and will meet minimum quality criteria established by the NRCS. The main purpose for including descriptions of a plant community is to capture the current knowledge at the time of this revision.

#### State 1: Reference State:

The Reference State is a description of this ecological site just prior to Euro-American settlement but long after the arrival of Native Americans. The description of the Reference State was determined by NRCS Soil Survey Type Site Location information and familiarity with rangeland relict areas where they exist. The least modified plant community (1.1) within the Reference State would have been a sagebrush co-dominated stand with Utah serviceberry (*Amelanchier utahensis*), alderleaf mountain mahogany (*Cercocarpus montanus*), mountain big sagebrush (*Artemisia tridentata* spp. *vaseyana*), bitterbrush (*Purshia tridentata*), and associated bunch grasses such as Salina wildrye (*Leymus salinus* ssp. *salinus*), and bluebunch wheatgrass (*Pseudoroegneria spicata*). Forbs such as arrowleaf balsamroot (*Balsamorhiza sagittata*), yarrow (*Achillea millefolium*) and shaggy fleabane (*Erigeron pumilus*) would be present. Fire is believed to be the dominant disturbance force in natural big sagebrush communities. The reference plant community (1.1) would have been relatively stable with occasional use by wildlife. Following a natural fire (1.1a) and depending on the severity, would have killed most if not all of the sagebrush favoring the sprouting shrubs and bunchgrasses (1.2). Over time and without any further disturbances (1.2a) sagebrush and other non-sprouting shrubs would slowly come back into the site (1.3), however the sprouting shrubs and bunch grasses would still dominate the site. If there were another fire to occur on the site (1.3a) the site would return to community phase 1.2. If community phase 1.3 continued to experience no disturbances over a longer period of time (1.3b) it would eventually show a co-dominance between mountain big sagebrush and the other shrubs on the site. A more complete list of species by lifeform for the Reference State is available in the accompanying tables in the “Plant Community Composition by Weight and Percentage” section of this document.

#### Community Phase 1.1 Mountain big sagebrush with perennial grasses and deciduous shrubs.

The least modified plant community within the Reference State would have been a mountain big sagebrush-dominated with associated deciduous shrubs such as mountain snowberry, alderleaf mountain mahogany, bitterbrush, Utah serviceberry and associated perennial grasses such as bluebunch wheatgrass and Salina wildrye. Arrowleaf balsamroot, yarrow and fleabane would have been the commonly associated forbs. Percent composition would have been 70% shrubs, 20% grasses and 10% forbs.

#### Community Pathway 1.1a

A naturally occurring fire would have killed all the non-sprouting shrubs like mountain big sagebrush. As the site recovered, it would be converted to the sprouting shrubs with perennial bunchgrasses community.

#### Community Phase 1.2 Sprouting shrubs with perennial bunch grasses.

Fire is a naturally occurring disturbance on this site and would have kept shrubs like mountain big sagebrush less dominant. Sprouting shrubs like mountain snowberry alderleaf mountain mahogany, bitterbrush, and Utah serviceberry and perennial grasses would have become the dominant aspect of this site.

#### Community Pathway 1.2a

Over time and without the occurrence of a natural disturbance, such as fire, non-sprouting shrubs like mountain big sagebrush, antelope bitterbrush and alderleaf mountain mahogany would become re-established on the site.

#### Community Phase 1.3 Non-sprouting shrubs start becoming re-established.

Non-sprouting shrubs start becoming re-established from seed over time. Sprouting shrubs and perennial grasses are still the dominant aspect of this site.

#### Community Pathway 1.3a

In the event that there is another natural disturbance, like a fire, the site would return to the sprouting shrubs with perennial bunch grasses community.

#### Community Pathway 1.3b

Over time and with the absence of a natural disturbance, like fire, the non-sprouting shrubs will continue becoming more abundant to a point where they are co-dominant with the sprouting shrubs.

#### T1a: Transition from State 1 to State 2 (Reference State to Current Potential/ Introduced Non-natives State)

The simultaneous introduction of exotic species, both plants and animals, and possible extinctions of native flora and fauna, along with climate change, will cause State 1 to transition to State 2. A return pathway back to State 1 would be impracticable because of these issues.

#### State 2: Current Potential/Introduced Non-Natives State.

State 2 is identical to State 1 in form and function, with the exception of the presence of non-native plants and animals, possible extinctions of native species, and a different climate. State 2 is a description of the ecological site shortly following Euro-American settlement, which can be regarded as the current potential. The least modified plant community (2.1) within State 2 is a sagebrush (*Artemisia tridentata* spp. *vaseyana*) dominated state with Utah serviceberry (*Amelanchier utahensis*), alderleaf mountain mahogany (*Cercocarpus montanus*), mountain snowberry (*Symphoricarpos oreophilus*), bitterbrush (*Purshia tridentata*), and associated bunch grasses such as bluebunch wheatgrass (*Pseudoroegneria spicata*), and Salina wildrye (*Leymus salinus* ssp. *salinus*). Forbs such as arrowleaf balsamroot (*Balsamorhiza sagittata*), yarrow (*Achillea millefolium*) and shaggy fleabane (*Erigeron pumilus*) would be present. A common non-native species in this state is cheatgrass. Fire is believed to be the dominant disturbance force in natural big sagebrush communities. Following brush management or fire (2.1a) and depending on the severity, would have killed most if not all of the sagebrush, and other non-sprouting shrubs, favoring the sprouting shrubs and bunchgrasses (2.2). This plant community is relatively stable under mixed use by wildlife and livestock. However, heavy utilization by bison, elk, horses, and domestic cattle on these sites during the growing season (2.1b) would deplete the grasses and deciduous shrubs creating site that is dominated by mountain big sagebrush (2.3). In community 2.2, when management, such as prescribed grazing, can be combined with time (2.2a) to allow the site to recover returning to community 2.1. However if the community continues to be over utilized by both wildlife and domestic livestock, and possibly in combination with a natural or man caused disturbance (2.2b) this community (2.2) can be degraded to community 2.4 which is dominated by rhizomatous grasses with unpalatable sprouting shrubs and forbs. In community 2.3 when management, such as prescribed grazing coupled with time, (2.3a) the sprouting shrubs and perennial grasses can have an opportunity to recover and recolonize the site and return to community 2.1. In community 2.3, when it experiences a disturbance such as brush management or fire, coupled with management like prescribed grazing (2.3b) it can drive the site to a more stable and diverse community 2.2. However, when community 2.3 continues to be over utilized and this is coupled with a disturbance such as brush management or fire (2.3c) it can drive the site to an undesirable community 2.4.

Community Phase 2.1: Mountain big sagebrush with perennial grasses and deciduous shrubs. Introduced annual species present.

The least modified community within the mountain big sagebrush/deciduous shrub/introduced state. This is a mountain big sagebrush dominated community with an abundance of deciduous shrubs such as mountain snowberry, antelope bitterbrush and Utah serviceberry. Community is also characterized by an abundance of native perennial grasses such as, bluebunch wheatgrass, and Salina wildrye. Associated forbs on this site may consist of arrowleaf balsamroot, yarrow and fleabane. Introduced species likely to occur on this site are cheatgrass, annual forbs, milkweed and stickseed.

#### Community Pathway 2.1a

This pathway is characterized by the implementation of brush management, prescribed or natural fire. This will reduce the abundance of non-sprouting shrubs giving the competitive advantage to sprouting shrubs and native perennial grasses (2.2).

#### Community Pathway 2.1b

Heavy continuous grazing and browsing by wildlife and domestic animals would deplete resources of the deciduous shrubs and native perennial grasses (2.3).

Community Phase 2.2: Sprouting shrubs with perennial grasses with introduced annuals present.

This community represents what the site would look like following brush management or a natural or prescribed fire. Depending on the severity of the fire/management practice, some or all of the non-sprouting shrubs would be killed. This would open up resources for the sprouting shrubs and native perennial grasses subsequently they would be the dominant aspect of the site.

#### Community Pathway 2.2a

This pathway represents that over time and under normal climatic conditions, along with prescribed grazing, this community would return to the previous community (2.1).

#### Community Pathway 2.2b

This pathway represents when the community experiences heavy continuous grazing and browsing by wildlife and domestic animals. Natural disturbances such as fire could also occur simultaneously in this pathway (2.4).

#### Community Phase 2.3: Depauperate sagebrush with conifers possibly present.

This community represents what the site would look like following a long period of over grazing and browsing. The more palatable species would be heavily utilized giving a competitive advantage to species such as mountain big sagebrush. Over time sagebrush would be the dominant aspect of the site with a low diversity of the other native species that normally occur on the site.

#### Community Pathway 2.3a

This pathway represents that over time and under normal climatic conditions, along with prescribe grazing, this community would return to the previous community (2.1).

#### Community Pathway 2.3b

This pathway represents that over time and under normal climatic conditions, along with prescribe grazing along with a natural or prescribed fire or brush management mountain big sagebrush/conifers would be killed. This would stimulate the sprouting shrubs and perennial native grasses moving the site to community 2.2.

#### Community Pathway 2.3c

This pathway represents the occurrence when the site continues to be overgrazed and there is a disturbance like a fire. Non-sprouting shrubs and conifers, if present, would be killed. Deciduous shrubs and native perennial grasses are already at low diversity and stressed, giving the competitive advantage to rhizomatous grasses and unpalatable sprouting shrubs like rabbitbrush (2.4).

#### Community Phase 2.4: Rhizomatous grasses with unpalatable sprouting shrubs and forbs with low growing grasses.

This community represents the site when there has been continued overgrazing/browsing for an extended period of time, followed by a disturbance such as a brush management treatment or natural/prescribed fire. Species diversity was already low (2.3). The overutilization of the desirable native vegetation coupled with the fire has reduced the site to unpalatable sprouting shrubs and forbs along with low growing grasses.

#### Community Pathway 2.4a

This pathway represents that over time, along with prescribed grazing that the sprouting shrubs and native perennial grasses would eventually re-establish and return the site back to community 2.2.

#### T2a: Transition from State 2 to State 3 (Current Potential to Seeded State)

When land managers or landowners have made the decision that the herbaceous understory species are so depleted and/or undesirable, and the biological, hydrological and soil resources are at risk, introduced and native perennial grasses are utilized in a range seeding. This often occurs in combination with a natural/prescribed fire or other brush management treatment.

#### State 4: Seeded State:

This State occurs where historic excessive livestock grazing reduced canopy cover, and in an attempt to prevent any additional excessive erosion it was intentionally seeded with species such as smooth brome and/or crested wheatgrass. Do to the decreased canopy cover and increased erosion, and rangeland seeding is utilized, either rangeland drilled or aerial application with predominately introduced species.

#### Community Phase 3.1: Range seeding with perennial introduced species.

This community represents a time shortly following a brush management treatment/fire in addition to the range seeding. Non-sprouting shrubs have been greatly reduced and sprouting shrubs have not had adequate time to become re-established. Introduced and native grass species with a few sprouting shrubs dominate the site.


### Community Pathway 3.1a

Over an extended period of time and in combination with prescribed grazing, the native desirable sprouting shrubs begin to become well established.


Community Phase 3.2: Sprouting shrubs becoming co-dominant with perennial grasses.

This community phase represents the site over a 5-10 year period of time under normal climatic conditions. The desirable sprouting shrubs have had adequate time to re-establish and become co-dominant with the perennial grasses. Non-sprouting shrubs have also started to re-establish on the site as well. It is imperative that prescribed grazing must be implemented in order for this community to be persist.

### State and transition model







## Diagram Legend

T 1-2	Introduced species.
T 2-3	Disturbance with range seeding.
CP 1.1-1.2	Natural disturbance such as fire.
CP 1.2-1.3	Time
CP 1.3-1.1	Time and lack of disturbance.
CP 1.3-1.2	Natural disturbance such as fire.
CP 2.1-2.2	Fire or brush management.
CP 2.1-2.3	Historic over grazing/browsing.
CP 2.2-2.1	Time and with prescribed grazing.
CP 2.2-2.4	Over grazing/browsing with a disturbance like fire.
CP 2.3-2.1	Time with prescribed grazing.
CP 2.3-2.2	Disturbance with prescribed grazing.
CP 2.3-2.4	Continued overgrazing and a disturbance like fire.
CP 2.4-2.2	Time with prescribed grazing.
CP 3.1-3.2	Time with prescribed grazing.

### State 1

#### Reference State

### Community 1.1

#### Reference Plant Community

The general aspect of this site is shrub. The potential natural plant community by air-dry weight is composed of approximately 20 percent perennial grasses, 10 percent forbs, and 70 percent shrubs.

Table 5. Annual production by plant type

Plant Type	Low (Kg/Hectare)	Representative Value (Kg/Hectare)	High (Kg/Hectare)
Shrub/Vine	588	745	981
Grass/Grasslike	168	213	280
Forb	84	106	140
<b>Total</b>	<b>840</b>	<b>1064</b>	<b>1401</b>

**Table 6. Ground cover**

Tree foliar cover	0%
Shrub/vine/liana foliar cover	39-41%
Grass/grasslike foliar cover	9-11%
Forb foliar cover	4-6%
Non-vascular plants	0%
Biological crusts	0%
Litter	0%
Surface fragments >0.25" and <=3"	0%
Surface fragments >3"	0%
Bedrock	0%
Water	0%
Bare ground	0%

**Table 7. Canopy structure (% cover)**

Height Above Ground (M)	Tree	Shrub/Vine	Grass/ Grasslike	Forb
<0.15	—	—	—	—
>0.15 <= 0.3	—	—	—	4-6%
>0.3 <= 0.6	—	—	9-11%	—
>0.6 <= 1.4	—	39-41%	—	—
>1.4 <= 4	—	—	—	—
>4 <= 12	—	—	—	—
>12 <= 24	—	—	—	—
>24 <= 37	—	—	—	—
>37	—	—	—	—

## Additional community tables

**Table 8. Community 1.1 plant community composition**

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production (Kg/Hectare)	Foliar Cover (%)
<b>Shrub/Vine</b>					
0	<b>Dominant Shrubs</b>			460–673	
	alderleaf mountain mahogany	CEMO2	<i>Cercocarpus montanus</i>	168–224	—
	Utah serviceberry	AMUT	<i>Amelanchier utahensis</i>	168–224	—
	mountain big sagebrush	ARTRV	<i>Artemisia tridentata</i> ssp. <i>vaseyana</i>	56–112	—
	antelope bitterbrush	PUTR2	<i>Purshia tridentata</i>	34–56	—

	Gambel oak	QUGA	<i>Quercus gambelii</i>	34–56	–
3	<b>Sub-Dominant Shrubs</b>			56–112	
	Shrub (>.5m)	2SHRUB	<i>Shrub (&gt;.5m)</i>	56–112	–
	yellow rabbitbrush	CHVIL4	<i>Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus</i> ssp. <i>lanceolatus</i>	11–34	–
	rubber rabbitbrush	ERNA10	<i>Ericameria nauseosa</i>	11–34	–
	creeping barberry	MARE11	<i>Mahonia repens</i>	11–34	–
	wild crab apple	PERA4	<i>Peraphyllum ramosissimum</i>	11–34	–
	mountain snowberry	SYOR2	<i>Symphoricarpos oreophilus</i>	11–34	–
<b>Grass/Grasslike</b>					
0	<b>Dominant Grasses</b>			112–224	
	saline wildrye	LESAS	<i>Leymus salinus</i> ssp. <i>salinus</i>	56–112	–
	bluebunch wheatgrass	PSSP6	<i>Pseudoroegneria spicata</i>	56–112	–
1	<b>Sub-Dominant Grasses</b>			56–112	
	Grass, annual	2GA	<i>Grass, annual</i>	56–112	–
	Grass, perennial	2GP	<i>Grass, perennial</i>	56–112	–
	Indian ricegrass	ACHY	<i>Achnatherum hymenoides</i>	34–56	–
	Geyer's sedge	CAGE2	<i>Carex geyeri</i>	34–56	–
	slender wheatgrass	ELTR7	<i>Elymus trachycaulus</i>	34–56	–
	needle and thread	HECO26	<i>Hesperostipa comata</i>	34–56	–
	prairie Junegrass	KOMA	<i>Koeleria macrantha</i>	34–56	–
	western wheatgrass	PASM	<i>Pascopyrum smithii</i>	34–56	–
	muttongrass	POFE	<i>Poa fendleriana</i>	34–56	–
<b>Forb</b>					
2	<b>Sub-Dominant Forbs</b>			112–168	
	Forb, annual	2FA	<i>Forb, annual</i>	112–168	–
	Forb, perennial	2FP	<i>Forb, perennial</i>	112–168	–
	common yarrow	ACMI2	<i>Achillea millefolium</i>	11–34	–
	littleleaf pussytoes	ANMI3	<i>Antennaria microphylla</i>	11–34	–
	looseflower milkvetch	ASTE5	<i>Astragalus tenellus</i>	11–34	–
	arrowleaf balsamroot	BASA3	<i>Balsamorhiza sagittata</i>	11–34	–
	Wyoming Indian paintbrush	CALI4	<i>Castilleja linariifolia</i>	11–34	–
	bastard toadflax	COUM	<i>Comandra umbellata</i>	11–34	–
	twolobe larkspur	DENU2	<i>Delphinium nuttallianum</i>	11–34	–
	shaggy fleabane	ERPU2	<i>Erigeron pumilus</i>	11–34	–
	sulphur-flower buckwheat	ERUM	<i>Eriogonum umbellatum</i>	11–34	–
	Nevada pea	LALA3	<i>Lathyrus lanszwertii</i>	11–34	–
	narrowleaf stoneseed	LIIN2	<i>Lithospermum incisum</i>	11–34	–
	tailcup lupine	LUCAC3	<i>Lupinus caudatus</i> ssp. <i>caudatus</i>	11–34	–
	hoary tansyaster	MACA2	<i>Machaeranthera canescens</i>	11–34	–
	tufted evening primrose	OECA10	<i>Oenothera caespitosa</i>	11–34	–
	Parry's goldenrod	ORPA3	<i>Oreochrysum parryi</i>	11–34	–
	lobelose groundsel	DAMI11	<i>Desmodium illinoense</i>	11–34	–

	lobeliate groundsel	PEST2	<i>Penstemon strictus</i>	11-34	—
	Rocky Mountain penstemon	PEST2	<i>Penstemon strictus</i>	11-34	—
	longleaf phlox	PHLO2	<i>Phlox longifolia</i>	11-34	—
	scarlet globemallow	SPCO	<i>Sphaeralcea coccinea</i>	11-34	—

## Animal community

Because of steep slopes, grazing is not a major factor in altering plant composition.

This site produces food and cover for many species of wildlife. Wildlife using this site include sage grouse, rabbit, coyote, mule deer, and elk.

## Hydrological functions

The hydrologic groups are B, C, and D. The hydrologic curve numbers are 61, 74 and 80 when the vegetation is in good condition.

## Recreational uses

This site offers color and aesthetic appeal while blossoms are blooming and again in the fall as the leaves change colors. Recreation values are limited because of the steep slopes.

## Wood products

None

## Contributors

Garth W. Leishman, David Somerville

## Approval

Kirt Walstad, 3/01/2024

## Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	03/20/2020
Approved by	Kirt Walstad
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

## Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:** Many rills present. This site is subject to rilling even in reference condition due to slope,

erodible soils, and percent bare ground. Rill development may increase following large storm events, but should begin to heal during the following growing season. Frost heaving will accelerate recovery. Rill development may increase when run inflow enters site from other sites that produce large amounts of runoff (i.e. steeper sites, slickrock, rock outcrop).

---

2. **Presence of water flow patterns:** Water flow patterns are common. Some are long (15-20'). They are generally very widely spaced (about 20-30' apart). Flow patterns occur in low places associated with microtopography commonly occurring on this site.
- 

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:** Plants may have small pedestals (1-3") where they are adjacent to water flow patterns, but without exposed roots. Terracettes should be few and stable. Terracettes should be small (1-3") and show little sign of active erosion. Some plants may appear to have a pedestal but rather than be formed by erosion, they are the result of litter and soil accumulating at plant bases, forming the appearance of a pedestal.
- 

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):** 20-30% bare ground (soil with no protection from raindrop impact). Very few if any bare spaces of greater than 1 square foot. In general, bare ground increases as production decreases. As species composition of shrubs relative to grasses increases, bare ground is likely to increase. Poorly developed biological soil crust that is susceptible to erosion from raindrop impact should be recorded as bare ground.
- 

5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:** None to very few. Gullies should show only minor signs of active erosion and should be mostly stabilized with perennial vegetation and rock fragments. Gullies may show slightly more indication of erosion as slope steepens, or as the site occurs adjacent to steep areas with concentrated flow patterns.
- 

6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:** Very minor evidence of active wind-generated soil movement. Wind scoured (blowouts) and depositional areas are rarely present. If present they have muted features and are mostly stabilized with vegetation and/or biological crust.
- 

7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):** Most litter resides in place but on steep slopes (>30%), at least half of the litter is likely to be transported downhill by wind or water short. Litter rarely moves more than 1-2' to next obstruction. Leaves, stems, and small twigs will accumulate at plant bases, against rocks, in soil depressions, or against larger woody litter. Woody litter is not likely to move.
- 

8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):** This site should have an erosion rating of 4 to 5 under plant canopies and a rating of 3 to 4 in the interspaces with an average rating of 4 using the soil stability kit test.
- 

9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):** A1--2 to 5 inches; brown (10YR 5/3) gravelly sandy loam, dark brown (7.5YR 3/2) moist; moderate very fine granular structure; soft, very friable, slightly sticky and slightly plastic; few coarse and many very fine roots; common very fine and fine tubular

pores; 10 percent gravel, 5 percent cobbles in horizon; slightly effervescent, (8 percent calcium carbonate equivalent); carbonates are disseminated; moderately alkaline (pH 8.0); clear smooth boundary. (3 to 9 inches thick)

---

10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:** Shrubs and well-developed biological soil crusts provide most of the interception of rainfall that prevents erosion. Biological soil crusts are resistant to raindrop impact and splash erosion. Biological soil crusts also provide surface roughness that slows runoff, allowing time for infiltration. Bunchgrasses, if present, may contribute to slowing runoff, but canopy cover from bunchgrasses is too low to provide much rainfall interception. Interspaces between shrubs and biological soil crusts may serve as water flow paths during episodic runoff events, with natural erosion expected in severe storms.
- 

11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):** A compaction layer is not expected.
- 

12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**

Dominant: Dominant: Sprouting shrubs (Utah serviceberry, alderleaf mountain mahogany, antelope bitterbrush, Gambel oak) > perennial cool-season bunchgrasses (saline wildrye, bluebunch wheatgrass)

Sub-dominant: Sub-dominant: Non-sprouting shrub (mountain big sagebrush)

Other: Other: Perennial forbs > other perennial grasses = other shrubs

Additional:

---

13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):** During years with average to above average precipitation, there should be very little recent mortality or decadence apparent in either the shrubs or grasses. Some mortality of bunchgrass and other shrubs may occur during very severe (long-term) droughts. There may be partial mortality of individual bunchgrasses and shrubs during less severe drought and toward the end of the fire cycle. Long-lived species dominate the site. Open spaces from disturbance are quickly filled by new plants through seedlings and asexual reproduction (tillering).
- 

14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth ( in):** Litter cover includes litter under plants. Most litter will be fine (herbaceous) litter. Litter will be concentrated under plant canopies and sparser between plant canopies, with an average cover of 10-20% and an average depth of 0.5-0.75 inches. Litter cover may increase following years with favorable growing conditions. Excess litter may accumulate in absence of disturbance. Vegetative production may be reduced if litter cover exceeds 40%.
- 

15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):** 900-1000 lbs/acre.
- Even the most stable communities exhibit a range of production values. Production will vary between communities and across the MRLA. Refer to the community descriptions in the ESD. Production will differ across the MLRA due to the naturally occurring variability in weather, soils, and aspect. The biological processes on this site are complex; therefore, representative values are presented in a land management context.

- 
16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native).** List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Invasive species unlikely because of high elevation
- 

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:** All perennial plants should have the ability to reproduce sexually or asexually, except in drought years. Density of plants indicates that plants reproduce at level sufficient to fill available resource. Within capability of site there are no restrictions on seed or vegetative reproductive capacity.
-