

## Ecological site R086BY006TX Loamy Bottomland

Last updated: 9/21/2023  
Accessed: 05/03/2024

### Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Mike Stellbauer, David Polk and Bill Deauman
Contact for lead author	Mike Stellbauer, Zone RMS, NRCS, Bryan, Texas.
Date	05/23/2005
Approved by	Bryan Christensen
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

### Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:** None.

---

2. **Presence of water flow patterns:** Water flow patterns are common and follow old stream meanders. Deposition or erosion is uncommon for normal rainfall but may occur during intense rainfall events.

---

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:** Pedestals or terracettes are uncommon for this site when occupied by the reference community.

---

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):** Expect no more than 20 percent bare ground randomly distributed throughout.

---

5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:** Some gullies associated with side drains into perennial streams may be present. Gullies should be vegetated and stable.

---

6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:** None.

---

7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):** This is a floodplain with occasional out-of-bank flow. Under normal rainfall, little litter movement should be expected, however, litter of all sizes may move long distances, depending on obstructions during intense storm events.
- 
8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):** Soil surface is resistant to erosion. Stability class range is expected to be 4 to 6.
- 
9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):** The soil structure is 0 to 80 inches thick with colors from dark grayish brown loam to dark brown fine sandy loam and structures from moderate subangular blocky to moderate fine granular. SOM is approximately 0.5 to 4.0 percent.
- 
10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:** The savannah of trees, shrubs, vines, grasses, and forbs with adequate litter and little bare ground, provides for maximum infiltration and little runoff under normal rainfall events.
- 
11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):** None.
- 
12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**
- Dominant: Warm-season tallgrasses >>
- Sub-dominant: Cool-season grasses >>
- Other: Trees > Shrubs/Vines > Forbs
- Additional:
- 
13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):** There should be little mortality or decadence for any functional groups.
- 
14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth ( in):** Small to large woody litter is common on this site.
- 
15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):** 5,100 pounds per acre for below average moisture years to 7,500 pounds per acre for above average moisture years.
- 
16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that**

become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Potential invasive species include chinese tallow, huisache, honey locust, bois d'arc, elm, ash, McCartney rose, dallisgrass, Bermudagrass, johnsongrass, annual sumpweed, and giant ragweed.

---

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:** All perennial plants should be capable of reproducing except for periods of prolonged drought conditions, heavy natural herbivory, prolonged flooding, and intense wildfires.
-