

## **Ecological site R083AY026TX Eastern Clay Loam**

Last updated: 9/19/2023 Accessed: 04/27/2024

## Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Vivian Garcia, Zone RMS, NRCS, Corpus Christi, Texas
Contact for lead author	361-241-0609
Date	06/04/2009
Approved by	Bryan Christensen
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Ind	ndicators		
1.	Number and extent of rills: None.		
2.	Presence of water flow patterns: None, except following extremely high intensity storms when short flow patterns may appear.		
3.	Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: None.		
4.	Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): Small and non-connected areas with zero to three percent bare ground.		
5.	Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: None.		
6.	Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None.		

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Minimal and short under normal rainfall

8.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Stability class ranges from 5 to 6 at surface.
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Very dark grayish brown clay loam from zero to five inches, moderate fine and medium subangular blocky structure, hard, friable, many fine roots, neutral, abrupt smooth boundary.
10.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: High canopy, basal cover and density with small interspaces should make rainfall impact negligible. This site has well drained soils, deep with level to gently sloping, zero to three percent, which produces negligible runoff and water erosion.
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): None.
12.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):
	Dominant: Warm-season midgrasses >>
	Sub-dominant: Warm-season shortgrasses >
	Other: Forbs > Shrubs/Vines > Trees
	Additional: Forbs make up five percent species composition while shrubs and trees make up five5 percent.
13.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Grasses due to their growth habit will exhibit some mortality and decadence, though very slight.
14.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Litter is primarily herbaceous.
15.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): 3,000 to 5,750 pounds per acre.
16.	Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state

intensity.

7.	<b>Perennial plant reproductive capability:</b> All species should be capable of reproduction except for periods of prolonged drought conditions, heavy natural herbivory, and wildfires.