

## **Ecological site R077DY049TX** Very Shallow 12-17" PZ

Last updated: 9/11/2023 Accessed: 05/07/2024

## Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Stan Bradbury, Zone RMS, NRCS, Lubbock, Texas
Contact for lead author	806-791-0581
Date	02/09/2010
Approved by	Bryan Christensen
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Inc	licators
1.	Number and extent of rills: Due to percent slopes, rills will be common.
2.	Presence of water flow patterns: Due to percent slopes, water flow patterns will be common.
3.	<b>Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:</b> Due to percent slopes, pedestals/terracettes will be common.
4.	Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): 25-30% mineral soil, low percentage due to rock fragments scattered throughout the soil profile.
5.	Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: None to slight.
6.	Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None to slight.

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): None to slight.

8.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Moderate resistance to surface erosion.
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Loamy friable surface; low SOM.
10.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Low vegetative cover and percent slopes make this site susceptible to erosion. This site is a moderately permeable soil, runoff is medium and available water holding capacity is very low.
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): None.
12.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):
	Dominant: Warm-season shortgrasses > Warm-season midgrasses >>
	Sub-dominant:
	Other: Forbs > Shrubs/Vines
	Additional:
13.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Grasses due to their growth habit will exhibit some mortality and decadence, though minimal.
14.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth ( in): Litter is dominantly herbaceous.
15.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): 300 to 1,000 pounds per acre.
16.	Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Catclaw acacia, broom snakeweed, and mesquite can become invasive.

nditions, heavy natur		