USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service

# Ecological site F099XY001MI
# Coastal Dune Complex

Last updated: 1/25/2024
Accessed: 05/19/2024

## General information

**Provisional**. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.


**Figure 1. Mapped extent**

Areas shown in blue indicate the maximum mapped extent of this ecological site. Other ecological sites likely occur within the highlighted areas. It is also possible for this ecological site to occur outside of highlighted areas if detailed soil survey has not been completed or recently updated.

## MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 099X–Erie-Huron Lake Plain

This area is in the Eastern Lake Section of the Central Lowland Province of the Interior Plains (USDA-NRCS, 2022). It is a nearly level glacial lake plain with a few scattered ridges of sand that represent past shorelines and moraines. The Saginaw, Clinton, and Huron Rivers empty into the Great Lakes in the part of the area in Michigan. The southern half of this area is covered with glacial deposits of till, lake sediments, and outwash from the Wisconsin and older glacial periods. The area also has some low moraines. Mississippian- to Silurian-age shale, limestone, and dolomite rocks are at or near the surface close to Lake Erie and Lake Huron. Sandstone comes near the surface in the Thumb area east of Saginaw Bay, and a sandstone headland exists on a short stretch of Lake Huron shoreline. An extensive swamp in proximity the Maumee River prevented overland travel prior to its drainage by early settlers. Remnant marshes are near the Lake Erie shore.

The dominant soils in this MLRA are Alfisols, Inceptisols, Mollisols, and Spodosols. The soils in the area dominantly have a mesic soil temperature regime, an aquic soil moisture regime, and mixed or illitic mineralogy. Most soils in MLRA 99 are very deep, generally somewhat poorly drained to very poorly drained, and loamy or clayey. Epiaqualfs (Blount, Hoytville, Nappanee, and Shebeon series) and Glossudalfs (Capac series) formed in till (some of which is dense) on till plains, moraines, and lake plains. Epiaquepts formed in loamy till on till plains and moraines (Kilmanagh series) and in lacustrine deposits on lake plains (Lenawee and Paulding series). Endoaquepts formed in lacustrine deposits on lake plains (Latty and Toledo series) and in loamy till on moraines (Parkhill series).

Endoaquolls formed in outwash deposits on outwash plains and lake plains, in drainageways (Granby series), and in loamy till on till plains and moraines (Tappan series). Endoaquods (Pipestone series) formed in outwash deposits on outwash plains, lake plains, and beach ridges. Epiaquods (Wixom series) formed in sandy sediments over till or lacustrine deposits on till plains, outwash plains, and lake plains.

Broad flat areas of somewhat poorly drained soils support Landfire (2017) systems: North-Central Interior Beech-Maple Forest, with wetter patches of North-Central Interior Wet Flatwoods, and Central Interior and Appalachian Swamp. Sandy beach ridges and thin sand flats have Landfire (2017) systems: North-Central Interior Dry-Mesic Oak Forest and Woodland and Great Lakes Wet-Mesic Lakeplain Prairie. Central Interior and Appalachian Floodplain Systems occur adjacent to rivers that flow through the area. To the north, oak systems decline in coverage. Thin sandy flats in the north have Laurentian-Acadian Pine-Hemlock-Hardwood Forest. The north and south are best separated as ecological inference areas due to floristic and dominant vegetation contrasts which also correspond to generally lower summer and winter temperatures northward. This north-south break is approximated by the drainage divide between the Lake Huron and Lake Erie/Lake St. Clair basins.

Nearly three-fourths of this MLRA is in farms. About three-fifths of the area is cropland. The rest of the farmland is mostly in small farm woodlots, but some of the farmland is used for permanent pasture or other purposes. Cash crops are important. Corn, winter wheat, soybeans, and hay are the major crops. Sugar beets and canning crops also are important. Some fruit and truck crops are grown on the coarse textured soils. Dairying is an important enterprise on some farms near the larger cities. Almost one-fifth of the area is used for urban development. Shiawassee National Wildlife Refuge, Cedar Point National Wildlife Refuge, Oak Openings Preserve Metropark (Ohio) are among the more notable conservation lands.

Summary of existing land use (South):
Upland Forest (7%)
Hardwood (6%)
Agricultural (60%)
Developed (28%)

Summary of existing land use (North):
Upland Forest (14%)
Hardwood (13%)
Agricultural (58%)
Developed (13%)
Swamps and Marshes (13%)

## Classification relationships

The USFS ecoregion classification (Cleland et al., 2007) for the majority of MLRA 99 is the Humid Temperate, Hot Continental Division, Midwest Broadleaf Forest Province 222, Lake Whittlesey Glaciolacustrine Plain Section 222U. The ecoregion subsection composition is 222Ud (Sandusky Lake Plain) and 222Ue (Saginaw Clay Lake and Till Plain) in the north near Lake Huron and Saginaw Bay. In the south near Lake Erie, the area is composed of subsections 222Ua (Maumee Lake Plain), 222Ub (Paulding Plains), and 222Uc (Marblehead Drift/Limestone Plain). A mix of interlobate deposits extends into MLRA 99 as subsection 222Jf (Lum Interlobate Moraine) of South Central Great Lakes Section 222J. Sandy deposits extend south from adjacent MLRA are part the Warm Continental Division, Laurentian Mixed Forest Province 212, Northern Lower Peninsula Section 212H, subsection 212Hh (Gladwin Silty Lake Plain).

The Saginaw Bay and Lake Huron lake plains is coextensive with EPA ecoregion 57e (Saginaw Lake Plain) (Omernik and Griffith, 2014). The majority of the Lake Erie or Maumee Lake Plain includes EPA ecoregion 57a (Maumee Lake Plain), extending east to include 57d (Marblehead Drift/Limestone Plain). Large inclusions of sand are delineated as ecoregion 57b (Oak Openings). A significant area of higher clay is designated as 57c (Paulding Plains).

## Ecological site concept

The central concept of Coastal Dune Complex is sand dunes and beaches adjacent to open Great Lakes (large fetches) subject to frequent strong winds and large surf. Vegetation is usually sparse, consisting of species tolerant

of drought and sand abrasion.

## Associated sites

| | |
|---|---|
| F099XY007MI | **Lake Plain Flats** |
| F099XY010MI | **Great Lakes Marsh** |

## Similar sites

| | |
|---|---|
| F139XY001OH | **Coastal Dune Complex** |

**Table 1. Dominant plant species**

| | |
|---|---|
| Tree | Not specified |
| Shrub | Not specified |
| Herbaceous | (1) *Ammophila breviligulata*<br>(2) *Cakile edentula* |

## Physiographic features

Site is beaches and alternating series of dunes and swales adjacent to an active exposed shoreline.

**Table 2. Representative physiographic features**

| | |
|---|---|
| Landforms | (1) Beach<br>(2) Dune |
| Runoff class | Negligible to low |
| Elevation | 173–179 m |
| Water table depth | 0 cm |
| Aspect | Aspect is not a significant factor |

## Climatic features

This ecological site experiences a humid continental climate with mild summers and cold winters. Precipitation is moderately well distributed through the year with higher amounts during the growing season than the winter.

Temperature extremes are moderated in immediate proximity to the Great Lakes, but this moderation has minimal effect inland due to prevailing winds blowing mainly offshore. Mean annual extreme minimum temperatures range from -26.6 to -18.8 °C (-16 to -2 °F), which falls within hardiness zones 5a to 6a (USDA, 2009). In general, temperatures are cooler northward, though local city heat island effects may interrupt this pattern.

The lack of significant topographic relief and general downwind direction to the Great Lakes likely contribute to this MLRA having lower annual precipitation and snowfall compared to the MLRA to the west. Mean annual snowfall ranges from 0.7 to 1.5 m (25 to 55 in). In general, snowfall is highest northward.

**Table 3. Representative climatic features**

| | |
|---|---|
| Frost-free period (characteristic range) | 133-162 days |
| Freeze-free period (characteristic range) | 177-193 days |
| Precipitation total (characteristic range) | 838-864 mm |
| Frost-free period (actual range) | 131-172 days |
| Freeze-free period (actual range) | 167-201 days |
| Precipitation total (actual range) | 813-864 mm |

| | |
|---|---|
| Frost-free period (average) | 148 days |
| Freeze-free period (average) | 185 days |
| Precipitation total (average) | 838 mm |

## Climate stations used

- (1) MONROE [USC00205558], Monroe, MI
- (2) ESSEXVILLE [USC00202631], Bay City, MI
- (3) SANDUSKY [USW00014846], Sandusky, OH
- (4) BAD AXE [USC00200417], Bad Axe, MI

## Influencing water features

Surface waters of the Great Lakes have the greatest influence on this site, though groundwater seeps may occur inland. See ecological dynamics for details on water level variability.

## Soil features

Soils are excessively drained to somewhat poorly drained sand. They are not commonly classified, and are commonly mapped as Lake beach or Beaches components.

**Table 4. Representative soil features**

| | |
|---|---|
| Parent material | (1) Beach sand<br>(2) Eolian sands |
| Surface texture | (1) Sand |
| Drainage class | Excessively drained to very poorly drained |
| Permeability class | Moderately rapid to rapid |
| Soil depth | 201 cm |
| Surface fragment cover <=3" | 0–1% |
| Surface fragment cover >3" | 0% |
| Available water capacity (0-100.1cm) | 3.99–10.01 cm |
| Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-50cm) | 4.5–7 |
| Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (0-150.1cm) | 0–10% |
| Subsurface fragment volume >3" (0-150.1cm) | 0–5% |

## Ecological dynamics

Coastal Dune Complex shares the same ecological dynamics as Natureserve/Landfire systems, Great Lakes Dune or Great Lakes Dune or Swale (Landfire, 2017). Due to discontinuous fuels, stand replacing fires rarely occurred, while light to moderate intensity fires occurred every 250-1050 years. During the calm periods, the storm beach may provide habitat for water dispersed annual sea rocket (Cakile endentula). The width of the storm beach is determined by exposure to wave action and gradient (5-10% slope) of the beach. Seas are calm for most of the late spring and summer periods along even the most exposed shoreline with waves less than 0.5 m (periods of around 3.6 s) (NOAA, 2019b). Calm periods are regularly interrupted by storms with waves of 1-2 m (periods 5-6 s). From fall through early spring, exposed shorelines are subject to wave action for a majority of the time, with average wave heights greater than 1 m (period >5 s), except during late winter ice packs. Peak storm waves in fall through early spring are typically 2-3 m (periods 6-7 s) are limited by the shallow depths and limited fetch of Lake Erie and portions of Lake Huron. On top of this, storm surge may add another 0.25 m to the water level, but can exceed 1 m

in shallow Lake Erie and Saginaw Bay. As a result, berms of the storm beach can lie 0.5-2 m above lake level and 5-20 m from the waterline. During high water years, the beach becomes steeper and erodes inland. During low water years, more beach is exposed, and sand tends to accrete. Inland from the storm beach, dune grasses like *Ammophila breviligulata* may allow windblown sand to accumulate. Subsequent erosion of sand may create depressions which may reach the water table, allowing for marsh vegetation. The height of the water table is largely controlled by average lake levels. Water levels rise and fall on annual cycles of about a 30 cm, peaking in summer. Average water levels vary more than 1 m over periods of 20 years or more due to trends in basin wide precipitation and evaporation. Maximum range within the last century has been about 2 m (NOAA, 2019a).

## State and transition model

**Ecosystem states**




**State 1 submodel, plant communities**




**State 2 submodel, plant communities**



**State 3 submodel, plant communities**




## State 1
## Reference State

The Reference State consists of plant-community-types in settings where natural ecological processes are operating that are unmanaged or only minimally-managed by land use conditioning, e.g., ranging from old-growth plant community-types (sometimes construed as mature, or pre-settlement vegetation) to inherent transitional ruderal plant community-type phases.

**Dominant plant species**

- American beachgrass (*Ammophila breviligulata*), grass
- American searocket (*Cakile edentula*), other herbaceous

## Community 1.1
## Meadow: Ammophila breviligulata - (Schizachyrium scoparium) Grassland

**Dominant plant species**

- American beachgrass (*Ammophila breviligulata*), grass
- little bluestem (*Schizachyrium scoparium*), grass

## Community 1.2
## Woodland: Populus deltoides - (Juniperus virginiana) Dune Woodland

**Dominant plant species**

- eastern cottonwood (*Populus deltoides*), tree
- eastern redcedar (*Juniperus virginiana*), tree

## Community 1.3
## Beach: Cakile edentula Great Lakes Shore Sparse Vegetation

**Dominant plant species**

- American searocket (*Cakile edentula*), grass

## Community 1.4
## Marsh/Wet Meadow: Dasiphora fruticosa ssp. floribunda / Cladium mariscoides - Juncus balticus - (Rhynchospora capillacea) Fen

**Dominant plant species**

- shrubby cinquefoil (*Dasiphora fruticosa ssp. floribunda*), shrub
- smooth sawgrass (*Cladium mariscoides*), grass
- mountain rush (*Juncus arcticus ssp. littoralis*), grass
- needle beaksedge (*Rhynchospora capillacea*), grass

## Pathway 1.1A
## Community 1.1 to 1.2

Succession.

### Pathway 1.1B
### Community 1.1 to 1.3

Beach erosion/lake level increase.

### Pathway 1.1C
### Community 1.1 to 1.4

Blowout below water table.

### Pathway 1.2A
### Community 1.2 to 1.1

Fire/erosion/burial.

**Conservation practices**

| |
|---|
| Prescribed Burning |

### Pathway 1.2B
### Community 1.2 to 1.3

Beach erosion/lake level increase.

### Pathway 1.2C
### Community 1.2 to 1.4

Blowout below water table.

### Pathway 1.3A
### Community 1.3 to 1.1

Lake level drop/dune accretion.

### Pathway 1.4A
### Community 1.4 to 1.1

Dune migration/burial.

## State 2
## Cultural State

The Cultural State includes settings where natural ecological processes are absent or eclipsed by significant land conditioning and the transformation to plant cover considered as Cultivated/Pasture/Plantation.

### Community 2.1
### Crop, Pasture, or Plantation

## State 3
## The Semi-natural State consists of plant communities in settings where natural ecological processes are primarily still operating but with some land conditioning in the past or present, e.g., varieties of managed sites with replacement pSemi-natural State

### Community 3.1
### Ruderal Meadow & Shrubland: Phalaris arundinacea Eastern Ruderal Marsh

**Dominant plant species**

- reed canarygrass (*Phalaris arundinacea*), grass

### Community 3.2
### Exotic Ruderal Forest

### Pathway 3.1A
### Community 3.1 to 3.2

Succession

### Pathway 3.2A
### Community 3.2 to 3.1

Blowdown/clearcut

### Transition T1A
### State 1 to 2

Clear vegetation; cultivate domesticated species

### Transition T1B
### State 1 to 3

Clear vegetation, invasive species introduced

### Restoration pathway R2
### State 2 to 1

Remove domesticated species; restore native species

**Conservation practices**

| |
|---|
| Tree/Shrub Establishment |
| Restoration and Management of Rare and Declining Habitats |
| Dune Stabilization |
| Restoration and Management of Natural Ecosystems |
| Invasive Plant Species Control |

### Transition T2A
### State 2 to 3

Abandoned, succession.

### Transition R3
### State 3 to 1

Control invasive species; restore native species.

**Conservation practices**

| |
|---|
| Tree/Shrub Establishment |
| Restoration and Management of Rare and Declining Habitats |
| Upland Wildlife Habitat Management |

Herbaceous Weed Control

### Restoration pathway T3A
### State 3 to 2

Clear vegetation; cultivate domesticated species

## Additional community tables

## Inventory data references

Future work, as described in a future project plan, to validate the information in this provisional ecological site description is needed. This will include field activities to collect low and medium intensity sampling, soil correlations, and analysis of that data. Annual field reviews should be done by soil scientists and vegetation specialists. A final field review, peer review, quality control, and quality assurance reviews of the ESD will be needed to produce the final document. Annual reviews of the project plan are to be conducted by the Ecological Site Technical Team.

## Other references

References consulted for MLRA 99 PES:

Albert, D. A. et al., 1995. Vegetation circa 1800 of Michigan. Michigan's native landscape as interpreted from the General Land Office Surveys 1816-1856 (digital map), Lansing: Michigan Natural Features Inventory.

Barnes, B. V. and Wagner, W. H., 2004. Michigan trees: a guide to the trees of the Great Lakes region. Ann Arbor (Michigan): University of Michigan Press.

Brewer, L.G. and Vankat, J.L., 2004. Description of Vegetation of the Oak Openings of Northwestern Ohio at the Time of Euro-American Settlement1. The Ohio Journal of Science, 104(4):76-85.

Cleland, D.T., J.A. Freeouf, J.E. Keys, G.J. Nowacki, C.A. Carpenter, and W.H.McNab. 2007. Ecological Subregions: Sections and Subsections for the conterminous United States. [Map. presentation scale 1:3,500,000, colored; A.M. Sloan, cartographer] Gen. Tech. Report WO-76D. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Washington, DC. (https://www.fs.fed.us/research/publications/misc/73326-wo-gtr-76d-cleland2007.pdf)

Forsyth, J.L., 1970. A geologist looks at the natural vegetation map of Ohio. Ohio Journal of Science 70(3): 180-190.

GHCN, 2016. Global Historical Climatology Network Monthly Versions 2 and 3 (temperature and precipitation data). NOAA. https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/ghcnm/

Knopp, P.D., 2012. The Distribution of Quercus rubra in the Maumee Lake Plain of Southeastern Michigan. The American Midland Naturalist, 168(1):70-92.

Kost, M. A. et al., 2010. Natural Communities of Michigan: Classification and Description, Lansing, MI: Michigan Natural Features Inventory.

Landfire, 2017. Landfire Biophysical Settings Review Site. Accessed May, 2017 http://www.landfirereview.org/descriptions.html.

NOAA, 2019a. Tides and Currents: https://tidesandcurrents.noaa.gov/ [Various Great Lakes tide stations records accessed January, 2019]

NOAA, 2019b. National Data Buoy Center: https://www.ndbc.noaa.gov/ [Various Great Lakes buoy records accessed January, 2019]

Omernik, J.M. and G.E. Griffith. 2014. Ecoregions of the conterminous United States: evolution of a hierarchical spatial framework. Environmental Management 54:1249–1266.

PRISM Climate Group. 2013. Gridded 30 Year Normals, 1981-2010. Oregon State University, http://prism.oregonstate.edu

Shanks, R.E., 1953. Forest Composition and Species Association in the Beech-Maple Forest Region of Western Ohio. Ecology, 34(3), pp.455-466.

USDA-NRCS, 2022. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agriculture Handbook 296.

USDA, 2009. Plant Hardiness Zone Map, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

U.S. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey, 2011. LANDFIRE: LANDFIRE 1.1.0 Existing Vegetation Type layer. http://landfire.cr.usgs.gov/viewer/

## Contributors

Greg J. Schmidt

## Approval

Nels Barrett, 1/25/2024

## Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

| | |
|---|---|
| Author(s)/participant(s) | |
| Contact for lead author | |
| Date | 01/25/2024 |
| Approved by | Nels Barrett |
| Approval date | |
| Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on | Annual Production |

## Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:**

2. **Presence of water flow patterns:**

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:**

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):**

5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:**

6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:**

7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):**

8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):**

9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):**

10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:**

11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):**

12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**

    Dominant:

    Sub-dominant:

    Other:

    Additional:

13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):**

14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth ( in):**

15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):**

16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:**

---

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:**

---