

# Ecological site R061XY002SD Wet Land

Last updated: 7/17/2024 Accessed: 11/21/2024

### General information

**Provisional**. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

## **MLRA** notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 061X-Black Hills Foot Slopes

The Black Hills Foot Slopes (MLRA 61) is shared between Wyoming (WY) (58 percent) and South Dakota (SD) (42 percent). The MLRA is approximately 1,865 square miles. The towns of Spearfish, Sturgis, and Hot Springs, South Dakota, and Newcastle and Sundance, Wyoming, are all in this MLRA. Rapid City, South Dakota, is on the eastern edge of the MLRA. Wind Cave National Park, Devils Tower National Monument, and parts of Thunder Basin National Grassland and the Black Hills National Forest are also in MLRA 61. Devils Tower was the nation's first National Monument, designated by President Theodore Roosevelt in 1906.

The Black Hills Foot Slopes consists of steeply dipping rocks circling the domed mountains of the Black Hills. As the mountains were uplifted, older sediments were tipped up and dipped away from the core of the mountains. The Lower Cretaceous Fall River and Lakota (Inyan Kara Group) sandstones, which are on the outside edge of the area, are referred to as the Dakota Hogback. The next geologic formation is the Triassic-aged red beds of the Spearfish shale. It forms a low valley. This "red valley" surrounds the Black Hills between the two ridges formed by the Inyan Kara (hogback) and Minnekahta Formations associated with the Black Hills (MLRA 62). The Lakota referred to the red valley as the "Big Racecourse or the Red Racetrack." The red beds have gypsum and anhydrous layers. Ground water seepage can dissolve these layers, creating sinkholes on the surface.

The average elevation of MLRA 61 ranges from 2,950 to 3,940 feet with extremes to 5,580 feet. Slopes are generally hilly; however, the interior red beds are nearly level to moderately sloping. The exterior hogback is steep, erosion-resistant rock. The Belle Fourche River is the only river flowing through MLRA 61. It passes through Hulett, Wyoming.

The dominant soil orders in this MLRA are Alfisols, Entisols, and Mollisols. The soils in the area predominantly have frigid or mesic soil temperature regimes and aridic or ustic soil moisture regimes. The soils are shallow to very deep, generally well drained, and loamy.

Average annual precipitation is 16 to 22 inches. The majority of rainfall occurs early in the growing season. Some high-intensity thunderstorms occur in mid-late summer. This MLRA supports open grassland, open ponderosa forest, and savanna-like vegetation. The grassland is characterized by native grasses, such as big bluestem, little bluestem, western wheatgrass, needle and thread, prairie dropseed, and green needlegrass. Bur oak grows throughout the northern area and can develop into nearly pure stands.

The major resource concerns are water quality, wind erosion, water erosion, and urban expansion.

MLRA 61 is 54 percent privately owned rangeland and 19 percent forest land. Federal lands make up 7 percent of the rangeland and 5 percent of the forest land. The remaining 15 percent of the MLRA is privately owned cropland and urban development (USDA-NRCS, 2006: Ag Handbook 296).

### LRU notes

### LRU Notes:

For development of ecological sites, MLRA 61 is divided into three precipitation zones (PZ).

The northern area (18–22" PZ) extends from just south of Rapid City, South Dakota, north to the Wyoming border.

The southern area (16–18" PZ) extends from Newcastle, Wyoming, south to Hot Springs, South Dakota, then north to just south of Rapid City.

The western area (16–20" PZ) is primarily located in Wyoming, extending from Newcastle in the south, to north of the Bear Lodge Mountains, then south through the gap between the Bear Lodge Mountains and the Black Hills.

One additional grouping of ecological sites represents sites that are common for the entire MLRA and do not have a precipitation zone designation.

The forest lands in MLRA 61 are represented by three forest ecological sites, which are currently correlated to MLRA 62 Black Hills.

# Classification relationships

USDA Land Resource Region G—Western Great Plains Range and Irrigated Region: Major Land Resource Area (MLRA) 61—Black Hills Foot Slopes

US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
Level IV Ecoregions of the Conterminous United States:
Black Hills Foothills—17a

### **USDA Forest Service**

Ecological Subregions: Sections and Subsections of Conterminous United States:

Black Hills Coniferous Forest Province—M334:

Black Hills Foothills Subsection—M334Aa

# **Ecological site concept**

The Wet Land ecological site is found throughout MLRA 61. It is a run-in site located on drainageways, oxbows, and floodplains. Slopes range from 0 to 3 percent. The soils are very deep, very poorly drained and formed in alluvium. The surface layer is 5 to 14 inches in depth with silty clay surface textures. A permanent water table will fluctuate between 0 to 1 foot. Vegetation in Reference State (1.0) consists of grasses and grass-likes, forbs, shrubs, and trees that can tolerate wet soil conditions or are classified as wetland obligate or facultative wet.

## **Associated sites**

R061XY042SD	Lowland The Lowland ecological site is found on low stream terraces above the Wet Land ecological site.
	Subirrigated The Subirrigated ecological site is found adjacent to the Wet Land ecological site but will have a seasonally water table within 1 to 3 feet of the surface.

### Similar sites

ĺ	R061XY003SD	Subirrigated
		The Subirrigated ecological site is found on similar landscape positions as the Wet Land site. The
		Subirrigated plant community will have more bluestem, less prairie cordgrass, and fewer obligate grass-
		like species and forbs than the Wet Land ecological site.

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	Not specified
Herbaceous	<ul><li>(1) Spartina pectinata</li><li>(2) Calamagrostis canadensis</li></ul>

# Physiographic features

The Wet Land ecological site normally occurs on level to nearly level valleys near springs, seeps, and sloughs. A water table generally occurs at or within 12 inches of the surface.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	<ul><li>(1) Drainageway</li><li>(2) Oxbow</li><li>(3) Flood plain</li></ul>
Runoff class	Negligible to low
Flooding duration	Brief (2 to 7 days)
Flooding frequency	Occasional to frequent
Ponding duration	Brief (2 to 7 days) to long (7 to 30 days)
Ponding frequency	Occasional to frequent
Elevation	884–1,219 m
Slope	0–3%
Ponding depth	0–30 cm
Water table depth	15–30 cm

## **Climatic features**

The climate in MLRA 61 is typical of the drier portions of the Northern Great Plains where sagebrush steppes to the west yield to grassland steppes to the east. Average annual precipitation ranges from 16 to 22 inches with most falling during the growing season. Temperatures show a wide range between summer and winter and between daily maximums and minimums. The wide range is due to the high elevation and dry air, which permit rapidly incoming and outgoing radiation. In winter, cold air outbreaks from Canada move rapidly from northwest to southeast and account for extreme minimum temperatures. Chinook winds may occur in the winter and bring rapid rises in temperature. Extreme storms may occur during the winter. They most severely affect ranch operations during late winter and spring.

The average annual temperature is about 47 °F. January and December are the coldest months with average temperatures ranging from about 23 °F (NNW of Edgemont, SD) to about 26 °F (Fort Meade, SD). July is the warmest month with average temperatures ranging from about 69 °F (Fort Meade, WY) to about 73 °F (Hot Springs, SD). The range of average monthly temperatures between the coldest and warmest months is about 47 °F. Wind speeds are estimated to average about 11 miles per hour annually, ranging from about 13 miles per hour during the spring to about 10 miles per hour during the summer. Daytime winds are generally stronger than nighttime winds. Occasionally, storms bring brief periods of high winds with gusts to more than 50 miles per hour.

Growth of cool-season plants begins in early to mid-March, slowing or ceasing in late June. Warm-season plants begin growth about mid-May and continue to early or mid-September. Cool-season plants may green-up in September and October if adequate soil moisture is present.

Table 3. Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	94-114 days
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	119-144 days

Precipitation total (characteristic range)	457-533 mm
Frost-free period (actual range)	80-125 days
Freeze-free period (actual range)	115-158 days
Precipitation total (actual range)	406-559 mm
Frost-free period (average)	106 days
Freeze-free period (average)	132 days
Precipitation total (average)	483 mm




Figure 1. Monthly precipitation range




Figure 2. Monthly minimum temperature range




Figure 3. Monthly maximum temperature range




Figure 4. Monthly average minimum and maximum temperature




Figure 5. Annual precipitation pattern




Figure 6. Annual average temperature pattern

# **Climate stations used**

- (1) BEAR RIDGE [USC00390554], Spearfish, SD
- (2) EDGEMONT [USC00392557], Edgemont, SD
- (3) EDGEMONT 23 NNW [USC00392565], Custer, SD
- (4) FT MEADE [USC00393069], Fort Meade, SD
- (5) HOT SPRINGS [USC00394007], Hot Springs, SD
- (6) RAPID CITY WFO [USC00396948], Rapid City, SD
- (7) SPEARFISH [USC00397882], Spearfish, SD
- (8) DEVILS TWR #2 [USC00482466], Devils Tower, WY
- (9) HULETT [USC00484760], Hulett, WY
- (10) NEWCASTLE [USC00486660], Newcastle, WY
- (11) SUNDANCE [USC00488705], Sundance, WY
- (12) UPTON 14ENE [USC00489208], Newcastle, WY

## Influencing water features

The Wet Land ecological site has a combination of physical and hydrological features that: 1) provide season-long ground water within one foot of the surface, 2) allows relatively free movement of water and air in the upper part of

the soil, and 3) are occasionally or frequently flooded.

# Wetland description

Wetland Description: Cowardin, et. al., 1979

System: Lacustrine Subsystem: Littoral

Class: Unconsolidated Shore Subclass: Organic, Vegetated

Water Regime: Permanently, or Semi-permanently, or Seasonally Flooded

Wetland Description: Cowardin, et. al., 1979

System: Palustrine Subsystem: N/A

Class: Emergent Wetland Subclass: Persistent

Water Regime: Permanently, or Semi-permanently, or Seasonally Flooded, or Saturated

## Soil features

The features common to soils in this site are the silty clay textured surface layers and slopes of 0 to 3 percent. Soils may have surfaces of mucky peat or slightly decomposed plant material. The soils in this site are very poorly drained and formed in alluvium. The surface layer is 5 to 14 inches thick. The texture of the subsurface layers are silty clay. Subsurface soil layers are nonrestrictive to water movement and root penetration.

Soil series correlated to the Wet Land ecological site: Herdcamp.

Rills and gullies should not typically be present. Water flow patterns should be barely distinguishable if at all present. Litter typically falls in place and signs of movement are not common. Chemical and physical crusts are rare to nonexistent.

More information regarding the soil is available in soil survey reports. Contact the local USDA Service Center for details specific to your area of interest, or go online to access USDA's Web Soil Survey.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Alluvium
Surface texture	(1) Silty clay
Family particle size	(1) Clayey
Drainage class	Very poorly drained
Permeability class	Moderate to slow
Soil depth	203 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	0%
Surface fragment cover >3"	0%
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	10.16–15.24 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-101.6cm)	0–40%
Electrical conductivity (0-101.6cm)	0–16 mmhos/cm
Sodium adsorption ratio (0-101.6cm)	0–50
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-101.6cm)	5.6–9.6

Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	0–5%
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	0%

# **Ecological dynamics**

The Wet Land ecological site developed under Northern Great Plains climatic conditions; light to severe grazing by bison and other large herbivores; sporadic, natural or human-caused wildfire (often of light intensities); and other biotic and abiotic factors that typically influence soil and site development. Changes occur in the plant communities due to short-term weather variations, effects of native and exotic plant and animal species, and management actions. Although the following plant community descriptions are typical of the transitions between communities, severe disturbances, such as periods of well below average precipitation and the introduction of non-native coolseason grasses, and non-native deciduous trees, can cause significant shifts in plant communities and species composition.


Continuous season-long grazing (during the typical growing season of May through October), or repeated seasonal grazing (e.g., every spring, every summer), without adequate recovery periods following each grazing occurrence, causes this site to depart from the Prairie Cordgrass/Nebraska Sedge/Bluejoint Reedgrass Plant Community (1.1). Species such as spike sedge and Baltic rush increase. Grasses and grass-likes such as Nebraska sedge, bluejoint reedgrass, and northern reedgrass will decrease in frequency and production.

Interpretations are primarily based on the Prairie Cordgrass/Nebraska Sedge/Bluejoint Reedgrass Plant Community (1.1). It has been determined by study of rangeland relic areas, areas protected from excessive disturbance, and areas under long-term rotational grazing regimes. Trends in plant community dynamics ranging from heavily grazed to lightly grazed areas, seasonal use pastures, and historical accounts also have been used. Plant communities, states, transitional pathways, and thresholds have been determined through similar studies and experience.

The following state-and-transition diagram illustrates the common plant communities on the site and the transition pathways between communities. The ecological processes are discussed in more detail in the plant community descriptions following the diagram.

### State and transition model

# Wet Land - R061XY002SD 5/6/20



# Diagram Legend: Wet Land - R061XY002SD

T1A	1.0 to 2.0	Heavy grazing without change in season of use, or adequate recovery periods; heavy disturbance; excessive haying; sedimentation; invasion of non-native or invasive plant species.
R2A	2.0 to 1.0	Removal of management induced disturbance; prescribed burning; or possibly wet land
1.1A	1.1 to 1.2	Change to a wetter hydrologic cycle with a higher stable water level; continuous grazing without change in season of use, or adequate recovery time; or excessive haying.
1.2A	1.2 to 1.1	Return to a normal or slightly drier hydrologic cycle; prescribed grazing with proper stocking rates, change in season of use, and adequate recovery time.

# State 1 Reference State

The Reference State represents the best estimate of the natural range of variability that dominated the dynamics of the Wet Land ecological site prior to European settlement. This site, in the Reference State, is dominated by grasses, grass-like species, and forbs. Variations in annual precipitation, and length of time the site is ponded, greatly influence the species composition from year to year. During wet years the plant community will respond to higher surface water levels and grass-like species and forbs will increase. During drier years the plant community will be dominated by grasses and obligate sedges and rushes. Grazing pressure on this site and surrounding sites also influence the plant community dynamics. Hoof action during wet periods can cause soil compaction and reduce rooting depth and soil saturation levels. Heavy animal concentrations or cropping on the surrounding landscapes can increase runoff and sedimentation. In pre-European settlement times, the primary disturbances included grazing by large ungulates, occasional fire, and drought. Favorable growing conditions occurred during the spring

and the warm months of June through August. Today a similar state can be found in areas where proper livestock management occurs.

# Community 1.1 Prairie Cordgrass/Nebraska Sedge/Bluejoint Reedgrass

Interpretations are based primarily on the Prairie Cordgrass/Nebraska Sedge/Bluejoint Reedgrass Plant Community (1.1). This is also considered to be reference plant community. Potential vegetation is about 60 percent grasses, 25 percent sedges and rushes, 10 percent forbs, and 5 percent shrubs and trees. The major grasses and grass-likes include prairie cordgrass, Nebraska sedge, bluejoint reedgrass, and northern reedgrass. Grasses and grass-likes of lesser importance are mountain rush (Baltic rush) and low-growing, unpalatable sedges. The plant community is well adapted to the Northern Great Plains climatic conditions. It is a critical plant community providing water and habitat for the surrounding area. The diversity in plant species provides a variety of habitats for wildlife. It is resistant to drought due to a dependable water supply. This is a sustainable plant community in terms of soil stability, watershed function, and biologic integrity.

Table 5. Annual production by plant type

Plant Type	Low (Kg/Hectare)	Representative Value (Kg/Hectare)	High (Kg/Hectare)
Grass/Grasslike	4797	5210	5817
Forb	247	616	757
Shrub/Vine	-	307	673
Tree	-	31	67
Total	5044	6164	7314




Figure 8. Plant community growth curve (percent production by month). SD6107, Black Hills Foot Slopes, cool-season dominant, warm-season subdominant. Cool-season dominant, warm-season sub-dominant, lowland.

# Community 1.2 Sedge/Smartweed/Rush

This plant community developed with continuous grazing without adequate recovery periods between grazing events, or excessive haying, and wetter precipitation or hydrology cycle. This plant community is approximately 30 percent grasses and 40 percent grass-like species, 25 percent forbs, and 5 percent shrubs and trees. The plant community becomes dominated by grass-likes such as Nebraska sedge, various obligate and facultative wet sedges, spikerush, and mountain rush (Baltic rush). Forbs include Pennsylvania smartweed, swamp smartweed, and native cattails. When compared to the Prairie Cordgrass-Bluejoint Reedgrass/Nebraska Sedge Plant Community (1.1), prairie cordgrass, northern reedgrass, bluejoint reedgrass, and Nebraska sedge have decreased. Low growing unpalatable sedges, mountain rush (Baltic rush), and native cattails have increased. The abundant production and proximity to water make this plant community important for livestock and wildlife such as perching birds, shorebirds, waterfowl, elk, and deer. The plant community is stable and protected from excessive erosion. The biotic integrity of this plant community is usually intact. The watershed is usually functioning. While plant diversity has been reduced, the soil is stable. The water cycle, nutrient cycle, and energy flow is slightly reduced but continues to function adequately.

Table 6. Annual production by plant type

Plant Type	Low (Kg/Hectare)	Representative Value (Kg/Hectare)	High (Kg/Hectare)
Grass/Grasslike	1098	1301	1945
Forb	247	656	729
Shrub/Vine	_	50	106
Tree	-	10	22
Total	1345	2017	2802




Figure 10. Plant community growth curve (percent production by month). SD6107, Black Hills Foot Slopes, cool-season dominant, warm-season sub-dominant. Cool-season dominant, warm-season sub-dominant, lowland.

# Pathway 1.1A Community 1.1 to 1.2

Change to a wetter hydrologic cycle with a higher stable water level, continuous grazing without adequate recovery periods, or excessive haying, will convert the Reference Plant Community (1.1) to the Sedge/Smartweed/Rush Plant Community (1.2).

# Pathway 1.2A Community 1.2 to 1.1

Prescribed grazing that provides change in season of use, and adequate recovery time, and a return to a normal or slightly drier precipitation and hydrologic cycle will shift the Sedge/Smartweed/Rush Plant Community (1.2) to the Prairie Cordgrass/Nebraska Sedge/Bluejoint Reedgrass Plant Community. (1.1).

## **Conservation practices**

Prescribed Grazing

# State 2 Native/Invaded State

The Native/Invaded State is dominated by native and non-native cool-season grasses and grass-likes. The non-native cool-season grasses, primarily Kentucky bluegrass, make up 10 to 15 percent of the total annual production. This State is the result of heavy grazing, excessive haying, or heavy disturbances which can create soil compaction and sedimentation. Cattails and possibly reed canarygrass have increased and replaced the reference plant community. The Native/Invaded State is very resilient and resistant to change.

# Community 2.1 Cattail/Reed Canarygrass

This plant community developed with further heavy grazing, excessive haying, or heavy disturbance and sedimentation. Cattails and possible reed canarygrass have invaded the site and displaced the more palatable

grasses and grass-like species. The drier areas of this plant community will likely be dominated by Kentucky bluegrass. When compared to the Prairie Cordgrass/Nebraska Sedge/Bluejoint Reedgrass Plant Community (1.1), prairie cordgrass, bluejoint reedgrass, and Nebraska sedge have decreased. Low growing unpalatable sedges, mountain rush (Baltic rush), and cattails have increased. The plant community is stable and protected from excessive erosion. The biotic integrity of this plant community is usually intact. The watershed is usually functioning.

Table 7. Annual production by plant type

Plant Type	Low (Kg/Hectare)	Representative Value (Kg/Hectare)	High (Kg/Hectare)
Grass/Grasslike	1995	2421	3239
Forb	247	757	841
Shrub/Vine	-	168	364
Tree	_	17	39
Total	2242	3363	4483




Figure 12. Plant community growth curve (percent production by month). SD6107, Black Hills Foot Slopes, cool-season dominant, warm-season sub-dominant. Cool-season dominant, warm-season sub-dominant, lowland.

# Transition T1A State 1 to 2

Heavy grazing or excessive haying with no recovery opportunity; heavy disturbance resulting in sedimentation; and the invasion of non-native or invasive plant species will transition the Reference State (1.0) to the Native/Invaded State (2.0).

# Restoration pathway R2A State 2 to 1

Removal disturbances coupled with long-term prescribed grazing, or prescribed burning in combination with prescribed grazing may eventually move the Native/Invaded State (2.0) toward the Reference State (1.0). This transition pathway is assuming an adequate seed and vegetative source is available. This process may require a long period of time to accomplish and may be difficult to attain depending on the degree of degradation. Wet land restoration may be an alternative but may be cost prohibitive and may not meet management objectives.

## **Conservation practices**

Prescribed Burning
Prescribed Grazing

# Additional community tables

Table 8. Community 1.1 plant community composition

	Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production (Kg/Hectare)	Foliar Cover (%)
--	-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	-----------------------------------	---------------------

	ss/Grasslike			T	
1	Tall Warm-Season Grass	1		2466–3391	
	prairie cordgrass	SPPE	Spartina pectinata	2466–3391	-
2	Rhizomatous Cool-Seas	on Grasses	S	616–1849	
	bluejoint	CACA4	Calamagrostis canadensis	616–1541	-
	northern reedgrass	CASTI3	Calamagrostis stricta ssp. inexpansa	308–925	-
	slimstem reedgrass	CASTS5	Calamagrostis stricta ssp. stricta	62–616	_
3	Other Native Grasses			308–560	
	foxtail barley	HOJU	Hordeum jubatum	123–308	-
	reed canarygrass	PHAR3	Phalaris arundinacea	0–308	-
	slender wheatgrass	ELTR7	Elymus trachycaulus	123–308	-
	switchgrass	PAVI2	Panicum virgatum	0–308	-
	western wheatgrass	PASM	Pascopyrum smithii	0–308	_
	saltgrass	DISP	Distichlis spicata	0–308	_
	spiked muhly	MUGL3	Muhlenbergia glomerata	0–123	_
	fowl mannagrass	GLST	Glyceria striata	0–123	_
	Grass, perennial	2GP	Grass, perennial	0–123	_
	American sloughgrass	BESY	Beckmannia syzigachne	0–62	-
4	Grass-Likes			1233–2466	
	Nebraska sedge	CANE2	Carex nebrascensis	616–1541	-
	bulrush	SCHOE6	Schoenoplectus	308–616	-
	sedge	CAREX	Carex	308–616	_
	wheat sedge	CAAT2	Carex atherodes	62–308	-
	rush	JUNCU	Juncus	0–308	_
	spikerush	ELEOC	Eleocharis	62–308	-
	scouringrush horsetail	EQHY	Equisetum hyemale	0–308	-
	spike sedge	CANA2	Carex nardina	0–308	_
	inland sedge	CAIN11	Carex interior	0–308	_
	Grass-like (not a true grass)	2GL	Grass-like (not a true grass)	0–123	-
5	Non-Native Cool-Season	Grasses		-	
Forb	)				
6	Forbs			308–925	
	Forb, native	2FN	Forb, native	0–308	-
	mint	MENTH	Mentha	0–185	-
	swamp milkweed	ASIN	Asclepias incarnata	0–123	-
	smooth horsetail	EQLA	Equisetum laevigatum	0–123	-
	aster	ASTER	Aster	0–123	-
	American licorice	GLLE3	Glycyrrhiza lepidota	0–123	-
	broadleaf cattail	TYLA	Typha latifolia	0–123	-
	iris	IRIS	Iris	0–123	-
	milkvetch	ASTRA	Astragalus	0–123	-
	Pennsylvania smartweed	POPE2	Polygonum pensylvanicum	0–123	-
	swamp smartweed	POHY2	Polygonum hydropiperoides	0–123	_

RAAQ 0-123 white water crowfoot Ranunculus aquatilis water hemlock CICUT Cicuta 0-123 RUCR 0-123 curly dock Rumex crispus **PLANT** 0-123 plantain Plantago 0-123 duckweed **LEMNA** Lemna blue-eyed grass SISYR Sisyrinchium 0–62 poison hemlock COMA2 Conium maculatum 0-62 Shrub/Vine 7 **Shrubs** 0–616 SALIX 0-616 willow Salix false indigo bush AMFR 0-123 Amorpha fruticosa redosier dogwood COSE16 0-123 Cornus sericea 2SHRUB 0-62 Shrub (>.5m) Shrub (>.5m) Tree 8 0-62 **Trees** cottonwood **POPUL** Populus 0-62 SALIX 0-62 willow Salix 0-62 Tree 2TREE Tree

Table 9. Community 1.2 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production (Kg/Hectare)	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass	/Grasslike		-	<u>,                                      </u>	
1	Tall Warm-Season Gras	ses		101–303	
	prairie cordgrass	SPPE	Spartina pectinata	101–303	_
2	Rhizomatous Cool-Seas	son Grasse	5	20–202	
	bluejoint	CACA4	Calamagrostis canadensis	20–202	_
	northern reedgrass	CASTI3	Calamagrostis stricta ssp. inexpansa	20–101	_
	slimstem reedgrass	CASTS5	Calamagrostis stricta ssp. stricta	0–101	_
3	Other Native Grasses	•		101–202	
	reed canarygrass	PHAR3	Phalaris arundinacea	101–202	_
	slender wheatgrass	ELTR7	Elymus trachycaulus	0–101	_
	foxtail barley	HOJU	Hordeum jubatum	20–101	_
	Grass, perennial	2GP	Grass, perennial	0–101	_
	switchgrass	PAVI2	Panicum virgatum	0–20	_
	western wheatgrass	PASM	Pascopyrum smithii	0–20	_
	saltgrass	DISP	Distichlis spicata	0–20	_
	American sloughgrass	BESY	Beckmannia syzigachne	0–20	_
	spiked muhly	MUGL3	Muhlenbergia glomerata	0–20	_
	fowl mannagrass	GLST	Glyceria striata	0–20	_
4	Grass-Likes	-		605–1110	
	bulrush	SCHOE6	Schoenoplectus	202–404	_
	spikerush	ELEOC	Eleocharis	202–404	_
ı	Nieleweelse eerdese	CANEO	C	404 000	

	ivepraska sedge	CANEZ	Carex neprascensis	101-303	_
	sedge	CAREX	Carex	20–202	_
	wheat sedge	CAAT2	Carex atherodes	101–202	_
	spike sedge	CANA2	Carex nardina	101–202	_
	inland sedge	CAIN11	Carex interior	0–101	-
	rush	JUNCU	Juncus	20–101	-
	scouringrush horsetail	EQHY	Equisetum hyemale	0–101	-
	Grass-like (not a true grass)	2GL	Grass-like (not a true grass)	0–40	_
5	Non-Native Cool-Season	Grasses		_	
Forb					
6	Forbs			303–1009	
	Pennsylvania smartweed	POPE2	Polygonum pensylvanicum	303–605	-
	broadleaf cattail	TYLA	Typha latifolia	101–303	-
	swamp smartweed	POHY2	Polygonum hydropiperoides	101–202	
	curly dock	RUCR	Rumex crispus	40–202	_
	smooth horsetail	EQLA	Equisetum laevigatum	40–202	_
	duckweed	LEMNA	Lemna	0–101	_
	Forb, native	2FN	Forb, native	0–101	_
	American licorice	GLLE3	Glycyrrhiza lepidota	0–101	_
	mint	MENTH	Mentha	0–61	_
	swamp milkweed	ASIN	Asclepias incarnata	0–61	_
	poison hemlock	COMA2	Conium maculatum	0–40	_
	plantain	PLANT	Plantago	0–40	_
	iris	IRIS	Iris	0–40	_
	white water crowfoot	RAAQ	Ranunculus aquatilis	0–40	_
	water hemlock	CICUT	Cicuta	0–40	_
	aster	ASTER	Aster	0–40	_
	milkvetch	ASTRA	Astragalus	0–20	_
	blue-eyed grass	SISYR	Sisyrinchium	0–20	_
Shrub	/Vine	•			
7	Shrubs			0–101	
	willow	SALIX	Salix	0–101	_
	false indigo bush	AMFR	Amorpha fruticosa	0–40	_
	redosier dogwood	COSE16	Cornus sericea	0–40	_
	Shrub (>.5m)	2SHRUB	Shrub (>.5m)	0–20	_
Tree		•			
8	Trees			0–20	
	cottonwood	POPUL	Populus	0–20	_
	willow	SALIX	Salix	0–20	_
	Tree	2TREE	Tree	0–20	_

Table 10. Community 2.1 plant community composition

				Annual Production	Foliar Cover
Group Comm	on Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	(Kg/Hectare)	(%)

1	Tall Warm-Season Grass	es		336–504	
	prairie cordgrass	SPPE	Spartina pectinata	336–504	
2	Rhizomatous Cool-Sease	ı on Grasses	<u> </u>	168–673	
	bluejoint	CACA4	Calamagrostis canadensis	168–336	
	northern reedgrass	CASTI3	Calamagrostis stricta ssp. inexpansa	168–336	
	slimstem reedgrass	CASTS5	Calamagrostis stricta ssp. stricta	0–67	
3	Other Native Grasses	504–841			
	reed canarygrass	PHAR3	Phalaris arundinacea	336–673	
	foxtail barley	HOJU	Hordeum jubatum	168–336	
	Grass, perennial	2GP	Grass, perennial	0–168	
	American sloughgrass	BESY	Beckmannia syzigachne	0–67	
	slender wheatgrass	ELTR7	Elymus trachycaulus	0–67	
	western wheatgrass	PASM	Pascopyrum smithii	0–67	
	saltgrass	DISP	Distichlis spicata	0–34	
	spiked muhly	MUGL3	Muhlenbergia glomerata	0–34	
	fowl mannagrass	GLST	Glyceria striata	0–34	
4	Grass-Likes	<u>l</u>	1 -	504–841	
	Nebraska sedge	CANE2	Carex nebrascensis	168–504	
	bulrush	SCHOE6	Schoenoplectus	168–504	
	sedge	CAREX	Carex	168–504	
	rush	JUNCU	Juncus	168–336	
	spikerush	ELEOC	Eleocharis	67–168	
	scouringrush horsetail	EQHY	Equisetum hyemale	0–168	
	spike sedge	CANA2	Carex nardina	34–168	
	wheat sedge	CAAT2	Carex atherodes	34–168	
	inland sedge	CAIN11	Carex interior	0–67	
	Grass-like (not a true grass)	2GL	Grass-like (not a true grass)	0–67	
5	Non-Native Cool-Season	Grasses		168–673	-
	Kentucky bluegrass	POPR	Poa pratensis	168–673	
	timothy	PHPR3	Phleum pratense	0–336	
Forb	-	•		•	
6	Forbs			336–1177	
	broadleaf cattail	TYLA	Typha latifolia	336–841	
	Pennsylvania smartweed	POPE2	Polygonum pensylvanicum	34–336	
	curly dock	RUCR	Rumex crispus	34–336	
	Forb, native	2FN	Forb, native	0–168	
	Forb, introduced	2FI	Forb, introduced	0–168	
	swamp smartweed	POHY2	Polygonum hydropiperoides	0–168	
	duckweed	LEMNA	Lemna	0–168	
	American licorice	GLLE3	Glycyrrhiza lepidota	0–168	
	smooth horsetail	EQLA	Equisetum laevigatum	0–67	

	ροιδοιτ π <del>α</del> ιπιουν	COIVIAZ	Ооншн тасшашн	U-U1	_
	mint	MENTH	Mentha	0–67	_
	water hemlock	CICUT	Cicuta	0–67	-
	iris	IRIS	Iris	0–67	-
	milkvetch	ASTRA	Astragalus	0–67	_
	aster	ASTER	Aster	0–67	_
	plantain	PLANT	Plantago	0–67	_
Shru	ıb/Vine	<u>.</u>			
7	Shrubs			0–336	
	willow	SALIX	Salix	0–336	-
	false indigo bush	AMFR	Amorpha fruticosa	0–67	_
	redosier dogwood	COSE16	Cornus sericea	0–67	-
	Shrub (>.5m)	2SHRUB	Shrub (>.5m)	0–34	-
Tree					
8	Trees			0–34	
	cottonwood	POPUL	Populus	0-34	-
	willow	SALIX	Salix	0–34	-
	Tree	2TREE	Tree	0-34	_

# **Animal community**

### Wildlife Interpretations:

MLRA 61 is in the drier areas of a northern mixed-grass prairie ecosystem in which sagebrush steppes to the west yield to grassland steppes to the east. Prior to European settlement, this MLRA consisted of diverse grassland and shrubland habitats interspersed with varying densities of depressional, instream wetlands and woody riparian corridors. These habitats provided critical life cycle components for many users. Many species of grassland birds, small mammals, reptiles, and amphibians and herds of roaming bison, elk, and pronghorn were among the inhabitants adapted to this semi-arid region. Roaming herbivores, as well as several species of small mammals and insects, were the primary consumers linking the grassland resources to large predators, such as the gray wolf, mountain lion, and grizzly bear, and to smaller carnivores, such as the coyote, bobcat, fox, and raptors. The prairie dog was once abundant and remains a keystone species within its range. The black-footed ferret, burrowing owl, ferruginous hawk, mountain plover, and swift fox are associated with prairie dog complexes.

Historically, the northern mixed-grass prairie was a disturbance-driven ecosystem in which fire, herbivory, and climate functioned as the primary disturbance factors, either singly or in combination. Following European settlement, livestock grazing, cropland conversion, elimination of fire, energy development, and other anthropogenic factors influenced species composition and abundance. Introduced and invasive species further affected plant and animal communities. The bison was a historical keystone species but has been extirpated in this area as a free-ranging herbivore. The loss of the bison and the reduction of prairie dog populations and fire as ecological drivers greatly influenced the character of the remaining native plant communities and altered wildlife habitats. Human development reduced habitat quality for area-sensitive species.

Within MLRA 61, the Wet Land ecological site provides upland grassland cover with an associated forb component. It was typically part of an expansive grassland landscape that included combinations of Clayey, Loamy, Shallow, Stony Hills, Overflow, and Subirrigated ecological sites.

This site provided habitat for species requiring unfragmented grassland. Important habitat features, and components found commonly or exclusively on this site may include sharp-tailed grouse leks; upland nesting habitat for grassland birds, forbs and insects for brood habitat; and a forage source for small and large herbivores. Many grassland and shrub steppe nesting bird populations are declining. Extirpated species include free-ranging American bison, grizzly bear, gray wolf, black-footed ferret, mountain plover, Rocky Mountain locust, and swift fox.

Beaver may inhabit adjacent surface waters associated with the Wet Land ecological site along streams and

drainages. Beaver occupation serves as a mechanism to maintain water tables along flood plains and will expand the extent of the Wet Land site in drainages and valley floors. During pre-European times the extent of the Wet Land site was likely much more wide-spread and persistent during dry periods, however excessive trapping and removal since that time has changed hydrology and limited the extent of the site while drying former mesic areas throughout the MLRA.

## **Grazing Interpretations:**

The following list suggests annual, initial stocking rates for average growing conditions. These estimates are conservative and should be used only as guidelines in the initial stages of conservation planning. Commonly, the current plant composition does not entirely match any particular plant community (as described in this ecological site description). Therefore, a resource inventory is necessary to document plant composition and production. More accurate estimates of carrying capacity should eventually be calculated using the following stocking rate information along with animal preference data and actual stocking records, particularly when grazers other than cattle are involved. In consultation with the land manager, a more intensive grazing management program that results in improved harvest efficiencies and increased carrying capacity may be developed.

The following suggested initial stocking rates are based on 912 lb/acre (air-dry weight) per animal-unit-month (AUM) with a 25 percent harvest efficiency of preferred and desirable forage species (refer to USDA-NRCS, National Range and Pasture Handbook). An AUM is defined as the equivalent amount of forage required by a 1,000-pound cow, with or without calf, for one month.

Plant Community: Prairie Cordgrass/Nebraska Sedge/Bluejoint Reedgrass (1.1)

Average Production (lb/acre, air-dry): 5,500

Stocking Rate (AUM/acre): 1.51

Plant Community: Sedge/Smartweed/Rush (1.2) Average Production (lb/acre, air-dry): 1,800\* Stocking Rate (AUM/acre): Variable\*

\*Plant Community: Cattails/Reed Canarygrass (2.1)
Average Production (lb/acre, air-dry): Variable\*

Stocking Rate (AUM/acre): Variable\*

Plant Community: All other plant communities identified in this document have variable annual production values and require onsite sampling to determine initial stocking rates.

\* Total annual production and stocking rates are highly variable and require onsite sampling.

Total onsite annual production may contain vegetation deemed undesirable or untargeted by the grazing animal. Therefore, AUM values may need to be reduced to reflect only preferred or desirable forage species.

Grazing by domestic livestock is one of the major income-producing industries in the area. Rangeland in this area may provide yearlong forage for livestock. During the dormant period, the forage for livestock likely has insufficient protein to meet livestock requirements. Added protein allows ruminants to better utilize the energy stored in grazed plant materials. A forage quality test (either directly or through fecal sampling) should be used to determine the level of supplementation needed.

## **Hydrological functions**

Water is the principal factor limiting forage production on this site. This site is dominated by soils in hydrologic groups C and D. Infiltration and runoff potential for this site varies from moderate to high depending on soil hydrologic group, slope, and ground cover. In many cases, areas with greater than 75 percent ground cover have the greatest potential for high infiltration and lower runoff. An example of an exception would be where shortgrasses form a strong sod and dominate the site. Dominance by blue grama, buffalograss, bluegrass, or smooth brome will result in reduced infiltration and increased runoff. Areas where ground cover is less than 50 percent have the greatest potential to have reduced infiltration and higher runoff. Refer to the USDA-NRCS National Engineering Handbook, Part 630, for hydrologic soil groups, runoff quantities, and hydrologic curves.

### Recreational uses

This site provides opportunities for hiking, hunting upland game species and waterfowl, bird watching, and photography. The wide variety of plants that bloom from spring until fall have an aesthetic value that appeals to visitors.

# **Wood products**

No appreciable wood products are typically present on this site.

# Other products

Harvesting the seeds of native plants can provide additional income on this site.

#### Other information

Revision Notes: "Previously Approved" Provisional

This provisional ecological site description (ESD) has passed quality control (QC) and quality assurance (QA) to ensure the it meets the 2014 NESH standards for a "Provisional" ecological site description.

This ecological site description (ESD) is an updated "Previously Approved" ESD that represented a first-generation tier of documentation that met all requirements as an "Approved" ESD as laid out in the 1997 National Range and Pasture Handbook (NRPH). The requirements for approved status changed with the release of the 2014 National Ecological Site Handbook (NESH). The previously approved document fully described the reference state and community phase in the state-and-transition model. All other alternative states were at least described in narrative form. The "Previously Approved" ESD has been field-tested for a minimum of five years and is a proven functional document for conservation planning. The "Previously Approved" ESD may not contain all tabular and narrative entries as required in the current "Approved" level of documentation, but it is expected this ESD will continue refinement toward the current "Approved" status.

# Site Development and Testing Plan

Future work, as described in an official project plan, is necessary to validate the information in this provisional ecological site description. The plan will include field activities for low-, medium-, and high-intensity sampling, soil correlations, and analysis of the data. Annual field reviews should be done by soil scientists and vegetation specialists. Final field review, peer review, quality control, and quality assurance reviews are required to produce the final document.

# Inventory data references

Information presented here has been derived from NRCS clipping data and other inventory data. Field observations from range-trained personnel were also used. Those involved in developing this site include: Stan Boltz, range management specialist (RMS), NRCS; Cynthia Englebert, RMS, Forest Service; George Gamblin, RMS, NRCS; Tate Lantz, RMS, NRCS; Ryan Murray, RMS, NRCS; Cheryl Nielsen, RMS, NRCS; L. Michael Stirling, RMS, NRCS; and Jim Westerman, soil scientist, NRCS.

## Other references

Cleland, D.T., J.A. Freeouf, J.E. Keys, G.J. Nowacki, C.A. Carpenter, and W.H McNab. 2007. Ecological subregions: Sections and subsections of the conterminous United States. USDA Forest Service, General Technical Report WO-76D. https://www.fs.fed.us/research/publications/misc/73326-wo-gtr-76d-cleland2007.pdf (accessed 31 January 2019).

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2018. EPA level III and level IV ecoregions of the conterminous United States. https://www.epa.gov/eco-research/level-iii-and-iv-ecoregions- conterminous-united-states (accessed 26 April 2018).

High Plains Regional Climate Center, University of Nebraska. 2018. http://www.hprcc.unl.edu/ (accessed 6 April 2018).

Larson, Gary E. and James R. Johnson. 1999. Plants of the Black Hills and Bear Lodge Mountains. South Dakota State University, College of Agriculture and Biological Sciences and Agriculture Experiment Station, Bulletin 732, Brookings, SD.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2019. Electronic field office technical guide. https://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov (accessed 15 October 2019).

Soil Survey Staff. 2019. Official soil series descriptions. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service. https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/home/?cid=nrcs142p2\_053587 (accessed 15 October 2019).

Soil Survey Staff. 2019. Web Soil Survey. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service. https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/WebSoilSurvey.aspx (accessed 15 October 2019).

- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. Agriculture Handbook 296. https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE\_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2\_050898.pdf (accessed 27 January 2018).
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2014. National ecological site handbook, 1st ed. https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ref/?cid=nrcseprd1291232 (accessed 27 January 2018).
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2012. National engineering handbook, part 630. Hydrology chapters from e-Directives. https://directives.sc.egov.usda.gov/viewerFS.aspx?hid=21422 (accessed 17 January 2018).
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2018. Climate data. National Water and Climate Center. http://www.wcc.nrcs.usda.gov/ (accessed 2 December 2018).
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 1997. National range and pasture handbook, rev. 1, 2003. https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE\_DOCUMENTS/stelprdb1043055.pdf (accessed 7 January 2018).
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2018. National Soil Information System, Information Technology Center. http://nasis.nrcs.usda.gov (accessed 30 July 2019).
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2019. PLANTS database. National Plant Data Team, Greensboro, NC. http://plants.usda.gov (accessed 15 October 2019).
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2007. National engineering handbook, part 654. Rosgen Stream Classification Technique Supplemental Materials, Technical Supplement 3E. https://directives.sc.egov.usda.gov/OpenNonWebContent.aspx?content=17833.wba (accessed 4 March 2019).

# **Contributors**

Rick L. Peterson Stan C. Boltz Mitch D. Faulkner

## Approval

Suzanne Mayne-Kinney, 7/17/2024

# **Acknowledgments**

This ecological site description was updated by Rick L. Peterson on October 17, 2019.

The ESDs were reviewed for quality control by Emily Helms, John Hartung, Mitch Faulkner, and Ryan Murray.

All ecological sites were then reviewed and approved at the Provisional Level by David Kraft, Regional ESS, Salina, KS in September 2020.

#### Nondiscrimination Statement:

In accordance with Federal civil rights law and U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) civil rights regulations and policies, the USDA, its Agencies, offices, and employees, and institutions participating in or administering USDA programs are prohibited from discriminating based on race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender identity (including gender expression), sexual orientation, disability, age, marital status, family/parental status, income derived from a public assistance program, political beliefs, or reprisal or retaliation for prior civil rights activity, in any program or activity conducted or funded by USDA (not all bases apply to all programs). Remedies and complaint filing deadlines vary by program or incident.

Persons with disabilities who require alternative means of communication for program information (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, American Sign Language, etc.) should contact the responsible Agency or USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TTY) or contact USDA through the Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339. Additionally, program information may be made available in languages other than English.

To file a program discrimination complaint, complete the USDA Program Discrimination Complaint Form, AD-3027, available online at https://www.ascr.usda.gov/filing-program-discrimination-complaint-usda-customer and at any USDA office, or write a letter addressed to USDA and provide in the letter all of the information requested in the form. To request a copy of the complaint form, call (866) 632-9992. Submit your completed form or letter to USDA by:

(1) mail: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20250-9410;

(2) fax: (202) 690-7442; or

(3) email: program.intake@usda.gov.

USDA is an equal opportunity provider, employer, and lender.

## Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	07/17/2024
Approved by	Suzanne Mayne-Kinney
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

## **Indicators**

1. Number and extent of rills:

2.	Presence of water flow patterns:
3.	Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:
4.	Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):
5.	Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:
6.	Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:
7.	Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):
8.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):
10.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):
12.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):
	Dominant:
	Sub-dominant:
	Other:
	Additional:
13.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or

decadence):

14.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth ( in):
15.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):
16.	Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:
17.	Perennial plant reproductive capability: