

## Ecological site R052XC204MT Claypan (Cp) 10-14" p.z.

Last updated: 1/24/2024  
Accessed: 05/06/2024

### Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Loretta Metz, Maxine Rasmussen, Jon Siddoway
Contact for lead author	Area Rangeland Management Specialist, Glasgow Area Office, MT  Reference Site used? NO
Date	05/04/2005
Approved by	Kirt Walstad
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

### Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:** Rills should not be present in HCPC. If in plant community A on slopes > 15%, careful examination will yield slight evidence of rills that are less than ½ inch deep, linear, but short in length. If in plant community B on slopes > 15%, rills would be visible, ½ inch deep or more, linear, rarely exceeding 1 foot in length. Distance between rills is irregular.

---

2. **Presence of water flow patterns:** Water flow patterns should not be observable in HCPC. If in plant community A, careful examination will yield short discontinuous water flow patterns. If in plant community B, water flow patterns would be visible as long (more than 1feet) and continuous across the landscape.

---

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:** Pedestals or terracettes are nonexistent in HCPC. If in plant community A, careful examination on slopes > 8% yield occasional pedestals approximately ¼ inch above the soil surface. If in plant community B, pedestals are frequent and ½ - ¾ inch above the soil surface.

---

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):** Less than 10-20% of the soil surface should be bare in HCPC. Bare ground should be less than 2" in diameter. If in plant community A, 20-45% of the soil surface can be exposed. If in plant community B, 45-60% of the soil surface can be exposed.

- 
5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:** None.
- 
6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:** None.
- 
7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):** Litter movement is not expected with HCPC. If in plant community A, careful examination will yield some fine litter movement for a short distance. If in plant community B, litter, both fine and coarse, movement is visible, especially on slopes > 8%, but the distance moved is less than 1 foot.
- 
8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):** Stability class anticipated to be 5 or 6 under plant canopy. Interspaces are between 3-5.
- 
9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):** The light gray to grayish brown clay surface layer is 2 - 8" thick. The surface texture ranges from loam, fine sandy loam and clay loam. Soil organic matter is usually 1-3%.
- 
10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:** In HCPC, 40-50% plant canopy and 40-70% basal cover with small gaps between plants should reduce raindrop impact and slow overland flow, providing increased time for infiltration to occur. Healthy, deep rooted native grasses enhance infiltration and reduce runoff. Infiltration rate is slow. If in plant community A, 15-30% plant canopy and 20-35% basal cover with moderate gaps between plants will intensify raindrop impact and increase overland flow, causing decreased time for infiltration. If in plant community B, 10-20% plant canopy and 10-20% basal cover with sizeable gaps between plants, amplifies raindrop impact and increases overland flow. The site tends to be more xeric as runoff increases.
- 
11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):** No compaction layer or soil surface crusting should be evident in any of the State 1 plant communities. Restrictive, very hard claypan begins at 2 - 8 inches.
- 
12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**
- Dominant:
- Sub-dominant:
- Other:
- Additional: HCPC: Tall and mid-stature, cool season bunch grasses = mid-stature, cool season rhizomatous grasses > short cool season bunch grasses > shrubs > forbs. Plant community A: Mid-stature, cool season rhizomatous grasses > tall and mid-stature, cool season bunch grasses > short stature, warm season rhizomatous > shrubs > forbs. Plant

community B: Mid-stature, cool season rhizomatous grasses Short warm season perennial grasses > tall and mid-stature, cool season bunch grasses >forbs>shrubs.

---

13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):** Plant mortality and decadence very low in HCPC and Plant community A. In periods of drought, shrubs would exhibit decadence in the state 1 reference communities.
- 

14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth ( in):** Litter cover is in contact with soil surface. Litter decreases in Plant community A to 20-30% and depth is reduced to 0.25 inch. Litter decreases to less than 10-15% in Plant community B and is immeasurable.
- 

15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):** 500 - 1200 #/acre from Plant community B to HCPC in the State 1 reference community.
- 

16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:** Blue grama, prairie junegrass, needleleaf sedge, curly cup gumweed, Sandberg bluegrass, fringed sagewort, plains prickly pear, broom snakeweed, dense clubmoss.
- 

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:** All species are capable of reproducing in HCPC and Plant community A. In Plant community B, plant seedlings will be weighed in favor of marginal and undesirable species.
-