

Ecological site R082AY568TX Red Savannah 25-32 PZ

Last updated: 9/19/2023 Accessed: 05/05/2024

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Mark Moseley, RMS, NRCS, San Antonio, Texas
Contact for lead author	Zone Rangeland Management Specialist, San Angelo, Texas 325-944-0147
Date	12/23/2008
Approved by	Bryan Christensen
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Ind	Indicators		
1.	Number and extent of rills: None to Slight.		
2.	Presence of water flow patterns: Water flow patterns are uncommon. Any follow drainages.		
3.	Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: None to slight. Uncommon on this site.		
4.	Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): 0-5%.		
5.	Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: Few. Should be vegetated.		
6.	Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None.		
7.	Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Slight. Some small litter movement.		

8.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Soil surface moderately resistant to erosion.
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): 0-8 inches thick, loam, brown, weak fine and very fine subangular blocky structure. SOM 0-3%.
10.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Open prairie grassland in HCPC allows moderate infiltration and reduced runoff.
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): None.
12.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):
	Dominant: Warm-season midgrasses >
	Sub-dominant: Warm-season tallgrasses >
	Other: Cool-season grasses > Forbs > Shrubs/vines > Trees
	Additional:
13.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Perennial grasses will naturally exhibit a minor amount (less than 5%) of senescence and some mortality every year.
14.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Litter is primarily herbaceous.
15.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): 1000 to 3500# per year.
16.	Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Mesquite, pricklypear, lotebush and tasajillo.
17.	Perennial plant reproductive capability: All perennial species should be capable of reproducing every year unless

disrupted by extended drought, overgrazing, wildfire, insect damage, or other events occuring immediately prior to, or

