Ecological site R073XY103KS
Subirrigated

Last updated: 9/30/2019
Accessed: 10/12/2024

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Chris Tecklenburg revision 4/04/2017 David Kraft, John Henry, Doug Spencer, Dwayne Rice original 2/2005
Contact for lead author	Chris Tecklenburg chris.tecklenburg@ks.usda.gov
Date	09/30/2019
Approved by	David Kraft
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:** None.

2. **Presence of water flow patterns:** There is little, if any, evidence of soil deposition or erosion. Water generally flows evenly over the entire landscape.

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:** There is no evidence of pedestaled plants or terracettes or the site.

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):** Less than 5% bare ground is found on this site. Cover can be defined as live plants, litter, rocks, moss, lichens, etc.

5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:** None

6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:** There is no evidence of wind erosion creating bare areas or denuding vegetation.

7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):** Plant litter is distributed evenly throughout the site. During major flooding events this site slows water flow and captures litter and sediment.

8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):** Plant canopy is large enough to intercept the majority of raindrops. A soil fragment will not “melt” or lose its structure when immersed in water for 30 seconds. There is no evidence of pedestaled plants or terracettes. Soil stability scores will range from 5-6.

9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):** Ap--0 to 6 inches; very dark gray (10YR 3/1) moist, fine sandy loam; weak medium granular structure; soft, very friable; many roots throughout.

   A--6 to 16 inches; very dark gray (10YR 3/1) moist, fine sandy loam; weak coarse blocky structure parting to moderate medium granular; soft, friable; strong effervescence.

10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:** There is no negative effect on water infiltration and/or runoff due to plant composition or distribution. Plant composition and distribution are adequate to prevent any rill formation and/or pedastalling. Inter-spacial distribution is consistent with expectation for the site.

11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):** There is no evidence of compacted soil layers due to cultural practices. Soil structure is conducive to water movement and root penetration.

12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**

    Dominant: Warm season tallgrasses 60%: big bluestem 1185-1425, eastern gamagrass 500-1190, Indiangrass 125-475, prairie cordgrass 235-710, switchgrass 235-710.

    Sub-dominant: A variety of forbs make up 15% of the plant community.

    Other: Warm-season midgrasses minor component 5%; little bluestem 50-160, sideoats grama 0-160, marsh muhly 0-160. Cool-season grasses minor 10%; Scribner's rosette grass 0-160, western wheatgrass 0-160, Canada wildrye 0-160, and others.

    Additional: Sedges and rushes minor component 5%.
    Shrubs minor component 5%.

13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):** The majority of plants are alive and vigorous. Some mortality and decadence is expected for the site. This in part is due to drought, unexpected wildfire or a combination of the two events. This would be expected for both dominant and sub-dominant groups.

14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):** Plant litter is distributed evenly throughout the site. There is no restriction to plant regeneration due to depth of litter. When prescribed burning is practiced there will be little litter the first half of the growing season.

15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):** 4,000-5,500 lbs/acre. Representative value is 4750 lbs/forage/acre. Below normal precipitation during the growing season expect 4,000 lbs/forage/acre and above normal precipitation during the growing season expect 5,500 lbs/forage/acre. If utilization has occurred, estimate the annual production removed or expected and include this amount when making the total site production estimate.

16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:** None.

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:** The number and distribution of tillers or rhizomes is assessed on perennial plants occupying the evaluation area. No reduction in vigor or capability to produce seed or vegetative tillers given the constraints of climate and herbivory.