

Ecological site R078BY092TX Very Shallow Clay 19-26" PZ

Last updated: 9/15/2023 Accessed: 04/28/2024

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Stan Bradbury, Zone RMS, NRCS, Lubbock, Te			
Contact for lead author	806-791-0581			
Date	09/04/2007 Bryan Christensen			
Approved by				
Approval date				
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production			

nc	licators
1.	Number and extent of rills: Slight to moderate.
2.	Presence of water flow patterns: Slight to moderate.
3.	Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: Slight to moderate.
4.	Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): 25 to 30% mineral soil; low percentage due to rock fragments scattered throughout the soil profile.
5.	Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: Slight to moderate.
6.	Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None to slight.
7.	Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Slight to moderate.

Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): If the soil surface is left unprotected by vegetation, the sloping soil is highly susceptible to water erosion.
Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Moderate fine subangular blocky structure; very hard; firm; slightly sticky; plastic; many fine and common medium roots; surface crusting and scalding are severe when vegetation is removed; moderate SOM.
Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Moderate vegetative cover and percent slopes makes this site susceptible to erosion. Slow to very slow permeability, well drained and poor plant-soil moisture relationships. Badlands are usually associated with this site.
Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): None.
Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):
Dominant: Warm-season midgrasses >
Sub-dominant: Warm-season shortgrasses >
Other: Forbs > Shrubs/Vines
Additional:
Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Plant community will have minimal mortality and decadence.
Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Litter is dominantly herbaceous.
Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): 400 to 1,200 pounds per acre.
Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Mesquite, lotebush, pricklypear, juniper, and tasajillo can be invasive.
