

Ecological site R102AY006SD Limy Subirrigated

Accessed: 05/05/2024

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	David Schmidt, Tim Nordquist, Stan Boltz			
Contact for lead author				
Date	12/04/2007			
Approved by	Stan Boltz			
Approval date				
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production			

litter remains in place and is not moved by erosional forces.

Indicators

1.	Number and extent of rills: Rills should not be present.
2.	Presence of water flow patterns: Barely observable.
3.	Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: Essentially, non-existent.
4.	Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): Bare ground less than 5% and less than 2 inches in diameter.
5.	Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: Active gullies should not be present.
6.	Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None.
7.	Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Little to no plant litter movement. Plant

8.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Stability class usually 6. Typically high root content, organic matter, and granular structure. Soil surface is very resistant to erosion.
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Use soil series description for depth and color of A-horizon.
10.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Healthy, deep rooted native grasses enhance infiltration and reduce runoff.
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): No compaction layer should be evident.
12.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):
	Dominant: Mid warm-season bunch grass >> tall warm-season rhizomatous grass
	Sub-dominant: > tall cool-season bunch grass > mid cool-season rhizomatous grass > short cool-season grass = forb
	Other:
	Additional:
13.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Very little to no evidence of decadence or mortality.
14.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): 85-90%, roughly 0.5 inch thick or less. Litter cover is in contact with soil surface.
15.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): 3800 – 5000 lbs./acre air-dry weight, average 4,400 lbs./acre air-dry weight
16.	Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Refer to State and Local Noxious Weed List