

Ecological site R078CY095OK Subirrigated Bottomland

Last updated: 9/15/2023 Accessed: 05/19/2024

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

| Author(s)/participant(s) | Colin Walden (Modified from original worksheet developed by Kay Anderson, David Kraft, Mark Moseley, Jack Eckroat, Harry Fritzler, and Steve Glasgow 4/2005) |
|---|--|
| Contact for lead author | 100 USDA, Suite 206, Stillwater, OK 74074 |
| Date | 09/05/2012 |
| Approved by | Bryan Christensen |
| Approval date | |
| Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on | Annual Production |

Indicators

| 1. | Number and extent of rills: This site usually has flatter slopes and sandier soils. There are few, if any, rills (only in |
|----|---|
| | lowest area where flooding occurs) and there is no active headcutting and sides are covered with vegetation. |

- 2. **Presence of water flow patterns:** There is little, if any, evidence of soil deposition or erosion (some possibly apparent after significant rain events). Water generally flows evenly over the entire landscape.
- 3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:** There should not be any evidence of erosional pedestals or terracettes on this site.
- 4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): There is <5% bare ground on this site. Bare areas are small and not connected.
- 5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:** None, drainages are represented as natural stable channels; vegetation is present with no signs of erosion.

| 6. | Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None. |
|-----|---|
| 7. | Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Uniform distribution of litter. Litter rarel moves >6 inches on flatter slopes and may be doubled on steeper slopes only during high intensity storms. |
| 8. | Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Surface soil is stabilized (Stability Score 5-6). Stability scores based on a minimum of 6 samples tested. |
| 9. | Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Soil surface horizon intact. A horizon: 0 to 12 inches; brown fine sand, granular structure. B horizon: 12 to 30 inches; light yellowish brown. Loose. |
| 10. | Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Infiltration and runoff are not affected by any changes in plant community composition and distribution. (Tallgrass/Midgrass dominated). Any changes in infiltration and runoff can be attributed to other factors (e.g. compaction). |
| 11. | Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): There is usually no compaction layer. |
| 12. | Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to): |
| | Dominant: Tallgrasses>>Cool-season perennials>Midgrasses>Forbs |
| | Sub-dominant: Shrubs=Trees |
| | Other: |
| | Additional: |
| 13. | Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): There is some plant mortality and decadence on the perennial grasses, especially in the absence of fire and herbivory, but usually <5%. |
| 14. | Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Litter should cover >95% of the area between plants with accumulations of 1-2 inches deep. |
| 15. | Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): Production is 6000 – 10,000 pounds per year. Production on these sites is typically not as variable due to fluctuation in precipitation like other sites in the MLRA. |

| 16. | Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Potential invasive plants include: Eastern redcedar and/or Salt cedar(Tamarisk) | |
|-----|--|--|
| 17. | Perennial plant reproductive capability: All plants capable of reproducing at least every year. Seed stalks, stalk length and seedheads are vigorous. Overall health of plants is excellent. | |