

Ecological site F101XY003NY

Low Floodplain Depression

Last updated: 5/21/2020
Accessed: 05/19/2024

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 101X—Ontario-Erie Plain and Finger Lakes Region

Most of the MLRA is a nearly level to rolling plain. Low remnant beach ridges are commonly interspersed with a relatively level lake plain in the northern part of the area. Drumlins (long, narrow, steep-sided, cigar shaped hills) are prominent in an east-west belt in the center of the area. The Finger Lakes Region consists of a gently sloping to rolling till plain. Elevation is 330 to 1,310 feet increasing gradually from the shores of Lake Ontario and Lake Oneida to the Allegheny Plateau, the southern border of the area. Local relief is mostly 10 feet, but the larger drumlins and many valley sides rise 80 to 330 feet above the adjacent lowlands or valley floors.

The bedrock underlying this area consists of alternating beds of limestone, dolomite, sandstone, and shale of Ordovician to Devonian age. Most of the surface of the area is covered with glacial till or lake sediments. The texture of the lake sediments is silt, loam, or sand. Ancient beaches, formed at different lake levels, form ridges along the shoreline of Lake Erie and Lake Ontario. Stratified drift (eskers and kames) and glacial outwash deposits are in many of the valleys. A large drumlin field occurs in the Finger Lakes Region.

Classification relationships

NRCS:

Land Resource Region: L - Lake States Fruit, Truck Crop, and Dairy Region

MLRA: 101 - Ontario-Erie Plain and Finger Lakes Region

Ecological site concept

Landform/Landscape Position:

The site occurs on flood plains, depressions, swamps, and marshes adjacent to low gradient streams and rivers. Slopes range from 0 to 3 percent.

Soils:

The site consists of very deep, very poorly drained soils that have formed in recent alluvium derived predominantly from siltstone, shale, and limestone.along streams. Representative soil is Warners within MLRA 101.

Vegetation

The reference community coincides with NY natural heritage community: Floodplain forest and NatureServe's Silver Maple / Sensitive Fern - Small-spike False Nettle Floodplain Forest (CEGL006176).

Associated sites

F101XY002NY	Low Floodplain Low Flood Plain is slightly higher on the landscape and are moderately well drained soils
-------------	--

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	(1) <i>Acer saccharinum</i> (2) <i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>
Shrub	Not specified
Herbaceous	(1) <i>Boehmeria cylindrica</i> (2) <i>Onoclea sensibilis</i>

Physiographic features

The site occurs on flood plains, depressions, swamps or marshes. Slopes range from 0 to 3 percent. In natural settings, the site is subject to frequent flooding and ponding.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Flood plain (2) Depression (3) Swamp or marsh
Flooding frequency	Frequent
Ponding frequency	Occasional to frequent
Slope	0–3%

Climatic features

Table 3. Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	136-140 days
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	173-186 days
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	940-1,067 mm
Frost-free period (actual range)	135-140 days
Freeze-free period (actual range)	167-187 days
Precipitation total (actual range)	889-1,067 mm
Frost-free period (average)	138 days
Freeze-free period (average)	179 days
Precipitation total (average)	991 mm




Figure 1. Monthly precipitation range




Figure 2. Monthly minimum temperature range




Figure 3. Monthly maximum temperature range




Figure 4. Monthly average minimum and maximum temperature




Figure 5. Annual precipitation pattern




Figure 6. Annual average temperature pattern

Climate stations used

- (1) SUNY ESF SYRACUSE [USC00308386], Syracuse, NY
- (2) DELANSON 2NE [USC00302031], Delanson, NY
- (3) ROCHESTER GTR INTL AP [USW00014768], Rochester, NY
- (4) DUNKIRK CHAUTAUQUA AP [USW00014747], Dunkirk, NY
- (5) LOCKPORT 3 S [USC00304844], Lockport, NY

Influencing water features

Very Poorly Drained:

Water is removed from the soil so slowly that free water remains at or very near the surface during much of the growing season. Internal free water occurrence is very shallow and persistent or permanent. Unless the soil is artificially drained, most mesophytic crops cannot be grown. The soils are commonly level or depressed and frequently ponded. In areas where rainfall is high or nearly continuous, slope gradients may be greater.

Wetland description

Cowardin System of Wetland Classification:

Palustrine, Forested, Broad-Leaved Deciduous, seasonally flooded, fresh, alkaline

Soil features

The site consists of very deep, very poorly drained soils that have formed in recent alluvium derived from mostly calcareous sedimentary lithologies, (limestone, shales, and sandstones). Representative soil is Warners.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Alluvium–limestone, sandstone, and shale
Surface texture	(1) Silt loam
Drainage class	Very poorly drained
Calcium carbonate equivalent (Depth not specified)	0–15%
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (Depth not specified)	6.1–8.4


Ecological dynamics

The reference community is dominated silver maple but other canopy trees that may be present are red maple, black willow, river birch, and American elm. Shrubs and herbs include spicebush, dogwoods, sensitive fern, false nettle, and numerous sedges.


Disturbances are related to flood magnitude, frequency, and seasonal timing. Changes in hydrology (drainage, diversions, dams, etc.) will impact structure and function of the site making the site more susceptible to invasive species establishment.

State and transition model


Ecosystem states



State 1 submodel, plant communities



State 2 submodel, plant communities



State 1

Silver Maple Forest

Reference state. Minimally managed.

Community 1.1

Silver Maple Closed Canopy Forest

Other trees present: red maple, American elm, black willow.

Dominant plant species

- silver maple (*Acer saccharinum*), tree

Community 1.2

Young Forest Community

Black willow, red maple common with a more open canopy. Herbaceous plants more abundant.

Pathway P

Community 1.1 to 1.2

Natural disturbances such as flooding, wind, ice storm, insects.

Pathway P

Community 1.2 to 1.1

Time/succession

State 2

Disturbed (Invasive Species and/or Hydrology Change)

Highly disturbed forest resulting from changes in hydrology and/or presence of invasive species.

Community 2.1

Mature Forest

Invasive species present

Community 2.2

Young Forest

Invasive species present

Pathway P

Community 2.1 to 2.2

Disturbance: Flooding, wind, ice storms, insects.

Pathway P

Community 2.2 to 2.1

Time/succession

Transition T

State 1 to 2

Establishment of invasive plants. Changes to hydrology (drainage, diversions, roads,) may also been a driver of change.

Restoration pathway R

State 2 to 1

Conservation practices

Invasive Plant Species Control

Additional community tables

Table 5. Community 1.1 forest overstory composition

Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Nativity	Height (M)	Canopy Cover (%)	Diameter (Cm)	Basal Area (Square M/Hectare)
Tree							
silver maple	ACSA2	<i>Acer saccharinum</i>	Native	—	—	—	—
American elm	ULAM	<i>Ulmus americana</i>	Native	—	—	—	—
black willow	SANI	<i>Salix nigra</i>	Native	—	—	—	—
red maple	ACRU	<i>Acer rubrum</i>	Native	—	—	—	—

Inventory data references

Site Development and Testing Plan:

Future work to validate the vegetation information in this provisional ecological site description is needed. This will include field activities to collect low and medium intensity sampling and analysis of that data. Field reviews should

be done by soil scientists and vegetation specialists. A final field review, peer review, quality control, and quality assurance reviews of the ESD will be needed to produce the final approved level document. Reviews of the project plan are to be conducted by the Ecological Site Technical Team.

Other references

Cowardin L. M., Carter V., Golet F. C., and LaRoe E.T. 1979. Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 20402.

NatureServe: 2020. NatureServe Explorer. Available from:

https://explorer.natureserve.org/Taxon/ELEMENT_GLOBAL.2.687000/Acer_saccharinum_-_Onoclea_sensibilis_-_Boehmeria_cylindrica_Floodplain_Forest

New York Natural Heritage Program. 2020. Online Conservation Guide for Floodplain forest. Available from:

<https://guides.nynhp.org/floodplain-forest/>. Accessed March 19, 2020.

Approval

Nels Barrett, 5/21/2020

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	05/19/2024
Approved by	Nels Barrett
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. Number and extent of rills:

2. Presence of water flow patterns:

3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:

4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):

5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:

-
6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:**
-
7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):**
-
8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):**
-
9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):**
-
10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:**
-
11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):**
-
12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**
- Dominant:
- Sub-dominant:
- Other:
- Additional:
-
13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):**
-
14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):**
-
15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):**
-
16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that**

become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:**
