

Ecological site R070BC025NM Shallow

Accessed: 05/19/2024

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

Figure 1. Mapped extent

Areas shown in blue indicate the maximum mapped extent of this ecological site. Other ecological sites likely occur within the highlighted areas. It is also possible for this ecological site to occur outside of highlighted areas if detailed soil survey has not been completed or recently updated.

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	Not specified
Herbaceous	Not specified

Physiographic features

This site occurs on knolls, ridges, hillslopes alluvial fans and escarpments. Slopes range fro 0 to 25 percent and average about 7 percent. Direction of slope varies and is usually not significant. Elevations range from 2,842 to 4,500 feet.

Landforms	(1) Hill(2) Ridge(3) Fan piedmont
Flooding frequency	None
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	866–1,372 m
Slope	0–25%
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Climatic features

The average annual precipitation ranges from 8 to 13 inches. Variations of 5 inches, more or less, are common. Over 80 percent of the precipitation falls from April through October. Most of the summer precipitation comes in the form of high intensity – short duration thunderstorms.

Temperatures are characterized by distinct seasonal changes and large annual and diurnal temperature changes. The average annual temperature is 61 degrees with extremes of 25 degrees below zero in the winter to 112 degrees in the summer.

The average frost-free season is 180 to 220 days. The last killing frost is late March or early April, and the first killing frost is in late October or early November.

Temperature and rainfall both favor warm season perennial plant growth. In years of abundant spring moisture, annual forbs and cool season grasses can make up an important component of this site. Because of the shallow soil depth, the vegetation on this site can take advantage of moisture almost anytime it falls. Strong winds that blow from the west and southwest blow from January through June, which accelerates soil drying at a critical time for cool season plant growth.

Climate data was obtained from http://www.wrcc.sage.dri.edu/summary/climsmnm.html web site using 50% probability for freeze-free and frost-free seasons using 28.5 degrees F and 32.5 degrees F respectively.

Table 3. Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (average)	220 days
Freeze-free period (average)	240 days
Precipitation total (average)	330 mm

Influencing water features

This site is not influenced from water from wetlands or streams.

Soil features

The soils of this site are shallow to very shallow. Soils are derived from mixed calcareous eolian deposits derived from sedimentary rock. Surface layers are very cobbly loam, very gravelly loam, gravelly loam, cobbly loam, gravelly fine sandy loam or gravelly sandy loam.

There is an indurated caliche layer or limestone bedrock that occurs within 20 inches and averages less than 10 inches. Limestone or caliche layer may be the restrictive layer.

Minimum and maximum values listed below represent the characteristic soils for this site.

Characteristic soils:

Lozier Potter Tencee Upton Ector Kimbrough

Table 4. Representative soil features

Surface texture	(1) Gravelly loam(2) Extremely gravelly loam(3) Extremely cobbly loam
Family particle size	(1) Loamy
Drainage class	Well drained
Permeability class	Very slow to moderately slow
Soil depth	10–51 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	15–40%
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	2.54 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-101.6cm)	15–60%

Electrical conductivity (0-101.6cm)	0–2 mmhos/cm
Sodium adsorption ratio (0-101.6cm)	0–1
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-101.6cm)	7.4–8.4
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	13–42%
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	0–1%

Ecological dynamics

Overview:

The Shallow site is associated with and Limestone Hills, Loamy, and Shallow Sandy sites. When associated with Limestone Hills, the Shallow site occurs on the summits, foot slopes and toeslopes of hills. Loamy sites often occur as areas between low elongated hills with rounded crests (Shallow site). When the Shallow Sandy site and Shallow site occur in association, the Shallow Sandy soils occupy the tops of low ridges and the Shallow site soils occur on the steeper sideslopes of the ridge. The historic plant community of the Shallow site has the aspect of a grassland/shrub mix, dominated by grasses, but with shrubs common throughout the site. Black grama is the dominant grass species; creosotebush, mesquite, and catclaw mimosa are common shrubs. Overgrazing and or extended drought can reduce grass cover, effect a change in grass species dominance, and may result in a shrub-dominated state. 1

State and transition model

Plant Communities and Transitional Pathways (diagram)



MLRA-42, SD-3, Shallow

1a. Extended drought, overgrazing, no fire

1b. Brush control, Prescribed grazing

State 1 Grass/Shrub Mix

Community 1.1 Grass/Shrub Mix

Grassland/Shrub Mix: The historic plant community is dominated by black grama with sideoats grama as the subdominant. Blue grama, hairy grama, bush muhly, and sand dropseed also occur in significant amounts. Sideoats grama can occur as the dominant grass with black grama as sub-dominant on the western side of the Land Resource Unit SD-3. This may be due to higher average elevation on the west side. Retrogression within this state due to extended drought or overgrazing will cause a decrease in species such as black grama, sideoats grama, blue grama, and bush muhly. Threeawns may become the dominant grass species due to a decline in more palatable grasses or because of its ability to quickly recover following drought. Continued loss of grass cover and associated increase in amount of bare ground may result in a shrub-dominated state. Decreased fire frequencies may also be an important component in the cause of this transition. Diagnosis: Grass cover is fairly uniform, however, surface gravel, cobble, and bare ground make up a large percent of total ground cover, and grass production during unfavorable years may only average 150-175 pounds per acre. Shrubs are common with canopy cover averaging five to ten percent. Evidence of erosion such as rills and gullies are rare, but may occur on slopes greater than eight percent.

Table 5. Annual production by plant type

Plant Type	Low (Kg/Hectare)	Representative Value (Kg/Hectare)	High (Kg/Hectare)
Grass/Grasslike	188	395	601
Shrub/Vine	71	147	224
Forb	22	47	72
Total	281	589	897

Table 6. Ground cover

Tree foliar cover	0%
Shrub/vine/liana foliar cover	5-10%
Grass/grasslike foliar cover	10-15%
Forb foliar cover	0%
Non-vascular plants	0%
Biological crusts	0%
Litter	5-8%
Surface fragments >0.25" and <=3"	0%
Surface fragments >3"	0%
Bedrock	0%
Water	0%
Bare ground	40-60%

Figure 5. Plant community growth curve (percent production by month). NM2825, R042XC025NM Shallow HCPC. R042XC025NM Shallow HCPC Warm Season Plant Community.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
0	0	3	5	10	10	25	30	12	5	0	0

State 2 Shrub-Dominated

Community 2.1 Shrub-Dominated

Shrub-Dominated: This state is characterized by an increase in shrubs and a decrease in grass cover relative to grassland/shrub mix. As grass cover decreases shrubs increase, especially creosotebush, catclaw mimosa, whitethorn acacia, and mesquite. Each of these shrub species may become dominant in localized areas or across the site, depending on the spatial variability in soil characteristics and landscape position. Black grama, threeawns, hairy grama, or hairy tridens may be the dominant grass species. Fluffgrass, burrograss and broom snakeweed increase in representation. The Shallow site is resistant to state change, due to the natural rock armor of the soil and a shallow impermeable layer. The amount of rock fragments on the soil surface assist in retarding erosion. On Shallow sites with low slope, the shallow depth to either a petrocalcic layer or limestone bedrock helps to keep water perched and available to shallow rooted grasses for extended periods. 2 Diagnosis: Shrubs are the dominant species, especially creosotebush, catclaw mimosa, whitethorn acacia, or mesquite. Grass cover is variable ranging

from patchy with large connected bare areas present to sparse with only a limited amount in shrub inter-spaces. Transition to Shrub-Dominated (1a) Overgrazing and or extended periods of drought, and suppression of natural fire regimes are thought to cause this transition. As grass cover is lost, soil fertility and available soil moisture decline, due to the reduction of organic matter and decreased infiltration.3 Shrubs have the ability to extract nutrients and water from a greater area of soil than grasses and are better able to utilize limited water. Competition by shrubs for water and nutrients limits grass recruitment and establishment. Fire historically may have played a part in suppressing shrub expansion; fire suppression may therefore facilitate shrub expansion. Key indicators of approach to transition: *Decrease or change in composition or distribution of grass cover. *Increase in size and frequency of bare patches. *Increase in amount of shrub seedlings. Transition back to Grassland/Shrub Mix (1b) Brush control is necessary to re-establish grasses. Prescribed grazing will help to ensure proper forage utilization and sustain grass cover. Once the transition is reversed and grass cover is re-established, periodic use of prescribed fire may assist in maintaining the Grassland/Shrub state.

Additional community tables

Г

Table 7. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production (Kg/Hectare)	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass	/Grasslike		·		
1				118–177	
	black grama	BOER4	Bouteloua eriopoda	118–177	_
2			•	89–118	
	sideoats grama	BOCU	Bouteloua curtipendula	89–118	_
3			• 	89–118	
	blue grama	BOGR2	Bouteloua gracilis	89–118	_
	hairy grama	BOHI2	Bouteloua hirsuta	89–118	-
4				29–59	
	bush muhly	MUPO2	Muhlenbergia porteri	29–59	-
5				18–29	
	cane bluestem	BOBA3	Bothriochloa barbinodis	18–29	-
6				29–59	
	sand dropseed	SPCR	Sporobolus cryptandrus	29–59	-
7		-		18–29	
	hairy woollygrass	ERPI5	Erioneuron pilosum	18–29	-
8				6–18	
	ear muhly	MUAR	Muhlenbergia arenacea	6–18	-
9				6–18	
	New Mexico feathergrass	HENE5	Hesperostipa neomexicana	6–18	-
10				6–18	
	low woollygrass	DAPU7	Dasyochloa pulchella	6–18	-
11				18–29	
	Grass, perennial	2GP	Grass, perennial	18–29	-
Forb					
12				12–29	
	stemless four-nerve daisy	TEACE	Tetraneuris acaulis var. epunctata	12–29	_
13				6–18	
	woolly groundsel	PACA15	Packera cana	6–18	_
				0.10	

globemaliowSPHAESpharalceaendende15International StressSchullerSchullerSchuller16International StressSchullerSchullerSchuller16SchullerSchullerSchullerSchullerSchuller17SchullerSchullerSchullerSchullerSchuller17SchullerSchullerSchullerSchullerSchuller18SchullerSchullerSchullerSchullerSchuller19SchullerSchullerSchullerSchullerSchuller19SchullerSchullerSchullerSchullerSchuller19SchullerSchullerSchullerSchullerSchuller10SchulerSchullerSchullerSchullerSchuller10SchulerSchullerSchullerSchullerSchuller10SchulerSchullerSchullerSchullerSchuller11SchulerSchullerSchullerSchullerSchuller12SchullerSchullerSchullerSchullerSchuller13SchullerSchullerSchullerSchullerSchuller14SchullerSchullerSchullerSchullerSchuller14SchullerSchullerSchullerSchullerSchuller14SchullerSchullerSchullerSchullerSchuller15SchullerSchullerSchullerSchuller<	14				0-10		
15Image of the state of the stat		globemallow	SPHAE	Sphaeralcea	6–18	_	
Index part of the sequence of	15				6–18		
16Image: CassiaCa		bladderpod	LESQU	Lesquerella	6–18	-	
cassiaCASSICassia6.61817Image CassiaImage Cassia </td <td>16</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>6–18</td> <td></td>	16				6–18		
1712-2917Forb (herbaceous, not grass nor grass-like)2FORBForb (herbaceous, not grass nor grass-like)12-29Shrub/Vine186-18186-18186-18186-18196-18196-18106-18106-18116-18116-18116-18116-18116-18116-18116-18116-1811 <th col<="" td=""><td></td><td>cassia</td><td>CASSI</td><td>Cassia</td><td>6–18</td><td>_</td></th>	<td></td> <td>cassia</td> <td>CASSI</td> <td>Cassia</td> <td>6–18</td> <td>_</td>		cassia	CASSI	Cassia	6–18	_
Porte (herbaceous, not grass nor grass-like)Porte (herbaceous, not grass nor grass-like)12-29ShrubSh	17				12–29		
Shrive18Interfere<		Forb (herbaceous, not grass nor grass-like)	2FORB	Forb (herbaceous, not grass nor grass-like)	12–29	_	
18 Ittleleaf sumac RHM3 Rhus microphylla 6.6-18 19	Shrub	/Vine		-			
Itteleaf sumacRHM3Rhus microphylla6.6-1819	18				6–18		
19		littleleaf sumac	RHMI3	Rhus microphylla	6–18	-	
Image: stand s	19				6–18		
20Intellead ratanyKRERKrameria erecta6.6.1821intellead ratanyKRERKrameria erecta6.6.1821javelina bushCOER5Condalia ericcides6.6.1822International and the second s		creosote bush	LATR2	Larrea tridentata	6–18	-	
Inteleded ratanyKRERKrameria erecta6.6.18	20		-		6–18		
21Image: second sec		littleleaf ratany	KRER	Krameria erecta	6–18	-	
javelina bushCOER5Condalia ericoides $6-18$ $-$ 22 $ 6-18$ $-$ 23American tarwortFLCEFlourensia cernua $6-18$ $-$ 23 $ 6-18$ $ -$ 24 $ 6-18$ $ -$ 24honey mesquitePRGL2Prosopis glandulosa $12-29$ $ -$ honey mesquitePRGL2Prosopis glandulosa $12-29$ $ -$ honey mesquitePRGL2Prosopis glandulosa $12-29$ $ -$ for y mesquitePRGL2Prosopis glandulosa $12-29$ $ 25$ $ 26$ $ 26$ $ 27$ $ 27$ $ 28$ $ 28$ $ 29$ $ 29$ $ -$	21		-		6–18		
22Image: state of the state of t		javelina bush	COER5	Condalia ericoides	6–18	_	
American tarwortFLCEFlourensia cernua $6-18$ $-$ 23 $\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	22			·	6–18		
23Image: section of the se		American tarwort	FLCE	Flourensia cernua	6–18	_	
crown of thornsKOSPKoeberlinia spinosa $6-18$ $-$ 24 $ 12-29$ $12-29$ $ 24$ honey mesquitePRGL2Prosopis glandulosa $12-29$ $ 25$ $ 25$ $ 26$ $ 26$ $ 26$ $ 26$ $ 27$ $ 27$ $ 27$ $ 28$ $ 28$ $ 29$ $ 29$ $ 29$ $ 29$ $ 29$ $ 29$ $ 29$ $ 29$ $ 29$ $ 29$ $ 29$ $-$	23				6–18		
24Image: state of the state of		crown of thorns	KOSP	Koeberlinia spinosa	6–18	_	
honey mesquitePRGL2Prosopis glandulosa $12-29$ $-$ honey mesquitePRGL2Prosopis glandulosa $12-29$ $-$ 25 $ 6-18$ $-$ 26catclaw mimosaMIACBMimosa aculeaticarpa var. biuncifera $6-18$ $-$ 26 $ 6-18$ $-$ 27 $ 6-18$ $-$ 27 $ 12-29$ $-$ 27 $ 12-29$ $-$ 28mariolaPAIN2Parthenium incanum $12-29$ $-$ 28 $ 6-18$ $-$ 29 $ 6-18$ $-$ 29 $ 18-29$ $-$ 29 $ 18-29$ $-$ 29 $ 18-29$ $-$ 29 $ 18-29$ $-$ 29 $ 18-29$ $-$ 29 $ 18-29$ $-$ 29 $ 18-29$ $-$ 29 $ -$ 29 $ -$ 29 $ -$ 29 $ -$ 29 $ -$ 29 $ -$ 29 $ -$ 29 $ -$ 29 $ -$ 29 $ -$ 29 $ -$ 29 $ -$ 20 $ -$	24			·	12–29		
honey mesquitePRGL2Prosopis glandulosa12-29-256-18-26Catclaw mimosaMIACBMimosa aculeaticarpa var. biuncifera6-18-266-18-27OPUNTOpuntia6-18-27-12-2928mariolaPAIN2Parthenium incanum12-29-286-1829-GUSA2Gutierrezia sarothrae6-18-29Shrub (>.5m)2SHRUBShrub (>.5m)18-29-		honey mesquite	PRGL2	Prosopis glandulosa	12–29	_	
25Image: Second sec		honey mesquite	PRGL2	Prosopis glandulosa	12–29	_	
catclaw mimosaMIACBMimosa aculeaticarpa var. biuncifera6–18-26	25		•		6–18		
26 $16-18$ $pricklypear$ $OPUNT$ $Opuntia$ $6-18$ 27 $12-29$ $12-29$ 12 $12-29$ $12-29$ 12 $PAIN2$ $Parthenium incanum$ $12-29$ 12 $PAIN2$ $Parthenium incanum$ $12-29$ 28 $12-29$ $-12-29$ $-12-29$ 28 $12-29$ $-12-29$ $-12-29$ 28 $12-29$ $-12-29$ $-12-29$ 28 $12-29$ $-12-29$ $-12-29$ 28 $12-29$ $12-29$ $-12-29$ 29 $12-29$ $12-29$ $-12-29$ 29 $12-29$ $12-29$ $12-29$ 29 $12-29$ $12-29$ $12-29$ $18-29$ $112-29$ $112-29$ $112-29$ 29 $12-29$ $112-29$ <tr< td=""><td></td><td>catclaw mimosa</td><td>MIACB</td><td>Mimosa aculeaticarpa var. biuncifera</td><td>6–18</td><td>_</td></tr<>		catclaw mimosa	MIACB	Mimosa aculeaticarpa var. biuncifera	6–18	_	
pricklypear OPUNT Opuntia 6–18 – 27	26		•		6–18		
2712-29mariolaPAIN2Parthenium incanum12-29mariolaPAIN2Parthenium incanum12-2928		pricklypear	OPUNT	Opuntia	6–18	_	
mariola PAIN2 Parthenium incanum 12–29 – mariola PAIN2 Parthenium incanum 12–29 – 28 0 </td <td>27</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>12–29</td> <td></td>	27				12–29		
mariola PAIN2 Parthenium incanum 12–29 – 28		mariola	PAIN2	Parthenium incanum	12–29	_	
28 6–18 broom snakeweed GUSA2 Gutierrezia sarothrae 6–18 – 29		mariola	PAIN2	Parthenium incanum	12–29	_	
broom snakeweed GUSA2 Gutierrezia sarothrae 6–18 – 29	28		-		6–18		
29 18–29 Shrub (>.5m) 2SHRUB Shrub (>.5m) 18–29 –		broom snakeweed	GUSA2	Gutierrezia sarothrae	6–18	_	
Shrub (>.5m) 2SHRUB Shrub (>.5m) 18–29 –	29			1	18–29		
		Shrub (>.5m)	2SHRUB	Shrub (>.5m)	18–29	_	

Animal community

This site provides habitats which support a resident animal community that is characterized by desert cottontail, spotted ground squirrel, Merriam's kangaroo rat, cactus mouse, white-throated woodrat, gray fox, spotted skunk, roadrunner, Swainson's hawk, white-necked raven, cactus wren, pyrrhuloxia, lark sparrow, mourning dove, scaled quail, leopard lizard, round-tailed horned lizard, prairie rattlesnake, marbled whiptail, and greater earless lizard. Where associated with limestone hills, mule deer utilize this site.

Where large woody shrubs occur, most resident birds and scissor-tailed flycatcher, morning dove, lark sparrow and

Swainson's hawk nest.

Hydrological functions

The runoff curve numbers are determined by field investigations using hydraulic cover conditions and hydrologic soil groups.

Hydrologic Interpretations Soil Series------ Hydrologic Group Lozier------ D Potter------ C Tencee------ D Upton------ C Kimbrough------ D Upton------ D Ector------ D

Recreational uses

This site offers recreation potential for hiking, horseback riding, rock hunting, nature photography and bird hunting and birding. During years of abundant spring moisture, a colorful array of wild flowers is displayed during May and June. A few summer and fall flowers also occur.

Wood products

This site has no potential for wood production.

Other products

This site is suited for grazing by all kinds and classes of livestock during all seasons of the year. Missmanagement will cause a decrease in black grama, sideoats grama, and blue grama, bush muhly and New Mexico feathergrass. A corresponding increase in bare ground will occur. There will also be an increase in muhlys, fluffgrass, creosotebush, javalinabush, catclaw, and mesquite. This site will respond best to a system of management that rotates the season of use.

Other information

Guide to Suggested Initial Stocking Rate Acres per Animal Unit Month Similarity Index------ Ac/AUM 100 - 76------ 3.7 - 4.5 75 - 51------ 4.3 - 5.5 50 - 26----- 5.3 - 10.0 25 - 0----- 10.1 +

Inventory data references

Data collection for this site was done in conjunction with the progressive soil surveys within the Southern Desertic Basins, Plains and Mountains, Major Land Resource Areas of New Mexico (SD-3). This site has been mapped and correlated with soils in the following soil surveys. Eddy County, Lea County, and Chaves County.

Other references

Literature Cited:

1. Humphrey, R.R. 1974. Fire in the deserts and desert grassland of North America. In: Kozlowski, T. T.; Ahlgren, C. E., eds. Fire and ecosystems. New York: Academic Press: 365-400.

2. Hennessy, J.T., R.P. Gibbens, J.M. Tromble, and M. Cardenas. 1983. Water properties of caliche. J. Range Manage. 36: 723-726.

3. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2001. Soil Quality Information Sheets. Rangeland Soil Quality—Infiltration, Organic Matter, Rangeland Sheets 5,6. [Online]. Available: http://www.statlab.iastate.edu/survey/SQI/range.html

Contributors

David Trujillo Don Sylvester

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

- 1. Number and extent of rills:
- 2. Presence of water flow patterns:
- 3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:
- 4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):
- 5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:
- 6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:
- 7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):

- 8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages most sites will show a range of values):
- 9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):
- 10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:
- 11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):
- 12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

- 13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):
- 14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):
- 15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annualproduction):
- 16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:
- 17. Perennial plant reproductive capability: