

# Ecological site R085BY028OK

## Rhyolite Hills 38-42 PZ

Last updated: 9/21/2023  
 Accessed: 04/25/2024

---

### General information

**Provisional.** A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

### MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 085B–Arbuckle Uplift

The Grand Prairie MLRA is characterized by predominately loam and clay loam soils underlain by limestone and shale. Topography transitions from steeper ridges and summits of the Lampasas Cut Plain on the southern end to the more rolling hills of the Fort Worth Prairie to the north. The Arbuckle Mountain area in Oklahoma is also within this MLRA. In the structurally complex Arbuckle Mountains of southern Oklahoma, outcropping rocks are primarily limestone, sandstone, dolomite, quartzite, and chert. These units are exposed as alternating beds of Paleozoic rocks that have been faulted, tilted, and deformed to form a tombstone-like topography. This area has significant exposures of granite, rhyolite, and gabbro of Precambrian age.

### Classification relationships

This ecological site is correlated to soil components at the Major Land Resource Area (MLRA) level which is further described in USDA AgHandbook 296.

### Ecological site concept

These sites occur on shallow and very shallow soils over rhyolite bedrock. The parent material allows for successful establishment of Oak Savannah species, differing from the surrounding areas of limestone dominance. Reference vegetation includes a mosaic of mixed oak savannah with midgrasses and a variety of forbs and legumes. Woody canopy is variable and generally less than 60 percent canopy cover. However, in the absence of fire or other brush management woody species will increase across the site.

### Associated sites

R085BY026OK	<b>Edgerock 38-42 PZ</b> Shallow soils over tilted limestone
-------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------

### Similar sites

R085BY088OK	<b>Shallow Savannah 38-42 PZ</b> Shallow soils over shale/sandstone
-------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------

**Table 1. Dominant plant species**

Tree	(1) <i>Quercus stellata</i> (2) <i>Quercus marilandica</i>
Shrub	Not specified

Herbaceous	(1) <i>Schizachyrium scoparium</i> (2) <i>Bouteloua curtipendula</i>
------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------

## Physiographic features

This site occurs on dip slopes and scarp slopes of homoclinal ridges in the Arbuckle Uplift. This site is characteristically a water distributing site.

**Table 2. Representative physiographic features**


Landforms	(1) Hills > Homocline (2) Hills > Ridge
Runoff class	Medium to high
Elevation	650–1,300 ft
Slope	5–30%
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

## Climatic features


The climate is moist subhumid with average annual rainfall from 37 to 42 inches per year. The highest percentages in rainfall occur during April, May and June. More years of below average rainfall can be expected than those above average. Winters are characterized by mild temperatures with occasional “Northers” which can produce severe cold for short periods of time. Average wind velocities can be high in the Southern Great Plains during February, March and April causing erosion on unprotected surfaces.

**Table 3. Representative climatic features**


Frost-free period (characteristic range)	187-200 days
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	204-224 days
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	39-42 in
Frost-free period (actual range)	184-207 days
Freeze-free period (actual range)	201-225 days
Precipitation total (actual range)	38-42 in
Frost-free period (average)	194 days
Freeze-free period (average)	212 days
Precipitation total (average)	41 in




**Figure 1. Monthly precipitation range**




**Figure 2. Monthly minimum temperature range**



**Figure 3. Monthly maximum temperature range**



**Figure 4. Monthly average minimum and maximum temperature**



**Figure 5. Annual precipitation pattern**




Figure 6. Annual average temperature pattern

### Climate stations used

- (1) CHICKASAW NRA [USC00341745], Sulphur, OK
- (2) ARDMORE [USC00340292], Ardmore, OK
- (3) ADA [USC00340017], Ada, OK
- (4) PAULS VALLEY 4 WSW [USC00346926], Pauls Valley, OK
- (5) MADILL [USC00345468], Madill, OK

### Influencing water features

These sites occur on sloping uplands that shed water. Run off may be rapid due to the steeper slopes and shallow soils. However, the presence of deep rooted grasses can help facilitate percolation of water into the soil profile.

### Wetland description

NA

Figure 7-1 The hydrologic cycle with factors that affect hydrologic processes




Figure 7.

### Soil features

Representative soil components for this ecological site include: Timhill

The site is characterized by well drained, stony soils that are shallow to tilted Cambrian age rhyolite bedrock.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Residuum-rhyolite
Surface texture	(1) Stony silt loam

Drainage class	Well drained
Permeability class	Moderate
Soil depth	10–20 in
Surface fragment cover ≤3"	5–20%
Surface fragment cover >3"	0–15%
Available water capacity (0–40in)	2–3 in
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0–40in)	0%
Electrical conductivity (0–40in)	0–2 mmhos/cm
Sodium adsorption ratio (0–40in)	0
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0–40in)	5.6–7.3
Subsurface fragment volume ≤3" (Depth not specified)	20–45%
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	5–25%

## Ecological dynamics

Like many sites across the Great Plains, changes in disturbance initiated by permanent settlements has had a profound impact on the ecological dynamics of these sites. Historically, the site was influenced by periodic fires during all seasons of growth. These fires were often the result of dry lightning strikes and/or anthropogenic fires set by Native Americans. The fire frequency during the period prior to settlement is estimated between 2-5 years for the southern Great Plains. These frequent fires were often followed by grazing of migratory bison herds attracted to the new growth of grass. This led to a shifting mosaic between burned/grazed and unburned and ungrazed landscapes.

With the removal of fire and the introduction of conventional livestock fencing, the landscape began to change to more homogenous vegetation patterns and altered plant communities. This led to a highly fragmented, highly disturbed landscape we see today across much of the area.

Less fire tolerant woody species are more prevalent in many areas due to fire suppression and/or the use of strictly dormant season fires. Abusive grazing can shift the plant community to less palatable grass species and lead to an increase in opportunistic forbs. Heavy grazing can also reduce fine fuel load and limit the ability to conduct a prescribed fire.


### State and Transitional Pathways:

The following diagram suggests some pathways that vegetation on the site might take in response to various treatments or natural stimuli over time. There may be other states that are not shown on this diagram. This information identifies the changes in plant communities that do occur due to management practices and natural factors. The plant communities described here are commonly observed on this ecological site. The local NRCS field office has information available to assist with planning and development of the plant community for specific purposes.

Changes in plant community makeup may be due to many factors. Change may occur slowly or in some cases, fairly rapidly. As vegetative changes occur, certain thresholds are crossed. A threshold means that once a certain point is reached during the transition of one community to another, a return to the previous state may not be possible without the input of some form of energy. This often means intervention with practices that are not part of natural processes. An example might be the application of herbicide to control some woody species to reduce their population and encourage more grass and forbs growth. Merely adjusting grazing practices would probably not accomplish any significant change in a plant community once certain thresholds are crossed. The amount of energy required to effect change in community would depend on the present vegetative state and the desired change.

## State and transition model

### Rhyolite Hills R085XY028OK



### Legend

T1A : No Brush Management; No Fire

R2A : Brush Management(Selective Thinning); Prescribed Fire

\*Note:

### State 1

#### Oak Savannah

This is the reference state for the Rhyolite Hills ecological site. It represents the historic range of variability in the plant communities with the periodic disturbance of fire and grazing. It is a mosaic of herbaceous plants, trees and woody understory vines and shrubs. The dominant grasses are sideoats grama, dropseeds, silver bluestem and Scribner's panicum with some areas of little bluestem. Other grasses include poverty oatgrass, perennial threeawn and sedges. Dominant forbs include sunflowers, western ragweed, heath aster. Legumes include tick-clovers, trailing wildbeans, and native lespedezas. Woody species include blackjack oak, post oak, Shumard oak, chittamwood, redbud, poison ivy, greenbriar, coralberry, hackberry and American elm. These woody species will increase in canopy cover in the absence of fire. Woody canopy in the reference state is complex with some areas having dense tree canopy mixed with multiple prairie openings. For planning and management purposes, the average woody canopy in reference condition can be described as less than 40%. The Rhyolite Hills site is estimated to produce between 500– 1,800 pounds of vegetative production per year in reference condition. Woody canopy in this community is complex with some areas having dense oak canopy mixed with multiple prairie openings. For planning and management purposes, the average woody canopy in reference condition can be described as 20% - 40%. Midgrasses Trees Forbs = Shrubs Minor components: Tallgrasses and cool season grass/grasslikes

#### Dominant plant species

- post oak (*Quercus stellata*), tree
- sideoats grama (*Bouteloua curtipendula*), grass

## Community 1.1

Table 5. Annual production by plant type

Plant Type	Low (Lb/Acre)	Representative Value (Lb/Acre)	High (Lb/Acre)
Grass/Grasslike	300	690	1080
Tree	100	230	360
Forb	50	115	180
Shrub/Vine	50	115	180
<b>Total</b>	<b>500</b>	<b>1150</b>	<b>1800</b>

## State 2

### Closed Canopy Woodland

This state is often the result of fire suppression for multiple years. Non fire tolerant woody species such as elms, hackberry and juniper have increased and created a shaded environment with a heavy accumulation of leaf litter. Ecosystem processes are significantly altered and the herbaceous community is dominated by shade tolerant understory species. Greenbriar, grape and other shrubs and vines may create a dense understory layer.

#### Dominant plant species

- post oak (*Quercus stellata*), tree
- eastern redcedar (*Juniperus virginiana*), shrub
- Ashe's juniper (*Juniperus ashei*), shrub

## Transition T1A

### State 1 to 2

In the absence of fire or other forms of brush management, woody species will continually increase on these sites. As woody plants begin to dominate ecological processes, the site will transition to the Closed Canopy Woodland state.

## Restoration pathway R2A

### State 2 to 1

At this point it will take significant inputs to remove woody species and restore the grass dominated pasture. However, it may be achieved through prescribed fire or brush management and a prescribed grazing plan which allows ample rest for the re-establishment of grasses. Effectiveness of prescribed fire depends upon fine fuel load and continuity and burning conditions. Selective thinning may be required in order to maintain the reference savannah dynamics without detrimental impacts to the hardwood community.

#### Conservation practices

Brush Management
Prescribed Burning
Firebreak
Prescribed Grazing

## Additional community tables

### Animal community

Domestic livestock and white-tail deer are the dominant grazers and browsers of the site. Various songbirds and small mammals may also find use of these areas. As the site changes towards the woody dominated community, the quality of the habitat may improve for some species and decline for others. Management must be applied to

maintain a vegetative state in optimum habitat quality for the desired animal species.

## **Hydrological functions**

These sites occur on sloping uplands that shed water. Run off may be rapid due to the steeper slopes and shallow soils. However, the presence of deep rooted grasses can help facilitate percolation of water into the soil profile.

## **Recreational uses**

NA

## **Wood products**

NA

## **Other products**

NA

## **Other information**

NA

## **Inventory data references**

Soil Survey Manuscripts Murray, Carter, Johnston counties in Oklahoma.  
Range Site Descriptions, Oklahoma NRCS  
Draft ESDs Oklahoma NRCS

## **References**

. 2021 (Date accessed). USDA PLANTS Database. <http://plants.usda.gov>.

Frost, C.C. 1998. Presettlement Fire Frequency Regimes of the United States: A First Approximation. Plant Conservation Program. North Carolina Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Raleigh, NC.

## **Other references**

These site descriptions were developed as part a Provisional ESD project using historic soil survey manuscripts, available range site descriptions, and low intensity field traverse sampling.

## **Contributors**

Colin Walden, Soil Survey Region 9, Stillwater, OK

## **Approval**

Bryan Christensen, 9/21/2023

## **Acknowledgments**

Site Development and Testing Plan:

Future work, as described in a Project Plan, to validate the information in this Provisional Ecological Site Description is needed. This will include field activities to collect low, medium, and high-intensity sampling, soil correlations, and analysis of that data. Annual field reviews should be done by soil scientists and vegetation

specialists. A final field review, peer review, and quality control and quality assurance reviews of the ESD will be needed to produce the final document. Annual reviews of the Project Plan are to be conducted by the Ecological Site Technical Team.

## Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	04/25/2024
Approved by	Bryan Christensen
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

## Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:**

---

2. **Presence of water flow patterns:**

---

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:**

---

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):**

---

5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:**

---

6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:**

---

7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):**

---

8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):**

---

9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):**

---

10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:**

---

11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):**

---

12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

---

13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):**

---

14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth ( in):**

---

15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):**

---

16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:**

---

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:**

---