

Ecological site R058DY008SD Sands

Accessed: 04/30/2024

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

| Author(s)/participant(s) | Stan Boltz, Thad Berrett, Cheryl Nielsen |
|---|--|
| Contact for lead author | stanley.boltz@sd.usda.gov, 605-352-1236 |
| Date | 05/07/2010 |
| Approved by | Stan Boltz |
| Approval date | |
| Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on | Annual Production |

diameter.

| Indicators | | |
|------------|---|--|
| 1. | Number and extent of rills: None. | |
| 2. | Presence of water flow patterns: None. | |
| 3. | Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: Bunchgrasses may be pedestalled, but no exposed roots should be present. | |
| 4. | Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): 5 to 15 percent is typical. | |
| 5. | Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: None should be present. | |
| 6. | Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: Occasional areas associated with increased animal | |

activity (e.g., rodent burrows, animal trailing) may exhibit small wind scoured areas, typically less than 10 feet in

| 7. | Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Litter should fall in place. Slight amount of movement of smallest size class litter is possible, but not normal. |
|-----|---|
| 8. | Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Some series on this site typically have little organic matter in the surface horizon, and the structure is single grain sand. Soil aggregate stability will be difficult to measure on these soils. Surface organic matter should still adhere to the soil surface. Surface erosion by water rarely occurs due to rapid infiltration, but surface is susceptible to wind erosion if vegetative cover is reduced due to drought or heavy grazing. Biological crusts are often present (up to 10% of the surface) and serve to provide resistance to erosion. The dominant rhizomatous warm-season species are adapted to these coarse soils and when vigorous are vital in preventing erosion by wind. |
| 9. | Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): A-horizon should be 2 to 4 inches thick. Some soils (e.g., Zeona) have little organic matter in the A-horizon and dark grayish brown colors when moist, but possibly not mollic. Structure can be single grain to fine granular parting to single grain in the A-horizon. |
| 10. | Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Combination of shallow and deep rooted species (mid & tall rhizomatous and tufted perennial cool- and warm-season grasses) with fine and coarse roots positively influences infiltration. Infiltration is typically high due to the coarse nature of these soils. |
| 11. | Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): None. |
| 12. | Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to): Dominant: Tall warm-season rhizomatous grasses >> Mid cool-season bunchgrasses >> |
| | Sub-dominant: Forbs > Shrubs > |
| | Other: Mid warm-season bunchgrasses > Short warm-season grasses = Grass-likes |
| | Additional: |
| 13. | Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Very little evidence of decadence or mortality. Bunch grasses have strong, healthy centers and shrubs are vigorous. |
| 14. | Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): |
| 15. | Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual- |

| 16. | Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: State and local noxious weeds |
|-----|--|
| 17. | Perennial plant reproductive capability: All species exhibit high vigor relative to climatic conditions. Do not rate based solely on seed production. Perennial grasses should have vigorous rhizomes or tillers. |

production): Production ranges from 1,200-2,600 lbs./acre (air-dry weight). Reference value production is 1,900

lbs./acre (air-dry weight).