

# Ecological site R102BY010SD Loamy

Last updated: 2/01/2024 Accessed: 05/13/2024

#### **General information**

**Provisional**. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.



Figure 1. Mapped extent

Areas shown in blue indicate the maximum mapped extent of this ecological site. Other ecological sites likely occur within the highlighted areas. It is also possible for this ecological site to occur outside of highlighted areas if detailed soil survey has not been completed or recently updated.

#### **MLRA** notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 102B-Till Plains

The Till Plains (102B) is located within the Western Lake Section of the Central Lowland Province of the Interior Plains. It is entirely in South Dakota, encompassing 2,215 square miles (Figure 1). The elevation ranges from 1,140 to 1,880 feet. The MLRA is characterized by glaciated, nearly level to hilly plains populated by stagnation and end moraines, glacial outwash terraces, and floodplains as the major landforms. The dominant parent materials are silty drift, glacial till, glacial outwash, and alluvium. (USDA-NRCS 2006)

The dominant soil order in this MLRA is Mollisols. The soils in the area dominantly have a mesic temperature regime, a udic ustic moisture regime and mixed or smectitic mineralogy. They generally are very deep, well drained to poorly drained, and clayey or loamy. This area is in the western area of the tall grass prairie and supports big bluestem (Andropogon gerardi), little bluestem (Schizachyrium scoparium), Indiangrass (Sorghastrum nutans), porcupine grass (Hesperostipa spartea), and green needlegrass (Nassella viridula) as the dominant native species. Cattails (Typha), prairie cordgrass (Spartina pectinate), bulrush (Cyperaceae) and reed canarygrass (Phalaris arundinacea) are commonly found on the poorly drained soils. (USDA-NRCS, 2006).

#### **Classification relationships**

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): Till Plains (102B) (USDA-NRCS, 2006)

USFS Subregions: North Central Glaciated Plains Section (251B); Outer Coteau des Prairies (251Bb); Yankton Hills and Valleys (251Bf); Northwest Iowa Plains (251Bd); (Cleland et al., 2007).

US EPA Level IV Ecoregion: Prairie Coteau (46k); James River Lowland (46n); Loess Prairies (47a); Big Sioux Basin (46m) - (USEPA, 2013)

#### **Ecological site concept**

The Loamy ecological site occurs on upland areas. Soils are well drained and have less than 40 percent clay in the surface and or subsoil. The surface and subsoil textures typically are loam, silt loam, silty clay loam, clay loam, sandy clay loam, and very fine sandy loam. Some soils are underlain with sand or gravel at about two to three feet in depth. In some areas the surface layer may consist of stony to extremely stony. Slopes can range from zero to 25 percent.

Vegetation in the Reference State includes Big bluestem, and needlegrasses. Forbs include goldenrods, cudweed sagewort, heath aster, western yarrow, and Cuman ragweed. Non-native grasses and woodies such as Kentucky bluegrass, smooth brome, quackgrass, and Eastern Redcedar may invade the site due to changes in disturbance regime.

#### **Associated sites**

R102BY012SD	Thin Upland These sites occur on uplands. Soils are well drained and will effervesce with acid at or near the surface. The central concept soil series are Ethan and Betts, but other series are included.	
R102BY020SD	<b>Loamy Overflow</b> These sites occur in upland swales. Soils are moderately well drained which have water flow into and over or through the site. The central concept soil series are Trent and Viborg but other series are included.	

#### Similar sites

R102BY020SD	<b>Loamy Overflow</b> The Loamy Overflow site occurs in upland swales. Soils are moderately well drained which have water flow into and over or through the site. A Loamy Overflow site has more big bluestem and higher production than a Loamy site.				
R102BY011SD	<b>Clayey</b> The Clayey site is in a similar landscape position, but the soils have greater than 40 percent clay in the surface or subsoil. A Clayey site has more green needlegrass and less big bluestem than a Loamy site.				

#### Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	Not specified
Herbaceous	<ul><li>(1) Andropogon gerardii</li><li>(2) Sorghastrum nutans</li></ul>

#### **Physiographic features**

This site occurs on nearly level to moderately sloping uplands.




Figure 2. Site Distribution Map for the Loamy site in MLRA 102B.

Landforms	<ul><li>(1) Till plain</li><li>(2) Moraine</li><li>(3) Outwash terrace</li></ul>
Flooding duration	Brief (2 to 7 days)
Flooding frequency	None to rare
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	335–579 m
Slope	1–25%
Water table depth	122–203 cm
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

#### **Climatic features**

Major Land Resource Area 102B is considered to have a continental climate with cold winters and relatively hot summers, low to moderate humidity, light rainfall, and much sunshine. Extremes in temperature may also abound. The climate is the result of the location of this MLRA near the geographic center of North America. There are few natural barriers on the Northern Great Plains and air masses move freely across the plains and account for rapid changes in temperature.

Annual precipitation typically ranges from 24 to 26 inches per year. The average annual temperature is about 46°F. January is the coldest month with average temperatures ranging from about 14°F (Wentworth 2 WNW, South Dakota, to about 18°F (Canton 4 WNW, SD). July is the warmest month with temperatures averaging from about 72°F (Wentworth 2 WNW, SD), to about 73°F (Canton 4 WNW, SD). The range of normal average monthly temperatures between the coldest and warmest months is about 57°F. This large annual range attests to the continental nature of the climate of this area. Hourly winds are estimated to average about 11 miles per hour (mph) annually, ranging from about 13 mph during the spring to about 10 mph during the summer. Daytime winds are generally stronger than nighttime and occasional strong storms may bring brief periods of high winds with gusts to more than 50 mph.

Growth of cool-season plants begins in early to mid-March, slowing or ceasing in late June. Warm-season plants begin growth about mid-May and continue to early or mid-September. Green-up of cool-season plants may occur in September and October when adequate soil moisture is present.

Table 3. Representative climatic features	5
---	---

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	124-127 days
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	138-140 days

Precipitation total (characteristic range)	660 mm
Frost-free period (actual range)	123-128 days
Freeze-free period (actual range)	137-141 days
Precipitation total (actual range)	660-686 mm
Frost-free period (average)	126 days
Freeze-free period (average)	139 days
Precipitation total (average)	660 mm

#### **Climate stations used**

- (1) CENTERVILLE 6 SE [USC00391579], Beresford, SD
- (2) CANTON [USC00391392], Canton, SD
- (3) MONTROSE 8N [USC00395738], Montrose, SD
- (4) MADISON 2SE [USC00395090], Madison, SD
- (5) WENTWORTH 2.5 WNW [USC00399042], Wentworth, SD

#### Influencing water features

No riparian areas or wetland features are directly associated with this site.

#### **Soil features**

The common features of soils in this site are fine sandy loam to clay loam textured subsurface soils, with slopes ranging from one to 25 percent. Some soils are underlain with sand or gravel at about two to three feet in depth. The soils in this site are well-drained and typically formed in till, drift, and loess. The loam to silty clay loam surface layer is typically six to 20 inches thick. The soils have a slow to moderately slow infiltration rate. This site typically should show slight to no evidence of rills, wind scoured areas, or pedestalled plants. If present, water flow paths are broken, irregular in appearance, or discontinuous. The soil surface is stable and intact. Subsurface soil layers are nonrestrictive to water movement and root penetration. These soils are mainly susceptible to water erosion. The hazard of water erosion increases on slopes greater than about nine percent. The loss of 50 percent or more of the surface layer of the soils on this site can result in a shift in species composition and production.

The central concept soil series for this site are Egan and Wentworth, but other series are included.

Access Web Soil Survey (http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm) for specific local soils information.

•					
Surface texture	(1) Loam (2) Silt Ioam (3) Silty clay Ioam				
Family particle size	(1) Loamy				
Drainage class	Moderately well drained to well drained				
Permeability class	Slow to moderately slow				
Soil depth	102–203 cm				
Surface fragment cover <=3"	0–5%				
Surface fragment cover >3"	0–10%				
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	15.24–20.32 cm				
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-101.6cm)	0–30%				

#### Table 4. Representative soil features

Electrical conductivity (0-101.6cm)	0–4 mmhos/cm
Sodium adsorption ratio (0-101.6cm)	0–2
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-101.6cm)	5.6–8.4
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	0–30%
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	0–4%

# **Ecological dynamics**

#### State and Community Phases

The information in this Ecological Site Description, including the state-and-transition model (STM), was developed based on historical data, current field data, professional experience, and a review of the scientific literature. As a result, all possible scenarios or plant species may not be included. Key indicator plant species, disturbances, and ecological processes are described to inform land management decisions.

The site which is located in the Till Plains Region developed under Northern Great Plains climatic conditions and included natural influence of large herding herbivores and occasional fire. Changes occur in the plant communities due to weather fluctuations and management actions. Under adverse impacts, a relatively rapid decline in vegetative vigor and composition can occur. Under favorable conditions, the site has the potential to resemble the Reference State. Interpretations for this site are based primarily on the 1.1 Big Bluestem-Green Needlegrass Plant Community Phase. This community phase and the Reference State have been determined by study of rangeland relic areas, areas protected from excessive disturbance, and areas under long-term rotational grazing regimes. Trends in plant community dynamics ranging from heavily grazed to lightly grazed areas, seasonal use pastures, and historical accounts also have been considered. Plant community phases, states, transitional pathways, and thresholds have been determined through similar studies and experience.


This ecological site (ES) has been grazed by domestic livestock since they have been introduced into the area. The introduction of domestic livestock and the use of fencing and reliable water sources have changed the ecological dynamics of this site. Heavy, continuous grazing without adequate recovery periods following each grazing occurrence causes departure from the 3.1 Big Bluestem-Green Needlegrass Plant Community Phase. Little bluestem, western wheatgrass (*Pascopyrum smithii*), sideoats grama (*Bouteloua curtipendula*), and blue grama (*Bouteloua gracilis*) will increase. Eventually, blue grama, quackgrass (*Elymus repens*), and Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*) may develop into a sod. Indiangrass, big bluestem, porcupinegrass, green needlegrass, sideoats grama, and little bluestem decrease in frequency and production. Extended periods of non-use and/or lack of fire will result in excessive litter and a plant community dominated by cool-season grasses such as Kentucky bluegrass, smooth bromegrass (*Bromus inermis*), green needlegrass, and cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum*). Extended periods of no surface fire could result in the invasion of conifers through which eastern redcedar (*Juniperus virginiana*) and Rocky Mountain juniper (*Juniperus scopulorum*) will increase and could eventually dominate the site.

Following the state-and-transition diagram are narratives for each of the described states and community phases. These may not represent every possibility, but they are the most prevalent and repeatable states and community phases. The plant composition tables shown below have been developed from the best available knowledge at the time of this revision. As more data are collected, some of these community phases and states may be revised or removed, and new ones may be added. The main purpose for including the descriptions here is to capture the current knowledge and experience at the time of this revision.

The following is a diagram that illustrates the common plant community phases that can occur on the site and the transition and community pathways between them. The ecological processes will be discussed in more detail in the plant community descriptions following the diagram.

#### State and transition model

# Loamy - R102BY010SD



# Loamy - R102BY010SD

#### LEGEND Loamy - R102BY010SD

- BM Brush management
- CA Cropped and abandoned
- CI Conifer invasion
- HCG Heavy, continuous grazing
- IN Invasion
- LTPG Long-term prescribed grazing
- NU Non-use
- NF No fire
- PB Prescribed burning PG – Prescribed grazing
- S Seeding
- T Time w/wo disturbances
- TI Tillage

Code	Process
T1A	Heavy, continuous grazing
T1B	Heavy, continuous grazing, non-use, no fire, invasion
T1C	Conifer invasion, no fire
T1D	Tillage
T2A	Tillage
T3A	Non-use, no fire
T3B	Heavy, continuous grazing
T3C	Tillage
T4A	Long term prescribed grazing, prescribed burning
T4B	Tillage
T4C	Conifer invasion, no fire
T5A	Brush management, prescribed burning
T5B	Brush management, prescribed burning
T5C	Brush management
T5D	Brush management, prescribed burning
T5E	Brush management, tillage
T6A	Seeding
T6B	Seeding
T6C	Cropped and abandoned
1.1A	Heavy, continuous grazing
1.18	Prescribed burning,
1.2A	Prescribed grazing with recovery periods, prescribed burning
1.3A	Prescribed grazing with recovery periods, prescribed burning
3.1A	Heavy, continuous grazing
3.2A	Prescribed grazing with recovery periods
4.1A	Heavy, continuous grazing
4.2A	Prescribed grazing with recovery periods
4.3A	Time w/wo disturbances
5.1A	Heavy, continuous grazing, non-use, no fire, invasion
5.2A	No fire

Figure 11. Matrix for the Loamy site in MLRA 102B.

The Reference State represents the natural range of variability that dominated the dynamics of this ecological site (ES). This state was dominated by warm-season grasses, with cool-season grasses being subdominant. Prior to European settlement in North America, the primary disturbance mechanisms for this site in the Reference condition included periods of below and above average precipitation, periodic fire, and herbivory by insects and large ungulates. The timing of fires and herbivory coupled with weather events dictated the dynamics that occurred within the natural range of variability. In some locations, this site likely received relatively heavy grazing pressure. Tall warm-season grasses would have declined and cool-season bunchgrasses and short to mid-statured warm-season grasses would have increased. Today, a similar state, the Native/Invaded State (State 3) can be found on areas that are properly managed with grazing and/or prescribed burning, and sometimes on areas receiving occasional short periods of rest.

#### Community 1.1 Big Bluestem-Green Needlegrass



Figure 12. Vegetation typical of the Reference Community on the Loamy site in MLRA 102B.

Interpretations are based primarily on the 1.1 Big Bluestem-Green Needlegrass Plant Community Phase (this is also considered to be Reference). This plant community evolved with grazing by large herbivores, frequent surface fires, and periodic flooding events, and is suited for grazing by domestic livestock. It can be found on areas that are grazed that receive adequate periods of rest during the growing season. The potential vegetation was about 80 percent grasses or grass-like plants, 15 percent forbs, and five percent shrubs. The community was dominated by warm-season grasses, with cool-season grasses being subdominant. The major grasses included big bluestem, green needlegrass, Indiangrass, porcupinegrass, and little bluestem. Other grass or grass-like species included switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum*), sideoats grama, slender wheatgrass (*Elymus trachycaulus*), prairie dropseed (*Sporobolus heterolepis*), and sedges (Cyperaceae). This plant community was resilient and well adapted to the Northern Great Plains climatic conditions. The diversity in plant species allowed for high tolerance to drought. This was a sustainable plant community in regards to site and soil stability, watershed function, and biologic integrity.

#### Table 5. Annual production by plant type

Plant Type	Low (Kg/Hectare)	Representative Value (Kg/Hectare)	High (Kg/Hectare)
Grass/Grasslike	2763	3525	4142
Forb	185	415	745
Shrub/Vine	78	207	381
Total	3026	4147	5268

Figure 14. Plant community growth curve (percent production by month). SD0214, Till Plains, warm-season dominant, cool-season subdominant.. Warm-season dominant, cool-season subdominant..

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
0	0	3	7	17	25	25	15	7	1	0	0

## Community 1.2 Green Needlegrass-Little Bluestem-Big Bluestem-Blue Grama

This plant community evolved under heavy, continuous grazing or from over utilization during extended drought periods. The potential plant community was made up of approximately 85 percent grasses and grass-like species, 10 percent forbs, and five percent shrubs. Dominant grasses included green needlegrass, little bluestem, big bluestem, and sideoats grama. Grasses of secondary importance included blue grama, western wheatgrass, porcupinegrass, Indiangrass, switchgrass, tall dropseed (Sporobolus compositus), prairie dropseed, slender wheatgrass, and sedge (Carex). Forbs commonly found in this plant community included Canada goldenrod (Solidago canadensis), cudweed sagewort (Artemisia ludoviciana), heath aster (Symphyotrichum), scurfpea (Psoralidium), stiff goldenrod (Solidago rigida), Cuman ragweed (Ambrosia psilostachya), and western yarrow (Achillea millefolium). This plant community had similar plant composition to the 3.2 Green Needlegrass-Little Bluestem-Kentucky Bluegrass Plant Community Phase. The main difference is that this plant community phase did not have the presence of non-native invasive species such as Kentucky bluegrass and smooth bromegrass. When compared to the 1.1 Big Bluestem-Green Needlegrass Plant Community Phase, green needlegrass and little bluestem increased. Production of tall warm-season grasses was reduced. This plant community was moderately resistant to change. The herbaceous species present were well adapted to grazing; however, species composition could be altered through long-term overgrazing. If the herbaceous component was intact, it tended to be resilient if the disturbance was not long-term. Most of the components of the ecological processes would have been functioning at optimum levels. However, the vigor and reproductive capability of the tall warm-season grasses would have been reduced due to grazing pressure or a combination of stressors. A reduction of this dominant functional group allowed for an increase in shorter-statured (and shallower rooted) species.

Figure 15. Plant community growth curve (percent production by month). SD0213, Till Plains, cool-season/warm-season codominant.. Cool-season, warm-season codominant..

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
0	0	3	10	20	28	21	10	5	3	0	0

#### Community 1.3 Big Bluestem-Indiangrass-Sideoats Grama

This plant community was a result of fire occurring at relatively frequent intervals. This phase could have also resulted from a combination of grazing events immediately following early season fire (i.e., large ungulates attracted to highly nutritious vegetative growth following a fire). These events would have caused a reduction in cool-season grasses and an increase in warm-season grasses. The warm-season grasses were more tolerant of shorter return intervals of fire and would have increased in vigor and production leading to a temporary shift to this phase. Needlegrasses would have decreased most significantly amongst the cool-season grasses. The potential vegetation was about 80 percent grasses or grass-like plants, 15 percent forbs, and five percent shrubs. The community was dominated by warm-season grasses. The major grasses included big bluestem, Indiangrass, sideoats grama, switchgrass, little bluestem, and prairie dropseed. Other grass or grass-like species included green needlegrass, porcupinegrass, western wheatgrass, blue grama, slender wheatgrass, tall dropseed, and sedge. This plant community was not resistant to change and would have readily shifted back to the 1.1 Big Bluestem-Green Needlegrass Plant Community Phase with a return of more normal fire return intervals.

Figure 16. Plant community growth curve (percent production by month). SD0215, Till Plains, warm-season dominant.. Warm-season dominant..

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
0	0	2	5	15	25	30	15	7	1	0	0

## Pathway 1.1A Community 1.1 to 1.2

Heavy, continuous grazing which includes herbivory at moderate to heavy levels at the same time of year each year without adequate recovery periods, periods of below normal precipitation, and no surface fire for extended periods of time (typically for 10 years or more) will shift this community to the 1.2 Green Needlegrass-Little Bluestem-Big

# Pathway 1.1B Community 1.1 to 1.3

Prescribed burning occurring at relatively frequent intervals (3 to 5 years) and a return to normal disturbance regime levels, along with occasional grazing events immediately following early season fire caused a reduction in coolseason grasses and an increase in warm-season grasses. The warm-season grasses were more tolerant of shorter return intervals of fire and would increase in vigor and production leading to a temporary shift to the 1.3 Big Bluestem-Indiangrass-Sideoats Grama Plant Community Phase.

#### Pathway 1.2A Community 1.2 to 1.1

Any combination of prescribed grazing (alternating season of use and providing adequate recovery periods), periodic light to moderate grazing, prescribed burning occurring at relatively frequent intervals (every 3 to 5 years), a return to normal disturbance regime levels and frequencies, and brush management will shift this plant community to the 1.1 Big Bluestem-Green Needlegrass Plant Community Phase.

# Pathway 1.3A Community 1.3 to 1.1

Any combination of prescribed grazing (alternating season of use and providing adequate recovery periods), periodic light to moderate grazing, prescribed burning occurring at relatively frequent intervals (every 3 to 5 years), a return to normal disturbance regime levels and frequencies, and brush management will shift this plant community to the 1.1 Big Bluestem-Green Needlegrass Plant Community Phase.

# State 2 Degraded State

This state is the result of heavy, continuous grazing, and the absence of periodic fire due to fire suppression. This state is dominated by little bluestem, slender wheatgrass, and blue grama. The blue grama can form a sod-like layer that effectively blocks introduction of other plants into the system. Taller warm-season species will decline, and a corresponding increase in short statured grass will occur. Once the threshold is crossed, a change in grazing management alone cannot restore the degraded state.

#### Community 2.1 Community Phase 2.1 Little Bluestem-Slender Wheatgrass-Blue Grama

This plant community evolved under heavy, continuous season grazing or from over utilization during extended drought periods. The potential plant community was made up of approximately 85 percent grasses and grass-like species, 10 percent forbs, and five percent shrubs. Dominant grasses typically included little bluestem, slender wheatgrass, blue grama, and sideoats grama. Grasses of secondary importance included big bluestem, switchgrass, green needlegrass, western wheatgrass, tall dropseed, and sedge. Forbs commonly found in this plant community included cudweed sagewort, green sagewort (*Artemisia campestris*), and western yarrow. When compared to the 1.1 Big Bluestem-Green Needlegrass Plant Community Phase, tall warm-season grasses were reduced, and the more grazing tolerant species such as blue grama, little bluestem, and sideoats grama were dominant on this plant community. With the exception of slender wheatgrass, cool-season grasses decreased significantly. This vegetation state was very resistant to change, especially if the disturbance continued and the short-statured species such as blue grama increased. The herbaceous species present were well adapted to grazing. This plant community was less productive than other phases.

Figure 17. Plant community growth curve (percent production by month). SD0214, Till Plains, warm-season dominant, cool-season subdominant.. Warm-season dominant, cool-season subdominant..

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
0	0	3	7	17	25	25	15	7	1	0	0

#### State 3 Native/Invaded State

This state represents the more common range of variability that exists with higher levels of grazing management but in the absence of periodic fire due to fire suppression. This state is dominated by cool- and warm-season grasses. It can be found on areas that are properly managed with grazing and/or prescribed burning, and sometimes on areas receiving occasional short periods of rest. Taller warm-season species can decline and a corresponding increase in short statured grass will occur.

#### Community 3.1 Big Bluestem-Green Needlegrass

This plant community phase is similar to the 1.1 Big Bluestem-Green Needlegrass Plant Community Phase, but it also contains minor amounts of non-native invasive grass species such as Kentucky bluegrass and smooth bromegrass (up to about 10 percent by air-dry weight). The potential vegetation is about 80 percent grasses or grass-like plants, 15 percent forbs, and five percent shrubs. This community is dominated by warm-season grasses, with cool-season grasses being subdominant. The major grasses include big bluestem, green needlegrass, Indiangrass, porcupinegrass, and little bluestem. Other grass or grass-like species include switchgrass, sideoats grama, slender wheatgrass, prairie dropseed, tall dropseed, and sedges. This plant community is resilient and well adapted to the Northern Great Plains climatic conditions. The diversity in plant species allows for high drought tolerance. This is a sustainable plant community in regards to site and soil stability, watershed function, and biologic integrity.

Figure 18. Plant community growth curve (percent production by month). SD0214, Till Plains, warm-season dominant, cool-season subdominant.. Warm-season dominant, cool-season subdominant..

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
0	0	3	7	17	25	25	15	7	1	0	0

#### Community 3.2 Green Needlegrass-Little Bluestem-Kentucky Bluegrass

This plant community is a result of heavy, continuous grazing or from over utilization during extended drought periods. The potential plant community is made up of approximately 85 percent grasses and grass-like species, 10 percent forbs, and five percent shrubs. Dominant grasses include green needlegrass, little bluestem, Kentucky bluegrass, big bluestem, and sideoats grama. Grasses of secondary importance include blue grama, western wheatgrass, porcupinegrass, Indiangrass, switchgrass, tall dropseed, prairie dropseed, slender wheatgrass, and sedge. Forbs commonly found in this plant community include Canada goldenrod, cudweed sagewort, heath aster, scurfpea, stiff goldenrod, Cuman ragweed, and western yarrow. When compared to the 1.1 Big Bluestem-Green Needlegrass Plant Community Phase, green needlegrass and little bluestem have increased. Production of tall warm-season grasses is reduced. This plant community is moderately resistant to change. The herbaceous species present are well adapted to grazing; however, species composition can be altered through long-term overgrazing. If the herbaceous component is intact, it tends to be resilient if the disturbance is not long-term. Most of the components of the ecological processes are functioning at optimum levels. However, the vigor and reproductive capability of the tall warm-season grasses are reduced due to grazing pressure or a combination of stressors. A reduction of this dominant functional group allows for an increase in shorter-statured (and shallower rooted) species. The introduction of non-native invasive species such as Kentucky bluegrass and smooth bromegrass results in alterations to the soil profile. Organic matter levels tend to decrease and begin to be concentrated more in the surface layers and the structure will begin to be modified. These changes favor the shallow-rooted species and hasten their eventual dominance if steps are not taken to reduce these species.

Table 6. Annual production by plant type

Plant Type	Low (Kg/Hectare)	Representative Value (Kg/Hectare)	High (Kg/Hectare)
Grass/Grasslike	2287	3009	3688
Forb	151	252	387
Shrub/Vine	28	101	185
Total	2466	3362	4260

Figure 20. Plant community growth curve (percent production by month). SD0213, Till Plains, cool-season/warm-season codominant.. Cool-season, warm-season codominant..

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
0	0	3	10	20	28	21	10	5	3	0	0

#### Pathway 3.1A Community 3.1 to 3.2

Heavy, continuous grazing which includes herbivory at moderate to heavy levels at the same time of year each year without adequate recovery periods, periods of below normal precipitation, and no surface fire for extended periods of time (typically for 10 years or more) will shift this community to the 3.2 Green Needlegrass-Little Bluestem-Kentucky Bluegrass Plant Community Phase.

#### Pathway 3.2A Community 3.2 to 3.1

Any combination of prescribed grazing (alternating season of use and providing adequate recovery periods), periodic light to moderate grazing, prescribed burning occurring at relatively frequent intervals (every 3 to 5 years), a return to normal disturbance regime levels and frequencies, and brush management will shift this plant community to the 3.1 Big Bluestem-Green Needlegrass Plant Community Phase.

#### **Conservation practices**

Prescribed Grazing

#### State 4 Invaded State

This state is a result of encroachment mainly by invasive introduced cool-season grasses. The ecological processes are not functioning, especially the biotic processes and the hydrologic functions. The introduced cool-season grasses cause reduced infiltration and increased runoff. Preliminary studies would tend to indicate this threshold may exist when Kentucky bluegrass exceeds 30 percent of the plant community and native grasses represent less than 40 percent of the plant community composition. The opportunity for high intensity spring burns is severely reduced by early green up and increased moisture and humidity at the soil surface and grazing pressure cannot cause a reduction in sodgrass dominance. Production is limited to the sod forming species. Infiltration continues to decrease and runoff increases and energy capture into the system is restricted to early season low producing species. Nutrient cycling is limited by root depth of the dominant species.

#### Community 4.1 Smooth Bromegrass-Kentucky Bluegrass

This plant community is a result of extended periods of non-use and no fire or occasionally light levels of grazing over several years. It is characterized by dominance of smooth bromegrass and to a lesser extent Kentucky bluegrass. The dominance is at times so complete that other species are difficult to find on the site. A thick duff layer also accumulates at or above the soil surface and eventually a thatch-mat layer may develop at the surface. Nutrient cycling is greatly reduced and native plants have great difficulty becoming established. When dominated by smooth bromegrass, infiltration is moderately reduced and runoff is moderate. Production can be equal to or higher

than the interpretive plant community. However, when dominated by Kentucky bluegrass, infiltration is greatly reduced and runoff is high. Production in this case will likely be significantly less. In either case, the period that palatability is high is relatively short, as these cool-season species mature rapidly. Energy capture is also reduced. The dominance of these introduced species has been shown to alter the biotic component of the soil, as well as, organic matter levels and eventually the soil structure. These alterations perpetuate the dominance of Kentucky bluegrass and smooth bromegrass and tend to make establishment of native species extremely difficult.

#### Table 7. Annual production by plant type

Plant Type	Low (Kg/Hectare)	Representative Value (Kg/Hectare)	High (Kg/Hectare)
Grass/Grasslike	2712	3315	3923
Forb	168	381	684
Shrub/Vine	34	114	213
Total	2914	3810	4820

Figure 22. Plant community growth curve (percent production by month). SD0211, Till Plains, cool-season dominant.. Cool-season dominant..

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
0	0	4	12	25	36	10	5	4	4	0	0

#### Community 4.2 Kentucky Bluegrass-Quackgrass

This plant community phase is a result of heavy, continuous seasonal grazing or heavy, continuous season-long grazing. It is characterized by a dominance of Kentucky bluegrass and Quackgrass. The dominance is at times so complete that other species are difficult to find on the site. A relatively thick duff layer can sometimes accumulate at or above the soil surface and a thatch-mat layer often develops at the surface. Nutrient cycling is greatly reduced, and native plants have great difficulty becoming established. Infiltration is greatly reduced and runoff is high. Production will be significantly reduced when compared to the interpretive plant community. The period that palatability is high is relatively short as Kentucky bluegrass matures rapidly. Energy capture is also reduced. Biological activity in the soil is likely reduced significantly in this phase

#### Table 8. Annual production by plant type

Plant Type	Low (Kg/Hectare)	Representative Value (Kg/Hectare)	
Grass/Grasslike	1788	2354	2746
Forb	118	269	471
Shrub/Vine	-	67	146
Total	1906	2690	3363

Figure 24. Plant community growth curve (percent production by month). SD0211, Till Plains, cool-season dominant.. Cool-season dominant..

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
0	0	4	12	25	36	10	5	4	4	0	0

#### Community 4.3 Annual Pioneer-Perennial Pioneer

This plant community developed under continuous, heavy grazing or other excessive disturbances. The potential plant community is made up of approximately 40 to 80 percent grasses and grass-like species, 20 to 60 percent forbs, and 0 to five percent shrubs. The species present in this phase are highly variable but often include non-native invasive and early seral species. Plant diversity is low (plant richness may be high, but areas are often dominated by a few species). The ecological processes are difficult to restore because of the loss of plant diversity

and overall soil disturbance. Soil erosion is potentially very high because of the bare ground and shallow rooted herbaceous plant community. Water runoff will increase and infiltration will decrease due to animal related soil compaction and loss of root mass due to low plant diversity and vigor. This plant community will require significant economic inputs and time to move towards another plant community. This movement is highly variable in its succession. This is due to the loss of diversity (including the loss of the seed bank), within the existing plant community, and the plant communities on adjacent sites. This community can be renovated to improve the production capability; however, if management changes are not made the vegetation could revert back to early seral species.

#### Pathway 4.1A Community 4.1 to 4.2

Heavy, continuous grazing which includes herbivory at moderate to heavy levels at the same time of year each year without adequate recovery periods, or during periods of below normal precipitation when grazing frequency and intensity increases on these sites due to limited forage availability on adjacent upland sites will shift this community to the 4.2 Kentucky Bluegrass-Quackgrass Plant Community Phase.

### Pathway 4.2A Community 4.2 to 4.1

Prescribed grazing (alternating season of use and providing adequate recovery periods) or periodic light to moderate grazing possibly including periodic rest will convert this plant community to the 4.1 Smooth Bromegrass-Kentucky Bluegrass Plant Community Phase.

#### **Conservation practices**

Prescribed Grazing

#### Pathway 4.3A Community 4.3 to 4.2

This community pathway occurs with the passage of time as successional processes take place and perennial plants gradually begin to establish on the site again. This pathway will lead to the 4.2 Kentucky Bluegrass-Quackgrass Plant Community Phase.

#### **Conservation practices**

Integrated Pest Management (IPM)

#### State 5 Conifer/Invaded State

This state is dominated (canopy exceeds 20 percent of total surface area) by areas where trees have become established or have encroached onto the site due to the absence of periodic fire. This state is dominated by eastern redcedar and/or Rocky Mountain juniper with cool-season grasses being subdominant. The plant community can develop into a closed canopy that impedes the reproductive capability of the major native perennial grass species. A single eastern redcedar tree with a 7 foot crown diameter eliminates the equivalent of 3 pounds of forage. Further, the forage potential of a pasture with 250 mature eastern redcedar trees per acre (or one tree every thirteen feet) is reduce by 50 percent. It is suggested that stocking rates should be reduced by 10 percent for every 50 trees per acre. The increase in tree canopy which is a result of a disruption of the natural and human related fire regimes that occurred prior to European settlement in North America, which kept trees from encroaching much of the grasslands.

#### Community 5.1 Eastern Redcedar-Rocky Mountain Juniper/Green Needlegrass-Sideoats Grama

This plant community evolved due to the invasion of conifers, such as eastern redcedar and Rocky Mountain juniper. This phase was a result of the absence of periodic fire. These events may cause a reduction in warm-

season grasses and an increase in cool-season grasses and allow for the encroachment of conifers. The potential plant community is made up of approximately 50 percent grasses and grass-like species, 10 percent forbs, 10 percent shrubs, and 30 percent trees. Dominant grasses and grass-likes include big bluestem, green needlegrass, little bluestem, blue grama, Indiangrass, and sideoats grama. As the canopy increases, warm-season grasses tend to decrease as the cool-season grasses increase. Forbs will be diverse. Trees species will include eastern redcedar and Rocky Mountain juniper. When compared to the 1.1 Big Bluestem-Green Needlegrass Plant Community, coniferous trees have increased significantly and the herbaceous component has decreased. This plant community is susceptible to the encroachment of eastern redcedar and Rocky Mountain juniper.

#### Community 5.2 Eastern Redcedar-Rocky Mountain Juniper/Kentucky Bluegrass

This plant community phase is a result of heavy, continuous seasonal grazing or heavy, continuous season-long grazing or non-use and/or no surface fire for extended periods of time (typically for 10 or more years). When compared to the 5.1 Eastern Redcedar-Rocky Mountain Juniper/Green Needlegrass-Sideoats Grama Plant Community, the amount of non-native invasive cool-season grasses such as Kentucky bluegrass and smooth bromegrass have increased significantly. It is characterized by a dominance of Kentucky bluegrass, smooth bromegrass, and blue grama. The dominance of Kentucky bluegrass is at times so complete that other species are difficult to find on the site. A relatively thick duff layer can sometimes accumulate at or above the soil surfaceand a thatch-mat layer often develops at the surface. Production is limited to the sod forming species. The period that palatability is high is relatively short, as Kentucky bluegrass matures rapidly. Infiltration continues to decrease, runoff increases, and energy captured into the system is restricted to early season low producing species. Nutrient cycling is limited by root depth of the dominate species. Biological activity in the soil is likely reduced significantly in this phase.

#### Community 5.3 Eastern Redcedar-Rocky Mountain Juniper

This plant community phase is a result of no surface fire for extended periods of time (typically for 10 or more years). Coniferous trees have increased significantly, and the herbaceous component has decreased. With the dominance of the coniferous trees such as eastern redcedar and Rocky Mountain juniper, the canopy covers the area and grass species are unable to survive. Grass production for livestock is severely limited. Prescribed burning before the juniper species reach maturity and are still susceptible to fire (< 5 foot in height), or mechanical brush management can be used to maintain or recover 5.3 Eastern Redcedar-Rocky Mountain Juniper Plant Community Phase.

#### Pathway 5.1A Community 5.1 to 5.2

Non-use and/or no surface fire for extended periods of time (typically for 10 or more years) causing litter levels to become high enough to reduce native grass vigor, diversity, and density, heavy continuous grazing, or invasion of non-native plant species will shift this plant community to the 5.2 Eastern Red Cedar-Rocky Mountain Juniper/Kentucky Bluegrass Plant Community Phase.

## Pathway 5.2A Community 5.2 to 5.3

No surface fire for extended periods of time (typically for 10 or more years) causing litter levels to become high enough to reduce native grass vigor, diversity, and density, will shift this plant community to the 5.3 Eastern Red Cedar-Rocky Mountain Juniper Plant Community Phase.

## State 6 Crop Production State

This state is characterized by the production of annual crops using a variety of tillage and cropping systems along with management practices. Cropping on this site is enabled during years with drier than normal precipitation or with artificial drainage (surface or subsurface).

# Community 6.1 Annual Crops

This plant community developed with the use of a variety of tillage systems and cropping systems for the production of annual crops including corn, soybeans, wheat, and a variety of other crops.

# Transition T1A State 1 to 2

Heavy, continuous grazing (stocking levels well above carrying capacity for extended portions of the growing season, and often at the same time of year each year) would have converted this plant community to the 2.1 Little Bluestem/Wheatgrass/Grama Plant Community Phase and the Degraded State.

#### Transition T1B State 1 to 3

Non-use and/or no surface fire for extended periods of time (typically for 10 or more years) causing litter levels to become high enough to reduce native grass vigor, diversity, and density, heavy, continuous grazing, or the invasion of non-native plant species will lead this state over a threshold to the Native/Invaded State (State 3).

## Transition T5 State 1 to 4

Encroachment of non-native invasive and noxious species, abandonment of cropping, or seeding of introduced or native forage species may lead this plant community phase over a threshold to the Invaded State (State 4) and more specifically to the 4.3 Annual/Pioneer, Non-native Perennial Plant Community Phase. In the case of a seeding, refer to the corresponding Forage Suitability Group (FSG) description for adapted species and expected production (production estimates in the FSG description may be unrealistically high due to the degraded condition of the site at this phase).

## Transition T1C State 1 to 5

No surface fire for extended periods of time (typically for 10 or more years) causing litter levels to become high enough to reduce native grass vigor, diversity, and density, and invasion of conifer will likely lead this state over a threshold leading to the 5.1 Eastern Redcedar-Rocky Mountain Juniper/Green Needlegrass-Sideoats Grama Plant Community Phase within the Conifer/Invaded State (State 5).

## Transition T1D State 1 to 6

Tillage will cause a shift over a threshold leading to the 6.1 Annual Crops Plant Community Phase within the Crop Production State (State 6).

#### Transition T2A State 2 to 6

Tillage will cause a shift over a threshold leading to the 6.1 Annual Crops Plant Community Phase within the Crop Production State (State 6).

#### Transition T3A, T3B State 3 to 4

Non-use and/or no surface fire for extended periods of time (typically for 10 or more years) causing litter levels to become high enough to reduce native grass vigor, diversity, and density, will lead this state over a threshold leading to the 4.1 Smooth Bromegrass-Kentucky Bluegrass Community Phase within the Invaded State (State 4). Heavy, continuous grazing (stocking levels well above carrying capacity for extended portions of the growing season and

often at the same time of year each year), will lead this state over a threshold leading to the 4.2 Kentucky Bluegrass-Quackgrass Community Phase within the Invaded State (State 4). Grazing repeatedly in the early growing season can expedite this shift by causing mechanical disturbance due to trampling.

#### Transition T3C State 3 to 6

Tillage will cause a shift over a threshold leading to the 6.1 Annual Crops Plant Community Phase within the Crop Production State (State 6).

# Restoration pathway T4A State 4 to 3

Any combination of prescribed grazing (alternating season of use and providing adequate recovery periods), periodic light to moderate grazing, prescribed burning occurring at relatively frequent intervals (every 3 to 5 years), a return to normal disturbance regime levels and frequencies, and brush management will shift this plant community to the Native/Invaded State (State 3).

#### **Conservation practices**

Prescribed Grazing

### Transition T4C State 4 to 5

No surface fire for extended periods of time (typically for 10 or more years) causing litter levels to become high enough to reduce native grass vigor, diversity, and density, and invasion of conifer will likely lead this state over a threshold leading to the 5.2 Eastern Redcedar-Rocky Mountain Juniper/Kentucky Bluegrass Plant Community Phase within the Conifer/Invaded State (State 5).

#### Transition T4B State 4 to 6

Tillage will cause a shift over a threshold leading to the 6.1 Annual Crops Community Phase within the Crop Production State (State 6).

# Restoration pathway T5A State 5 to 1

Brush management which would include the mechanical removal of the conifers, coupled with prescribed burning occurring at relatively frequent intervals (every 3 to 5 years) and a return to normal disturbance regime levels may lead this 5.1 Eastern Redcedar-Rocky Mountain Juniper/Green Needlebrass-Sideoats Grama Plant Community Phase within the Conifer/Invaded State (State 5) over a threshold to the Reference State (State 1).

# Restoration pathway T5B, T5D State 5 to 3

Brush management which would include the mechanical removal of the conifers, coupled with prescribed burning occurring at relatively frequent intervals (every 3 to 5 years) and a return to normal disturbance regime levels may lead this 5.2 Eastern Redcedar-Rocky Mountain Juniper/Kentucky Bluegrass Plant Community Phase within the Conifer/Invaded State (State 5) over a threshold to the Native/Invaded State (State 3). Brush management which would include the mechanical removal of the conifers, coupled with prescribed burning occurring at relatively frequent intervals (every 3 to 5 years) and a return to normal disturbance regime levels may lead this 5.3 Eastern Recedar-Rocky Mountain Juniper Plant Community Phase within the Conifer/Invaded State (State 5) over a threshold to the Native/Invaded State (State 5) over a threshold to normal disturbance regime levels may lead this 5.3 Eastern Recedar-Rocky Mountain Juniper Plant Community Phase within the Conifer/Invaded State (State 5) over a threshold to the Native/Invaded State (State 5) over a threshold to the Native/Invaded State (State 5) over a threshold to the Native/Invaded State (State 5) over a threshold to the Native/Invaded State (State 3).

# Restoration pathway T5C State 5 to 4

Brush management which would include the mechanical removal of the conifers may lead this 5.2 Eastern Redcedar-Rocky Mountain Juniper/Kentucky Bluegrass Plant Community Phase within the Conifer/Invaded State (State 5) over a threshold to the Invaded State (State 4).

#### Transition T5E State 5 to 6

Brush management which would include the mechanical removal of the conifers, coupled with tillage will cause a shift over a threshold leading to the 6.1 Annual Crops Plant Community Phase within the Crop Production State (State 6).

# Restoration pathway T6A State 6 to 3

Seeding may lead this Crop Production State (State 6) over a threshold to the Native/Invaded State (State 3).

# Restoration pathway T6B, T6C State 6 to 4

Seeding may lead this Crop Production State (State 6) over a threshold to the Invaded State (State 4). Cropping followed by abandonment may lead this plant community phase over a threshold to the Invaded State (State 4) and more specifically to the 4.3 Annual Pioneer-Perennial Pioneer Plant Community Phase.

## Additional community tables

#### Table 9. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production (Kg/Hectare)	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass	/Grasslike		•		
1	Tall Warm-season Gra	sses		829–1866	
	big bluestem	ANGE	Andropogon gerardii	415–1451	_
	Indiangrass	SONU2	Sorghastrum nutans	207–1037	-
	switchgrass	PAVI2	Panicum virgatum	83–415	-
	composite dropseed	SPCOC2	Sporobolus compositus var. compositus	0–124	_
2	Cool-season Bunchgra	asses	•	415–829	
	porcupinegrass	HESP11	Hesperostipa spartea	207–829	_
	green needlegrass	NAVI4	Nassella viridula	207–829	_
	Canada wildrye	ELCA4	Elymus canadensis	0–124	-
3	Mid Warm-season Gra	sses		415–829	
	little bluestem	SCSC	Schizachyrium scoparium	207–622	-
	prairie dropseed	SPHE	Sporobolus heterolepis	83–415	-
	sideoats grama	BOCU	Bouteloua curtipendula	83–415	-
4	Wheatgrass			207–415	
	slender wheatgrass	ELTR7	Elymus trachycaulus	83–415	-
	western wheatgrass	PASM	Pascopyrum smithii	0–207	-
5	Other Native Grasses		•	124–290	
	Graminoid (grass or orass-like)	2GRAM	Graminoid (grass or grass-like)	41–207	_

	J ,				
	blue grama	BOGR2	Bouteloua gracilis	41–207	-
	prairie Junegrass	KOMA	Koeleria macrantha	41–83	_
	Scribner's rosette grass	DIOLS	Dichanthelium oligosanthes var. scribnerianum	0-41	_
	fall rosette grass	DIWI5	Dichanthelium wilcoxianum	0–41	_
6	Grass-likes			41–207	
	sedge	CAREX	Carex	41–207	-
	Grass-like (not a true grass)	2GL	Grass-like (not a true grass)	0–124	-
Forb	•		•		
7	Forbs			207–622	
	Forb, native	2FN	Forb, native	41–207	-
	blazing star	LIATR	Liatris	41–124	-
	scurfpea	PSORA2	Psoralidium	41–124	-
	white heath aster	SYER	Symphyotrichum ericoides	41–83	-
	aromatic aster	SYOB	Symphyotrichum oblongifolium	0–83	_
	stiff goldenrod	OLRI	Oligoneuron rigidum	41–83	-
	western marbleseed	ONBEO	Onosmodium bejariense var. occidentale	41–83	_
	upright prairie coneflower	RACO3	Ratibida columnifera	41–83	-
	compassplant	SILA3	Silphium laciniatum	0–83	-
	Canada goldenrod	SOCA6	Solidago canadensis	41–83	-
	western yarrow	ACMIO	Achillea millefolium var. occidentalis	41–83	-
	Cuman ragweed	AMPS	Ambrosia psilostachya	41–83	-
	field sagewort	ARCA12	Artemisia campestris	41–83	-
	white sagebrush	ARLU	Artemisia ludoviciana	41–83	-
	false boneset	BREU	Brickellia eupatorioides	41–83	-
	wavyleaf thistle	CIUN	Cirsium undulatum	0–83	-
	purple prairie clover	DAPU5	Dalea purpurea	41–83	-
	blacksamson echinacea	ECAN2	Echinacea angustifolia	41–83	-
	stiff sunflower	HEPA19	Helianthus pauciflorus	41–83	-
	American vetch	VIAM	Vicia americana	41–83	-
	Missouri goldenrod	SOMI2	Solidago missouriensis	0–41	-
	rush skeletonplant	LYJU	Lygodesmia juncea	0–41	-
	Nuttall's sensitive-briar	MINU6	Mimosa nuttallii	0–41	-
	hoary verbena	VEST	Verbena stricta	0–41	-
	cutleaf anemone	PUPAM	Pulsatilla patens ssp. multifida	0–41	-
Shru	b/Vine	•		· ·	
8	Shrubs			83–332	
	leadplant	AMCA6	Amorpha canescens	41–166	_
	rose	ROSA5	Rosa	41–124	_
	snowberry	SYMPH	Symphoricarpos	41–124	_
	smooth sumac	RHGL	Rhus glabra	0–83	
	Shrub (>.5m)	2SHRUB	Shrub (>.5m)	0–83	_

U-41

-1

Table 10. Community 3.2 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production (Kg/Hectare)	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass	/Grasslike	-			
1	Tall Warm-season Grass	ses		168–504	
	big bluestem	ANGE	Andropogon gerardii	67–504	
	switchgrass	PAVI2	Panicum virgatum	0–168	
	Indiangrass	SONU2	Sorghastrum nutans	0–168	
	composite dropseed	SPCOC2	Sporobolus compositus var. compositus	0–168	_
2	Cool-season Bunchgras	•	336–1009		
	green needlegrass	NAVI4	Nassella viridula	168–841	
	porcupinegrass	HESP11	Hesperostipa spartea	67–336	_
	Canada wildrye	ELCA4	Elymus canadensis	0–34	
3	Mid Warm-season Grass	ses		504–841	
	little bluestem	SCSC	Schizachyrium scoparium	336–841	
	sideoats grama	BOCU	Bouteloua curtipendula	67–504	
	prairie dropseed	SPHE	Sporobolus heterolepis	0–168	
4	Wheatgrass		•	168–504	
	western wheatgrass	PASM	Pascopyrum smithii	67–336	
	slender wheatgrass	ELTR7	Elymus trachycaulus	34–269	_
5	Other Native Grasses	168–336			
	blue grama	BOGR2	Bouteloua gracilis	67–269	_
	Graminoid (grass or grass-like)	2GRAM	Graminoid (grass or grass-like)	34–168	_
	prairie Junegrass	KOMA	Koeleria macrantha	34–67	_
	Scribner's rosette grass	DIOLS	Dichanthelium oligosanthes var. scribnerianum	0–34	_
	fall rosette grass	DIWI5	Dichanthelium wilcoxianum	0–34	_
6	Grass-likes			34–168	
	sedge	CAREX	Carex	34–168	_
	Grass-like (not a true grass)	2GL	Grass-like (not a true grass)	0–101	_
7	Non-Native Grasses	168–504			
	Kentucky bluegrass	POPR	Poa pratensis	168–504	
	smooth brome	BRIN2	Bromus inermis	0–269	
	quackgrass	ELRE4	Elymus repens	0–168	_
Forb					
8	Forbs			168–336	
	Canada goldenrod	SOCA6	Solidago canadensis	34–101	
	white heath aster	SYER	Symphyotrichum ericoides	34–101	
	white sagebrush	ARLU	Artemisia Iudoviciana	34–101	
	Forb, introduced	2FI	Forb, introduced	34–101	
	western yarrow	ACMIO	Achillea millefolium var. occidentalis	34–101	

	Cuman ragweed	AMPS	Ambrosia psilostachya	34–101	-
	stiff goldenrod	OLRI	Oligoneuron rigidum	34–101	_
	scurfpea	PSORA2	Psoralidium	34–101	_
	field sagewort	ARCA12	Artemisia campestris	34–67	_
	Forb, native	2FN	Forb, native	0–67	_
	hoary verbena	VEST	Verbena stricta	0–67	_
	American vetch	VIAM	Vicia americana	0–34	_
	aromatic aster	SYOB	Symphyotrichum oblongifolium	0–34	_
	Missouri goldenrod	SOMI2	Solidago missouriensis	0–34	_
	upright prairie coneflower	RACO3	Ratibida columnifera	0–34	_
	western marbleseed	ONBEO	Onosmodium bejariense var. occidentale	0–34	_
	false boneset	BREU	Brickellia eupatorioides	0–34	_
	wavyleaf thistle	CIUN	Cirsium undulatum	0–34	_
	purple prairie clover	DAPU5	Dalea purpurea	0–34	_
	blacksamson echinacea	ECAN2	Echinacea angustifolia	0–34	_
	stiff sunflower	HEPA19	Helianthus pauciflorus	0–34	_
	blazing star	LIATR	Liatris	0–34	_
	rush skeletonplant	LYJU	Lygodesmia juncea	0–34	_
Shru	b/Vine				
9	Shrubs			34–168	
	smooth sumac	RHGL	Rhus glabra	0–135	_
	rose	ROSA5	Rosa	34–67	-
	snowberry	SYMPH	Symphoricarpos	0–67	_
	prairie sagewort	ARFR4	Artemisia frigida	0–67	_
	Shrub (>.5m)	2SHRUB	Shrub (>.5m)	0–34	_
	leadplant	AMCA6	Amorpha canescens	0–34	-

#### Table 11. Community 4.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production (Kg/Hectare)	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass	/Grasslike		•		
1	Tall Warm-season Grass	es		0–191	
	composite dropseed	SPCOC2	Sporobolus compositus var. compositus	0–191	_
	big bluestem	ANGE	Andropogon gerardii	0–114	_
2	Cool-season Bunchgras	ses		0–381	
	green needlegrass	NAVI4	Nassella viridula	0–381	_
	Canada wildrye	ELCA4	Elymus canadensis	0–76	_
	porcupinegrass	HESP11	Hesperostipa spartea	0–76	_
3	Mid Warm-season Grass	es		0–191	
	sideoats grama	BOCU	Bouteloua curtipendula	0–191	_
	little bluestem	SCSC	Schizachyrium scoparium	0–191	_
4	Wheatgrass	-		0–191	
	slender wheatgrass	ELTR7	Elymus trachycaulus	0–191	_

	western wheatgrass	PASM	Pascopyrum smithii	0–191	-
5	Other Native Grasses		•	0–191	
	Graminoid (grass or grass-like)	2GRAM	Graminoid (grass or grass-like)	0–191	_
	blue grama	BOGR2	Bouteloua gracilis	0–152	_
	Scribner's rosette grass	DIOLS	Dichanthelium oligosanthes var. scribnerianum	0–38	_
	fall rosette grass	DIWI5	Dichanthelium wilcoxianum	0–38	_
	prairie Junegrass	KOMA	Koeleria macrantha	0–38	_
6	Grass-likes		•	0–191	
	sedge	CAREX	Carex	0–191	_
	Grass-like (not a true grass)	2GL	Grass-like (not a true grass)	0–76	_
7	Non-Native Grasses	- <b>!</b>	•	1143–2858	
	smooth brome	BRIN2	Bromus inermis	762–2668	_
	Kentucky bluegrass	POPR	Poa pratensis	381–1905	_
	quackgrass	ELRE4	Elymus repens	0–381	_
Forb	•			• • •	
8	Forbs			191–572	
	Forb, introduced	2FI	Forb, introduced	38–381	_
	white sagebrush	ARLU	Artemisia ludoviciana	38–267	_
	Canada goldenrod	SOCA6	Solidago canadensis	38–267	-
	white heath aster	SYER	Symphyotrichum ericoides	38–191	_
	Cuman ragweed	AMPS	Ambrosia psilostachya	38–191	-
	field sagewort	ARCA12	Artemisia campestris	38–152	_
	stiff goldenrod	OLRI	Oligoneuron rigidum	38–152	_
	scurfpea	PSORA2	Psoralidium	38–152	-
	western yarrow	ACMIO	Achillea millefolium var. occidentalis	38–152	-
	hoary verbena	VEST	Verbena stricta	0–114	-
	rush skeletonplant	LYJU	Lygodesmia juncea	0–38	-
	Forb, native	2FN	Forb, native	0–38	-
Shru	b/Vine			• • •	
9	Shrubs			38–191	
	smooth sumac	RHGL	Rhus glabra	0–191	-
	snowberry	SYMPH	Symphoricarpos	38–114	-
	Shrub (>.5m)	2SHRUB	Shrub (>.5m)	0–76	_
	prairie sagewort	ARFR4	Artemisia frigida	0–38	_
	rose	ROSA5	Rosa	0–38	_

#### Table 12. Community 4.2 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production (Kg/Hectare)	Foliar Cover (%)	
Grass	Grass/Grasslike					
1	Tall Warm-season Grasses			0–135		
	composite dropseed	SPCOC2	Sporobolus compositus var. compositus	0–135	_	

I	big bluestem	ANGE	Andropogon gerardii	0–81	_
	switchgrass	PAVI2	Panicum virgatum	0–81	_
2	Cool-season Bunchgras	ses	•	0–135	
	green needlegrass	NAVI4	Nassella viridula	0–135	_
3	Mid Warm-season Grass	ses	•	0–135	
	sideoats grama	BOCU	Bouteloua curtipendula	0–81	_
	little bluestem	SCSC	Schizachyrium scoparium	0–81	_
4	Wheatgrass		•	0–135	
	western wheatgrass	PASM	Pascopyrum smithii	0–135	_
	slender wheatgrass	ELTR7	Elymus trachycaulus	0–27	_
5	Other Native Grasses	•		27–269	
	blue grama	BOGR2	Bouteloua gracilis	27–269	_
	Graminoid (grass or grass-like)	2GRAM	Graminoid (grass or grass-like)	081	_
	Scribner's rosette grass	DIOLS	Dichanthelium oligosanthes var. scribnerianum	0–27	_
	fall rosette grass	DIWI5	Dichanthelium wilcoxianum	0–27	_
	prairie Junegrass	KOMA	Koeleria macrantha	0–27	_
6	Grass-likes		•	27–135	
	sedge	CAREX	Carex	27–135	_
	Grass-like (not a true grass)	2GL	Grass-like (not a true grass)	0–27	_
7	Non-Native Grasses	-	•	538–2018	
	Kentucky bluegrass	POPR	Poa pratensis	269–1614	_
	quackgrass	ELRE4	Elymus repens	135–1076	_
	smooth brome	BRIN2	Bromus inermis	135–807	_
Forb	•		•	• •	
8	Forbs			135–404	
	Forb, introduced	2FI	Forb, introduced	27–269	_
	Canada goldenrod	SOCA6	Solidago canadensis	27–161	_
	white sagebrush	ARLU	Artemisia ludoviciana	0–135	_
	stiff goldenrod	OLRI	Oligoneuron rigidum	27–135	_
	western yarrow	ACMIO	Achillea millefolium var. occidentalis	27–135	_
	Cuman ragweed	AMPS	Ambrosia psilostachya	27–135	_
	field sagewort	ARCA12	Artemisia campestris	27–108	_
	white heath aster	SYER	Symphyotrichum ericoides	0–108	_
	hoary verbena	VEST	Verbena stricta	0–81	_
	scurfpea	PSORA2	Psoralidium	0–81	_
	Forb, native	2FN	Forb, native	0–27	_
Shru	b/Vine				
9	Shrubs			0–135	
	smooth sumac	RHGL	Rhus glabra	0–135	
	prairie sagewort	ARFR4	Artemisia frigida	0–81	_
		7	, a termera migraa		

#### Animal community

The following table lists annual, suggested initial stocking rates with average growing conditions. These are conservative estimates that should be used only as guidelines in the initial stages of conservation planning. Often, the current plant composition does not entirely match any particular plant community (as described in this ES description). Because of this, a resource inventory is necessary to document plant composition and production. More accurate carrying capacity estimates should eventually be calculated using the following stocking rate information along with animal preference data and actual stocking records, particularly when grazers other than cattle are involved. With consultation of the land manager, more intensive grazing management may result in improved harvest efficiencies and increased carrying capacity. Stocking rates are calculated using Animal-Unit-Month (AUM), which is the amount of air-dry forage required to feed a cow, with or without calf, for one month.

Bluestem/Needlegrass (1.1 & 3.1) Average Annual Production (lbs./acre, air-dry): 3,700 Stocking Rate\* (AUM/acre): 1.01

Needlegrass/Little Bluestem/Kentucky Bluegrass (3.2) Average Annual Production (lbs./acre, air-dry): 3,000 Stocking Rate\* (AUM/acre): 0.82

Smooth Bromegrass/Kentucky Bluegrass (4.1) Average Annual Production (lbs./acre, air-dry): 3,400 Stocking Rate\* (AUM/acre): 0.93

Kentucky Bluegrass/Quackgrass (4.2) Average Annual Production (lbs./acre, air-dry): 2,400 Stocking Rate\* (AUM/acre): 0.66

Annual/Pioneer, Non-Native Perennial (4.3) Average Annual Production (lbs./acre, air-dry): 1,000 Stocking Rate\* (AUM/acre): 0.27

\*Based on 912 lbs./acre (air-dry weight) per Animal Unit Month (AUM), and on 25 percent harvest efficiency (refer to United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), National Range and Pasture Handbook).

Grazing by domestic livestock is one of the major income-producing industries in the area. Rangeland, in this area, may provide yearlong forage. During the dormant period, the forage for livestock will likely be lacking protein to meet livestock requirements and added protein will allow ruminants to better utilize the energy stored in grazed plant materials. A forage quality test (either directly or through fecal sampling) should be used to determine the level of supplementation needed.

#### Hydrological functions

Water is the principal factor limiting forage production on this site. This site is dominated by soils in hydrologic group B, with some soils in hydrologic group C. Infiltration and runoff potential for this site varies from moderate to high depending on soil hydrologic group, slope, and ground cover. In many cases, areas with greater than 75 percent ground cover have the greatest potential for high infiltration and lower runoff. An example of an exception would be where shortgrasses form a strong sod and dominate the site. Dominance by blue grama, bluegrass, or smooth bromegrass will result in reduced infiltration and increased runoff. Areas where ground cover is less than 50 percent have the greatest potential to have reduced infiltration and higher runoff (refer to Section 4, NRCS National Engineering Handbook for runoff quantities and hydrologic curves).

#### **Recreational uses**

This site provides hunting, hiking, photography, bird watching and other opportunities. The wide variety of plants that bloom from spring until fall have an aesthetic value that appeals to visitors.

## Wood products

No appreciable wood products are typically present on this site.

#### **Other products**

Seed harvest of native plant species can provide additional income on this site.

# Other information

Ecological Site Correlation Issues and Questions:

• SD027 Clay County, SD did not use the (Wa) Wakonda-Worthing-Chancellor complex (national symbol h749) as used in the adjoining SD127 Union County, SD.

• SD027 Clay County, SD did not use the (Wc) Wentworth-Worthing silty clay loams (national symbol h74d) as used in the adjoining SD127 Union County, SD.

• SD127 Union County, SD did not use the (Wc) Wakonda-Wentworth-Whitewood complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes (national symbol gypx) as used in the adjoining SD027 Clay County, SD.

• SD127 Union County, SD did not use the (DkA) Davison-Tetonka-Egan complex, 0 to 3 percent slopes (national symbol gysb) as used in the adjoining SD027 Clay County, SD.

• SD127 Union County, SD did not use the (EfB) Egan-Ethan-Tetonka complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes (national symbol gymq) as used in the adjoining SD027 Clay County, SD.

• SD099 Minnehaha County, SD did not use the (MnB) Moody-Nora complex, 2 to 6 percent slopes (national symbol 2ts6p) (R102CY010SD ESD) as used in the adjoining SD079 Lake County. SD079 Lake County, SD (MnB) Moody-Nora complex, 2 to 6 percent slopes (national symbol 2ts6p) (R102CY010SD ESD) will need to be split correlated to match SD099 Minnehaha County, SD ESD.

• SD099 Minnehaha County, SD did not use the (MtA) Moody-Trent complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes (national symbol 2vwc5) (R102CY010SD ESD) as used in the adjoining SD079 Lake County. SD079 Lake County, SD (MnB) Moody-Trent complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes (national symbol 2vwc5) (R102CY010SD ESD) will need to be split correlated to match SD099 Minnehaha County, SD ESD.

SD101 Moody County, SD did not use the (HeB) Henkin loam, 3 to 9 percent slopes (national symbol fzdk) (R102BY010SD ESD) as used in the adjoining SD079 Lake County. In the adjoining SD101 Moody County, SD (FaB) Flandreau loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes (national symbol g19h) (R102BY010SD ESD) was used, but only exists in the MLRA102C overlap table and will need to be split correlated to match SD079 Lake County, SD ESD
Reference and alternative states within the state and transition model are may not be fully documented and may require additional field sampling for refinement.

#### Inventory data references

Information presented here has been derived from NRCS clipping data and other inventory data. Field observations from range-trained personnel were also used. Those involved in developing this site include: Stan Boltz, Range Management Specialist, NRCS; and Bruce Kunze, Soil Scientist, NRCS.

Data Source Sample Period State County None

#### Other references

Cleland, D.T., J.A. Freeouf, J.E. Keys, G.J. Nowacki, C. Carpenter, and W.H. McNab. 2007. Ecological Subregions: sections and subsections of the coterminous United States. USDA Forest Service, General Technical Report WO-76. Washington, DC.

Gilbert, M.C., Whited, P.M., Clairain Jr, E.J., & Smith, R.D. 2006. A Regional Guidebook for Applying the Hydrogeomorphic Approach to Assessing Wetland Functions of Prairie Potholes. Washington, DC.

Samson, F.B., & Knopf, F.L. 1996. Prairie Conservation Preserving North America's Most Endangered Ecosystem.

Washington, DC: Island Press.

Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Official Soil Series Descriptions. Available online. Accessed March 2018.

United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resource Conservation Service (USDA-NRCS). 2003. National Range and Pasture Handbook, Revision 1. Grazing Lands Technology Institute. 214.

United States Department of Agriculture – Natural Resource Conservation Service (USDA-NRCS). 2006. Land Resource Regions and Major Land Resource Areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296.

USDA, NRCS. 2018. The PLANTS Database (http://plants.usda.gov, accessed 27 March 2018). National Plant Data Team.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency [EPA]. 2013. Level III and Level IV Ecoregions of the Continental United States. Corvallis, OR, U.S. EPA, National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory, map scale 1:3,000,000. Available at http://www.epa.gov/eco-research/level-iii-and-iv-ecoregions- continental-united-states. (Accessed 1 March 2018).

High Plains Regional Climate Center, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE. http://www.hprcc.unl.edu/

USDA, NRCS. National Water and Climate Center, Portland, OR. http://wcc.nrcs.usda.gov

USDA, NRCS. National Soil Information System, Information Technology Center, Fort Collins, CO. http://nasis.nrcs.usda.gov

#### Contributors

Megan Baxter Stan Boltz

#### Approval

Suzanne Mayne-Kinney, 2/01/2024

#### Acknowledgments

Contact for Lead Authors: Natural Resources Conservation Service (USDA-NRCS), Redfield Soil Survey Office, Redfield, SD & Stanton Soil Survey Office, Stanton, NE; Lance Howe (Lance.Howe@usda.gov), Soil Survey Office Leader, USDA-NRCS, Redfield, SD; Steve Winter (Steven.Winter@usda.gov), Soil Scientist, USDA-NRCS, Redfield, SD; and Greg Clark (Greg.Clark@usda.gov), Soil Survey Office Leader, USDA-NRCS, Stanton, NE.

Additional Information Acknowledgment: Jason Hermann (Jason.Hermann@usda.gov), Area Rangeland Management Specialist, USDA-NRCS, Redfield, SD.

This Provisional Ecological Site concept has passed both Quality Control and Quality Assurance processes. It was officially approved for publication by David Kraft as of 11/12/2020.

#### Non-discrimination Statement

In accordance with Federal civil rights law and U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) civil rights regulations and policies, the USDA, its Agencies, offices, and employees, and institutions participating in or administering USDA programs are prohibited from discriminating based on race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender identity (including gender expression), sexual orientation, disability, age, marital status, family/parental status, income derived from a public assistance program, political beliefs, or reprisal or retaliation for prior civil rights activity, in any program or activity conducted or funded by USDA (not all bases apply to all programs). Remedies and complaint filing deadlines vary by program or incident.

Persons with disabilities who require alternative means of communication for program information (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, American Sign Language, etc.) should contact the responsible Agency or USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TTY) or contact USDA through the Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339. Additionally, program information may be made available in languages other than English.

To file a program discrimination complaint, complete the USDA Program Discrimination Complaint Form, AD-3027, available online and at any USDA office, or write a letter addressed to USDA and provide in the letter all of the information requested in the form. To request a copy of the complaint form, call (866) 632- 9992. Submit your completed form or letter to USDA by: (1) mail: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20250-9410; (2) fax: (202) 690-7442; or (3) email: program.intake@usda.gov.

#### Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	David Schmidt, Tim Nordquist, Stan Boltz
Contact for lead author	
Date	12/07/2004
Approved by	Suzanne Mayne-Kinney
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

#### Indicators

- 1. Number and extent of rills: Rills should not be present.
- 2. Presence of water flow patterns: Barely observable.
- 3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: Essentially, non-existent.
- 4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): Bare ground less than 5% and less than 2 inches in diameter.
- 5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: Active gullies should not be present.
- 6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None.

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Little to no plant litter movement. Plant

litter remains in place and is not moved by erosional forces.

- 8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages most sites will show a range of values): Stability class usually 6. Typically high root content, organic matter, and granular structure. Soil surface is very resistant to erosion.
- 9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Use soil series description for depth and color of A-horizon.
- 10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Healthy, deep rooted native grasses enhance infiltration and reduce runoff.
- 11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): No compaction layer should be evident.
- 12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant: Tall warm-season rhizomatous grasses >>

Sub-dominant: Mid and tall cool-season bunchgrasses = mid warm-season grasses >

Other: Forbs > shrubs > short grass-like species > short cool-season grasses > short warm-season grasses

Additional: Due to differing root structure and distribution, Kentucky bluegrass and smooth bromegrass do not fit into reference plant community F/S groups.

- 13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Very little to no evidence of decadence or mortality.
- 14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth ( in): 70-80%, roughly 0.5 inch thick or less. Litter cover is in contact with soil surface.
- Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annualproduction): Production ranges from 2,700-4,700 lbs./acre (air-dry weight). Reference value production is 3,700 lbs./acre (air-dry weight).
- 16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not

invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Refer to State and Local Noxious Weed List, also Kentucky bluegrass, smooth bromegrass

17. Perennial plant reproductive capability: All species are capable of reproducing.