

## **Ecological site R077EY058TX Loamy Bottomland 16-24" PZ**

Last updated: 9/12/2023 Accessed: 04/30/2024

## Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Stan Bradbury, Zone RMS, NRCS, Lubbock, Texas			
Contact for lead author	806-791-0581			
Date	09/04/2007			
Approved by	Bryan Christensen			
Approval date				
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production			

## Indicators

rainfall events.

nc	licators
1.	Number and extent of rills: None to slight.
2.	Presence of water flow patterns: Well defined water flow patterns.
3.	Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: Common due to concentrated water flow.
4.	Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): 10-15% along banks, up to 50% in channel areas.
5.	Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: None to slight.
6.	Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None to slight.

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Frequent and extensive during heavy

8.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Moderate resistance to surface erosion.
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Fine sandy loam to silt loam; friable surface; moderate SOM.
10.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Extensive basal cover and density with small interspaces will make rainfall impact minimal. This site is a moderately permeable soil, runoff is slow and available water holding capacity is high.
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): None.
12.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):
	Dominant: Warm-season tallgrasses >
	Sub-dominant: Cool-season midgrasses > Cool-season tallgrasses > Warm-season midgrasses > Trees >
	Other: Shrubs/Vines
	Additional:
13.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Mortality and decadence is moderate due to high herbaceous vegetative canopy.
14.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth ( in): Litter is dominantly herbaceous.
15.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): 1,700 to 3,600 pounds per acre.
16.	Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Willow baccharis, salt cedar, elm, and Russian olive can be invasive.

prolonged drought conditions, heavy natural herbivory or intense wildfires.						