Ecological site F095XA006WI
Moist Loamy Lowland

Last updated: 11/16/2023
Accessed: 11/21/2024

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 095X–Eastern Wisconsin, Northern Illinois, and Upper Michigan Drift Plain

This MLRA is characterized by nearly level to rolling till plains, outwash plains, drumlin fields, and glacial lake plains. It is used to produce cash crops, feed grain, and livestock. It includes the shorelines of Lake Winnebago and Lake Michigan. This area is in Wisconsin (85 percent), Illinois (10 percent), and Michigan (5 percent). It makes up about 17,255 square miles (44,690 square kilometers). This area is in the Central Lowland province of the Interior Plains. Most of the area is in the Eastern Lake section. A narrow strip along the southwestern edge of the area is in the Wisconsin Driftless section. The southwestern quarter is in the Till Plains section. The nearly level to rolling till plains, glacial lake plains, and outwash plains are mixed with drumlin fields, ground moraines, end moraines, flood plains, lake terraces, beaches, dunes, swamps, and marshes. Most of the southern part of this area has belts of morainic hills and ridges and nearly level outwash terraces. Drumlins are prominent features in the central part of the area. Glaciokarst topography occurs in the east-central parts of the area influenced by underlying Niagara Dolomite. Lakes and streams are numerous, and streams generally form a dendritic drainage pattern. Elevation ranges from 530 to 1,580 feet (160 to 480 meters). Local relief is mainly 25 feet (8 meters), but the moraines, drumlins, and bedrock escarpments rise 80 to 330 feet (25 to 100 meters) above the adjacent valleys.

The annual precipitation ranges from 28 to 37 inches (700 to 950 millimeters) with a mean of 33 inches (840 millimeters). The annual temperature ranges from 41 to 48 degrees F (5.1 to 9.2 degrees C) with a mean of 46 degrees F (7.7 degrees C). The freeze-free period ranges from 115 to 185 days with a mean of 155 days. It decreases in length from south to north and from the shore of Lake Michigan inland. Lake Michigan helps to moderate the climate of the area.

This MLRA is mostly covered with glacial drift of Wisconsin age. Some of the higher areas are moraines that appear as arc-shaped ridges representing the retreat of the ice from south to north. Most of the bedrock in the area consists of Silurian, Ordovician, and Cambrian sandstone, limestone, and dolomite. Some igneous and metamorphic rocks underlie the northwestern edge of the area. Devonian limestone and shale occur at the far eastern edge in the Milwaukee area.

The dominant soil orders in this MLRA are Alfisols, Entisols, Histosols, Mollisols, and Spodosols. The soils in the area dominantly have a mesic or frigid temperature regime, an aquic or udic moisture regime, and mixed mineralogy. They are very deep, excessively drained to very poorly drained, and sandy to clayey. Areas of Spodosols and soils with a frigid soil temperature regime occur in the northern part of the MLRA.
The northern part of this MLRA supports natural stands of mixed northern hardwoods and pine. Sugar maple, oak, white ash, elm, yellow birch, white pine, red pine, and American beech are the principal species. Low-lying areas support both mixed hardwoods and conifers. Elm, soft maple, black ash, and northern white cedar are the major species. Brush and sedge meadows also occur in the low-lying areas.

The southern part of this MLRA supports hardwoods and prairie vegetation. Uplands support natural stands of oak, sugar maple, and hickory, and natural prairie vegetation is characterized by little bluestem and big bluestem. Many of the prairies have scattered oak and hickory trees. Low-lying areas support sedge and grass meadows and mixed

stands of hardwoods and conifers. Elm, ash, eastern cottonwood, soft maple, and white cedar are the major species in the low-lying areas. (USDA-NRCS, 2022)

LRU notes

The Northeastern Wisconsin Drift Plain LRU (Land Resource Unit - 95XA) corresponds closely to the Northern and Central Lake Michigan Coastal Ecological Landscapes. Some of the following brief overview is borrowed from the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Ecological Landscape publication (2015).

The Northeastern Wisconsin Drift Plain LRU is located along Wisconsin's northeastern and central coast of Lake Michigan and the Door Peninsula. This glacial landscape is comprised of approximately 3.6 million acres (5,715 square miles). It is dominated by till plains and glacial lake deposits. The Green Bay and Lake Michigan Lobes are responsible for the formation of the landscape. The Green Bay Lobe covered most of the LRU, excluding the eastern edge where the Lake Michigan Lobe advanced. The glaciers were separated by the Niagara Escarpment, a 650-mile-long dolomite ridge that begins in Wisconsin near the Illinois border, extends into Michigan's Upper Peninsula and down through Canada's Bruce Peninsula into Rochester, New York. Within LRU 95XA, the escarpment runs from Lake Winnebago northeast through the Door Peninsula. Much of the topography of this LRU is bedrock-controlled. Bedrock is generally deeper than 150cm except in the Door Peninsula, where bedrock is much shallower. Wetlands are common throughout this MLRA where drainage is impeded by fine-textured materials and shallow bedrock.

The northern portion of this LRU is dominated by an undulating till plain, gently sloping to the east, formed entirely by the Green Bay Lobe. This glacial lobe centered over the present-day city of Green Bay and flowed out in a fan shape, moving both south-southwest and south-southeast over the Door Peninsula. The lobe deposited loamy and coarse-loamy till mixed with dolomite fragments plucked from the bedrock. In some areas, the till has been reworked by Glacial Lake Oshkosh or overlain by its lacustrine deposits. Numerous drumlins formed, orientated to the south-southwest in the direction of glacial flow. Some eskers are present. Much of this area has dolomite and limestone within 50 ft of the surface. Proglacial streams formed small areas of pitted and unpitted outwash plains, terraces, and fans.

The Door Peninsula was formed primarily by the early advances of the Green Bay Lobe. The till found here is comprised of relatively old, calcareous loamy materials mixed with dolomite and limestone fragments plucked by the glacial lobe from the shallow bedrock. The till is thinly draped over the Niagara Escarpment that lies 1 to 3 meters below the surface. A drumlin field is oriented south-southeast, the direction of the ice flow over the peninsula. The eastern shore of the peninsula is composed of lake sediments that were reworked and deposited by Lake Michigan Lobe. The northern tip of the peninsula has glaciolacustrine beach terrace and ridge deposits and eolian sand dunes, which are remnants of the intra- and postglacial lakes Nipissing and Algonquin.

The central portion of this LRU is dominated by lacustrine deposits from Glacial Lake Oshkosh. In its largest stage, Glacial Lake Oshkosh covered 1.4 million acres. The lake formed from meltwater as the Green Bay Lobe receded between ice sheet advances. The glacial lobe stalled between present day Lake Winnebago and the city of Green Bay, blocking the drainage of meltwater north to the Lake Michigan Basin. Glacial Lake Oshkosh continued to rise until it found other drainage pathways, eventually draining into the Wisconsin River Valley. Glacial Lake Oshkosh reworked the till deposits of the Green Bay Lobe. Silty and clayey lacustrine deposits formed in the deepest area of the lakes, whereas sandy beach ridges, terraces, and dunes formed along the ancient shore.

The area east of Glacial Lake Oshkosh and south along the shore of Lake Michigan are dominated by a thin till sheet over the Niagara Escarpment that was deposited by the Green Bay and Lake Michigan Lobes. The Green Bay Lobe deposited calcareous clay and silty till reworked from lake sediments. The Lake Michigan Lobe deposited silt loam, loam, and compacted sandy clay loam till. Remnants of the intra- and postglacial lakes Nipissing and Algonquin are also found along Lake Michigan shore. Proglacial streams formed small areas of pitted and unpitted outwash plains, terraces, and fans.

Historically, the vegetation in this LRU was dominated by northern and central hardwood forests and wetlands. The northern hardwoods were comprised of eastern hemlock (Tsuga canadensis) and American beech (*Fagus grandifolia*). The central hardwoods were dominated by sugar maple (*Acer saccharum*), American basswood (Tilia Americana), and American beech (*Fagus grandifolia*). Forested wetlands were a major part of the landscape, covering more than 25% in some areas.

Classification relationships

Relationship to Established Framework and Classification Systems:

Habitat Types of N. & S. Wisconsin (Kotar, 2002, 1996): The sites of this ES keyed out to *Acer saccharum*-Fraxinus/Desmodium [AFrDe and AFrDe(Vb)].

Biophysical Settings (Landfire, 2014): This ES is largely mapped as Laurentian-Acadian Northern Hardwoods Forest, Eastern Cool Temperate Pasture and zHayland, Eastern Cool Temperate Row Crop, and Eastern Cool Temperate Close Grown Crop

Habitat Types of N. & S. Wisconsin (Kotar, 2002, 1996): The sites of this ES keyed out to *Acer saccharum*-Fraxinus/Desmodium [AFrDe and AFrDe(Vb)].

WDNR Natural Communities (WDNR, 2015): This ES is most similar to the Northern and Southern Mesic Forests described by the WDNR.

Hierarchical Framework Relationships:

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 095X–Eastern Wisconsin, Northern Illinois, and Upper Michigan Drift Plain

USFS Subregions: West Green Bay Till Plain (212Tb), Door Peninsula (212Tf), Outagamie Loamy Till and Silty Lake Plain (212Za), Green Bay Clayey and Silty Lake Plain (212Zb), Manitowoc Till Plain (212Zc), Lake Winnebago Clay Plain (222Kc)

DNR Ecological Landscapes: Northern Lake Michigan Coastal, Central Lake Michigan Coastal, Southeast Glacial Plains

Ecological site concept

The Moist Loamy Lowlands is the third-most extensive ecological site in LRU 95XA with roughly 400,000 acres (11%). These sites are represented by a variety of loamy textured soils in the somewhat poorly drained drainage class. These soils are not hydric and represent a variety of parent materials from lacustrine to till to outwash. Some sites include stratified soils, but the unique feature of this ES is loamy or silty surface textures. These sites receive water primarily through precipitation, runoff from adjacent uplands, and groundwater discharge. Water levels are greatly influenced by precipitation rates and runoff from upland sites. Typical vegetation includes *Acer saccharum*, *Fraxinus americana*, Rhibes spp., Prunus virginiana, Aralia nudicalis, and Parthenocissus quinquefolia.

This ES includes some soils in the Door County peninsula with dolomitic bedrock within 24 inches (61 cm) of the surface.

Associated sites

F095XA004WI	**Wet Loamy or Clayey Lowland** These sites consist of shallow to very deep, loamy to clayey deposits of various origin. They are sometimes underlain by sandy outwash. They are very poorly to poorly drained. They are found on similar landforms and share particle size classes with Moist Loamy Lowlands, but they occupy lower, wetter positions on the landscape.
F095XA010WI	**Loamy Upland** These sites consist of very deep loamy deposits, primarily glacial till. Some sites have a sandy eolian or loess mantle. Some are underlain by coarser-textured material. They are moderately well to somewhat excessively drained. They are found on similar landforms and share particle size classes with Moist Loamy Lowlands, but they occupy higher, drier positions on the landscape.

F095XA008WI	**Shallow Upland** These sites consist of very shallow to deep materials of various particle size and origin. Bedrock contact occurs within 47 inches (120 cm) on all sites. They are most common to the Door Peninsula, where the bedrock is relatively shallow. They are moderately well to well drained. They are found on similar landforms and many share particle size classes with Moist Loamy Lowlands, but they occupy higher, drier positions on the landscape.

Similar sites

F095XA005WI	**Moist Sandy Lowland** These sites consist of deep sandy outwash or lacustrine deposits, sometimes underlain by finer-textured materials. They are somewhat poorly drained. They occupy the same position along the drainage sequence as Moist Loamy Lowlands but have coarser particle size classes.
F095XA007WI	**Moist Clayey Lowland** These sites consist of very deep, clayey deposits of various origin, primarily clayey glacial till but also clayey lacustrine materials. They are often mantled with loess. They are somewhat poorly drained. They occupy the same position along the drainage sequence as Moist Loamy Lowlands but have fie particle size classes. They are common to the clayey till plains and lake plains in the southern portion of the MLRA.

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	(1) *Acer saccharum* (2) *Fraxinus americana*
Shrub	(1) *Ribes* (2) *Prunus virginiana*
Herbaceous	(1) *Aralia nudicaulis* (2) *Parthenocissus quinquefolia*

Physiographic features

This site occurs throughout the Northeastern Wisconsin Drift Plain across its various glacial landforms. They're especially common to the moraines in the area but may also be found on outwash plains and lake beds. In the drumlin fields on either side of the Green Bay water body, this site can be found in lower landscape positions in between the drumlin landforms. Landform shape is typically concave or linear, and sites are in the footslope or toeslope position.

Some sites are subject to flooding or ponding. Inundation can last between two days to a month. The soil has an apparent seasonally high water table (endosaturation) within 61 cm of the surface. The water table is perched (episaturation) in some sites that have a layer of heavy clay till or lacustrine deposits, mostly in the south and southeast portions of this MLRA. Runoff is negligible to high.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Hillslope profile	(1) Footslope (2) Toeslope
Slope shape across	(1) Concave (2) Convex
Slope shape up-down	(1) Linear
Landforms	(1) Depression (2) Drainageway (3) Ground moraine (4) Outwash plain (5) Lake plain (6) Glacial lake (relict) (7) Lake terrace (8) Flat
Runoff class	Negligible to high

Flooding duration	Brief (2 to 7 days) to long (7 to 30 days)
Flooding frequency	None to rare
Ponding duration	Brief (2 to 7 days) to long (7 to 30 days)
Ponding frequency	None to occasional
Elevation	200–290 m
Slope	0–6%
Ponding depth	0–15 cm
Water table depth	0–61 cm
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Climatic features

The continental climate of the Northeastern Wisconsin Drift Plain is typical of central Wisconsin – cold winters and warm summers. The climate is moderated by the thermal mass of Lake Michigan, especially in coastal areas. Fall and early winter temperatures are slightly warmer and spring and early summer temperatures are slightly cooler along the Lake Michigan coastline. Lake effect snow occurs along the coastline.

Table 3. Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	105-126 days
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	143-158 days
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	762-838 mm
Frost-free period (actual range)	93-127 days
Freeze-free period (actual range)	128-159 days
Precipitation total (actual range)	737-864 mm
Frost-free period (average)	114 days
Freeze-free period (average)	149 days
Precipitation total (average)	813 mm



Figure 1. Monthly precipitation range



Figure 2. Monthly minimum temperature range



Figure 3. Monthly maximum temperature range



Figure 4. Monthly average minimum and maximum temperature



Figure 5. Annual precipitation pattern



Figure 6. Annual average temperature pattern

Climate stations used

- (1) DENMARK WWTP [USC00472055], Denmark, WI
- (2) GREEN BAY [USW00014898], Green Bay, WI
- (3) STURGEON BAY EXP FARM [USC00478267], Sturgeon Bay, WI
- (4) HINGHAM [USC00473661], Sheboygan Falls, WI
- (5) BERLIN WWTP [USC00470742], Berlin, WI
- (6) NEW LONDON [USC00475932], Hortonville, WI
- (7) STEPHENSON 5WSW [USC00207867], Stephenson, MI

Influencing water features

Water is received through precipitation, runoff from adjacent uplands, and groundwater discharge. Water levels are greatly influenced by precipitation rates and runoff from upland sites. Water is lost from the site primarily through runoff, evapotranspiration, and groundwater recharge.

Permeability of the soil is impermeable to moderate. The hydrologic group of this site is B, A/D, B/D, or C/D.

Wetland description

Hydrogeomorphic Wetland Classification: None
Cowardin Wetland Classification: None

Soil features

The soils of this site are represented by the Aztalan, Banat, Bonduel, Charlevoix, Fabius, Kibbie, Korobago, Lamartine, Matherton, Mosel, Mundelein, Nenno, Rimer, Shiocton, Solona, Symco, Wasepi, Wega, and Worcester series. Most of the acreage of these sites is made up of Argiudolls, Hapludalfs, and Hapludolls. These sites are also classified as Endoaqualfs, Endoaquods, Haplaquods, Eutrudepts, and Udifluvents.

These soils formed in moderately deep to very deep, loamy or silty lacustrine, till, or outwash deposits, sometimes with a loess mantle up to 24 inches (61 cm) thick. Many sites are underlain by a second parent material, often clayey or loamy till, sandy outwash, or stratified sandy to silty lacustrine deposits. Contact with the dolomitic bedrock can occur as high at 24 inches (61 cm) in sites in the Door Peninsula, where the bedrock is relatively shallow. Soils are somewhat poorly drained and do not meet hydric soil requirements.
The surface textures of these soils range from sandy loam to silt loam. Subsurface textures range from sands to clays. Soils are strongly acid to moderately alkaline.

Though generally absent, surface fragments may occupy up to 8 percent volume in sites on outwash plains. Subsurface fragments smaller than 3 inches in diameter often occupy between 2 and 28 percent volume. Larger fragments may occupy up to 13 percent volume. Fragments may be stratified (in the case of outwash and lacustrine deposits) or unstratified (in the case of till). Some of these fragments may be pieces of limestone and dolomite plucked from the bedrock by glacial ice and mixed in with the mineral glacial deposits, and others may be rounded, mixed rocks deposited by flowing water. Secondary carbonates are usually present and CaCO3 equivalency ranges

from 10 to 30 percent.

Figure 7. Shiocton Soil Series sampled on 08/11/2020 in Shawano County, Wisconsin.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Till (2) Glaciofluvial deposits (3) Alluvium (4) Lacustrine deposits (5) Loess (6) Residuum
Surface texture	(1) Loamy sand (2) Sandy loam (3) Loam (4) Silt loam (5) Silty clay loam
Drainage class	Somewhat poorly drained
Permeability class	Moderate
Soil depth	61–203 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	0–8%
Surface fragment cover >3"	0–2%
Available water capacity (0-150.1cm)	4.04–11.05 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-100.1cm)	0–30%
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-100.1cm)	5.3–8.2
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (0-100.1cm)	0–28%
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (0-100.1cm)	0–13%

Ecological dynamics

Historically, mature forests on this ecological site were dominated by shade tolerant sugar maple and White ash, often with an admixture of Basswood and a few Red oaks. This association was self-maintained with new cohorts of advance regeneration gaining canopy status through gaps formed by small-scale disturbances and natural mortality in the dominant canopy.

Current stands on this Ecological Site represent the entire array of potential successional stages from pure aspen, or aspen-white birch, stands to sugar maple dominated mixed northern hardwoods stands. Succession to sugar maple dominance is evident everywhere that seed sources are present.

State and transition model

Ecosystem states



T1A - Stand replacing disturbance that includes fire.

T1B - Removal of forest cover and tilling for agricultural crop production.

R2 - Deciduous forest community is slowly taken over by shade tolerant maples and other species.

T2A - Removal of forest cover and tilling for agricultural crop production.

R3A - Cessation of agricultural practices leads to natural reforestation, or site is replanted.

R3B - Cessation of agricultural practices leads to natural reforestation, or site is replanted.

State 1 submodel, plant communities



1.1A - Light to moderate intensity fires, blow-downs, ice storms.

1.2A - Disturbance-free period for 30+ years.

State 2 submodel, plant communities



State 1
Reference State

The reference plant community is categorized as mesic forest community dominated by mixed deciduous species, primarily sugar maple (*Acer saccharum*) and White ash (*Fraxinus americana*), and sporadic occurrence of Basswood (*Tilia americana*), Red oak (*Quercus rubra*), Bitternut hickory (*Carya cordiformis*), and American beech (*Fagus grandifolia*). Although forest communities can vary greatly in terms of species composition and stand structure, depending on type, degree, and frequency of disturbance, two common phases predominate:

Community 1.1
Advanced Succession Community

In the absence of major, stand-replacing disturbance this community is dominated by Sugar maple and White ash. This was the most common condition in pre-European settlement forests, but no longer predominates. Though not dominant community members Basswood and Red oak may be present. Bitternut Hickory and American Beech may be occasionally present. The tree sapling and shrub layer in this community is not well developed due to dense shade created by the tree canopy. Sugar maple saplings dominate the shrub layer, but other shrubs include Gooseberry and Choke cherry. The herb layer in this phase is varies greatly, but may include Virginia creeper, Wild sarsaparilla, Virginia waterleaf, Blue cohosh, and various other species. No herb layer species seems to be particularly dominant.

Dominant plant species

- sugar maple (*Acer saccharum*), tree
- white ash (*Fraxinus americana*), tree
- currant (*Ribes*), shrub
- chokecherry (*Prunus virginiana*), shrub
- sensitive fern (*Onoclea sensibilis*), other herbaceous
- common ladyfern (*Athyrium filix-femina*), other herbaceous

Community 1.2
Rejuvenated Community Phase

Figure 8. Image courtesy of UWSP taken on 06/16/2020 in Outagamie County, Wisconsin.

Disturbances described in Pathway 1.1A lead to increased species and structural diversity of the forest community. Depending on seed source, red oak and red maple regenerate in the canopy openings and in time join sugar maple and White ash in the dominant canopy. Basswood is also commonly present along with Green ash. The relative density of the shrub and herb layers also increases during this stage. Species composition remains relatively

unchanged, but abundance changes can be significant. Many other herb species that were present with very low abundance in the advanced-succession community typically form much larger population clusters as there is more light penetrating the canopy.

Dominant plant species

- sugar maple (*Acer saccharum*), tree
- white ash (*Fraxinus americana*), tree
- northern red oak (*Quercus rubra*), tree
- red maple (*Acer rubrum*), tree
- chokecherry (*Prunus virginiana*), shrub
- currant (*Ribes*), shrub

Pathway 1.1A
Community 1.1 to 1.2

Natural mortality in the oldest age classes—sporadic small-scale blow-downs and ice storms—create openings for entry of mid-tolerant species such as red oak.

Pathway 1.2A
Community 1.2 to 1.1

In the absence of canopy reducing disturbances natural succession leads to community dominance by the most shade-tolerant species resulting in return to community phase 1.1.

State 2
Deciduous Forest

Post disturbance pioneer community of aspen and paper birch with mixtures of other species from available seed sources. This state can have broad variation depending on what seed sources are available as these sites readily supply water and nutrients in quantities that many species can thrive with.

Dominant plant species

- quaking aspen (*Populus tremuloides*), tree
- paper birch (*Betula papyrifera*), tree
- bigtooth aspen (*Populus grandidentata*), tree

Community 2.1
Deciduous Forest Phase

Figure 9. Image courtesy of UWSP taken on 08/11/2020 in Shawano County, Wisconsin.

Pure, or mixed, aspen – paper birch community replaces the reference state community. If seed source is present, red maple and red oak readily becomes member of this community.

Dominant plant species

- quaking aspen (*Populus tremuloides*), tree
- paper birch (*Betula papyrifera*), tree
- red maple (*Acer rubrum*), tree
- northern red oak (*Quercus rubra*), tree

State 3
Agricultural State

Indefinite period of applying agricultural practices. Cropping systems vary on these sites and likely include tillage, row crops, hay or pasture, and specialty crops.

Transition T1A
State 1 to 2

Major stand-replacing disturbance. In pre-European settlement time, the event was most often a severe blow down, sometimes followed by fires. Such blow downs have been estimated to occur in this part of Wisconsin every 300 to 400 years (Schulte and Mladenoff, 2005). In post settlement virtually every acre has been logged either by clear cutting or successive cuts targeting species marketable at that time. Post logging slash fires also have been a significant factor in most areas. These disturbances created the environment suitable for natural regeneration of many shade-intolerant species and for commercial planting.

Transition T1B
State 1 to 3

Removal of forest cover and tilling for agricultural crop production

Restoration pathway R2
State 2 to 1

Deciduous forest community is slowly invaded by shade tolerant species. Deciduous forest community is slowly invaded by conifers.

Transition T2A
State 2 to 3

Removal of forest cover and tilling for agricultural crop production.

Restoration pathway R3A
State 3 to 1

Abandonment of agricultural practices and allowing natural vegetation to colonize the site or apply artificial afforestation. The site can return much more quickly to the Deciduous Forest State as compared to the Reference State.

Restoration pathway R3B
State 3 to 2

Cessation of agricultural practices leads to natural reforestation, or site is replanted.

Additional community tables

Inventory data references

Plot and other supporting inventory data for site identification and community phases is located on a NRCS North Central Region shared and one drive folder. University Wisconsin-Stevens Point described soils, took photographs, and inventoried vegetation data at community phases within the reference state. The data sources include WI ESD Plot Data Collection Form - Tier 2, Releve Method, NASIS pedon description, NRCS SOI 036, photographs, and Kotar Habitat Types.

Other references

Cleland, D.T.; Avers, P.E.; McNab, W.H.; Jensen, M.E.; Bailey, R.G., King, T.; Russell, W.E. 1997. National Hierarchical Framework of Ecological Units. Published in, Boyce, M. S.; Haney, A., ed. 1997. Ecosystem Management Applications for Sustainable Forest and Wildlife Resources. Yale University Press, New Haven, CT. pp. 181-200.

Curtis, J.T. 1959. Vegetation of Wisconsin: an ordination of plant communities. University of Wisconsin Press, Madison. 657 pp.

Finley, R. 1976. Original vegetation of Wisconsin. Map compiled from U.S. General Land Office notes. U.S. Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station, St. Paul, Minnesota.

NatureServe. 2018. International Ecological Classification Satandard: Terrestrial Ecological Classifications. NautreServe Centreal Databases. Arlington, VA. U.S.A. Data current as of 28 August 2018.

Kotar, J., J. A. Kovach, and T. L. Burger. 2002. A Guide to Forest Communities and Habitat Types of Northern Wisconsin. Second edition. University of Wisconsin-Madison, Department of Forest Ecology and Management, Madison.

Kotar, J., J. A. Kovach, and T. L. Burger. 1996. A Guide to Forest Communities and Habitat Types of Southern Wisconsin. University of Wisconsin-Madison, Department of Forest Ecology and Management, Madison.

Kotar, J., and T. L. Burger. 2017. Wetland Forest Habitat Type Classification System for Northern Wisconsin: A Guide for Land Managers and landowners. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, PUB-FR-627 2017, Madison.
Schulte, L.A., and D.J. Mladenoff. 2001. The original U.S. public land sur¬vey records: their use and limitations in reconstructing pre-European settlement vegetation. Journal of Forestry 99:5–10.

Schulte, L.A., and D.J. Mladenoff. 2005. Severe wind and fire regimes in northern forests: historical variability at the regional scale. Ecology 86(2):431–445.

Schulte, L.A., and D.J. Mladenoff. 2005. Severe wind and fire regimes in northern forests: historical variability at the regional scale. Ecology 86(2):431–445.

USDA-NRCS. 2022. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agriculture Handbook 296.

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. 2015. The ecological landscapes of Wisconsin: An assessment of ecological resources and a guide to planning sustainable management. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, PUB-SS-1131 2015, Madison.

Contributors

Jacob Prater, Associate Professor at University of Wisconsin Stevens point
Bryant Scharenbroch, Assistant Professor at University of Wisconsin Stevens Point
John Kotar, Ecological Specialist Independent Contractor

Approval

Suzanne Mayne-Kinney, 11/16/2023

Acknowledgments

NRCS contracted UWSP to write ecological sites in MLRA 95X. Completed in 2021.

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	11/21/2024
Approved by	Suzanne Mayne-Kinney
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:**

2. **Presence of water flow patterns:**

---

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:**

---

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):**

---

5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:**

---

6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:**

---

7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):**

---

8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):**

---

9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):**

---

10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:**

---

11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):**

---

12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**

    Dominant:

    Sub-dominant:

    Other:

    Additional:

---

13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):**

14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):**

15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):**

16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:**

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:**