Ecological site R110XY017IL
Gravel Prairie

Last updated: 4/22/2020
Accessed: 05/19/2024

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 110X–Northern Illinois and Indiana Heavy Till Plain

The Northern Illinois and Indiana Heavy Till Plain (MLRA 110) encompasses the Northeastern Morainal, Grand Prairie, and Southern Lake Michigan Coastal landscapes (Schwegman et al. 1973, WDNR 2015). It spans three states – Illinois (79 percent), Indiana (10 percent), and Wisconsin (11 percent) – comprising about 7,535 square miles (Figure 1). The elevation is about 650 feet above sea level (ASL) and increases gradually from Lake Michigan south. Local relief varies from 10 to 25 feet. Silurian age fractured dolomite and limestone bedrock underlie the region. Glacial drift covers the surface area of the MLRA, and till, outwash, lacustrine deposits, loess or other silty material, and organic deposits are common (USDA-NRCS 2006).

The vegetation in the MLRA has undergone drastic changes over time. At the end of the last glacial episode – the Wisconsinan glaciation – the evolution of vegetation began with the development of tundra habitats, followed by a phase of spruce and fir forests, and eventually spruce-pine forests. Not until approximately 9,000 years ago did the climate undergo a warming trend which prompted the development of deciduous forests dominated by oak and hickory. As the climate continued to warm and dry, prairies began to develop approximately 8,300 years ago. Another shift in climate that resulted in an increase in moisture prompted the emergence of savanna-like habitats from 8,000 to 5,000 years before present (Taft et al. 2009). Forests maintained footholds on steep valley sides, morainal ridges, and wet floodplains. Fire, droughts, and grazing by native mammals helped to maintain the prairies and savannas until the arrival of European settlers, and the forests were maintained by droughts, wind, lightning, and occasional fire (Taft et al. 2009; NatureServe 2018).

Classification relationships

USFS Subregions: Southwestern Great Lakes Morainal (222K) and Central Till Plains and Grand Prairies (251D) Sections; Kenosha-Lake Michigan Plain and Moraines (222Kg), Valparaiso Moraine (Kj), and Eastern Grand Prairie (251Dd) Subsections (Cleland et al. 2007)

U.S. EPA Level IV Ecoregion: Kettle Moraines (53b), Illinois/Indiana Prairies (54a), and Valparaiso-Wheaton Morainal Complex (54f) (USEPA 2013)

National Vegetation Classification – Ecological Systems: North-Central Interior Sand and Gravel Tallgrass Prairie (CES202.695) (NatureServe 2018)

National Vegetation Classification – Plant Associations: Schizachyrium scoparium – Sorghastrum nutans – Bouteloua curtipendula Gravel Grassland (CEGL005176) (Nature Serve 2018)

Biophysical Settings: North-Central Interior Sand and Gravel Tallgrass Prairie (BpS 4214120) (LANDFIRE 2009)

Illinois Natural Areas Inventory: Mesic gravel prairie (White and Madany 1978)

Ecological site concept

Gravel Prairies are located within the green areas on the map. They occur on valley trains on slopes less than 12 percent. The soils are Mollisols that are excessively drained and very deep, formed in glaciofluvial deposits.

The historic pre-European settlement vegetation on this ecological site was dominated by drought-adapted herbaceous vegetation. Little bluestem (Schizachyrium scoparium (Michx.) Nash) and nodding onion (Allium cernuum Roth) are the dominant grass and forb on the site (White and Madany 1978; Bowles and Jones 2004). Other grasses present may include Indiangrass (Sorghastrum nutans (L.) Nash) and prairie dropseed (Sporobolus heterolepis (A. Gray) A. Gray) (White and Madany 1978). Species indicative of an undisturbed plant community associated with this ecological site include limestone calamint (Clinopodium arkansanum (Nutt.) House) and tobacco root (Valeriana edulis Nutt. ex Toss. & A. Gray var. ciliata (Torr. & A. Gray) Cronquist) (Taft et al. 1997). Fire is the primary disturbance factor that maintains this site, while periodic drought and large mammal grazing are secondary factors (LANDFIRE 2009).

Associated sites

R110XY018IL	**Steep Gravel Prairie** Glaciofluvial deposits on slopes 12-20 percent including Rodman soils
R110XY019IL	**Very Steep Gravel Prairie** Glaciofluvial deposits on slopes greater than 20 percent including Rodman soils

Similar sites

R110XY013IL	**Dry Sand Prairie** Dry Sand Prairies have a similar midgrass vegetation type, but the parent material is eolian deposits or outwash
R110XY018IL	**Steep Gravel Prairie** Steep Gravel Prairies also occur on valley trains but slopes are 12-20 percent
R110XY019IL	**Very Steep Gravel Prairie** Very Steep Gravel Prairies also occur on valley trains but slopes are greater than 20 percent

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	Not specified
Herbaceous	(1) *Schizachyrium scoparium* (2) *Allium cernuum*

Physiographic features

Gravel Prairies occur on valley trains. They are situated on elevations ranging from approximately 512 to 1020 feet ASL. The site does not experience flooding but rather generates runoff to adjacent, downslope ecological sites.

Figure 1.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Slope shape across	(1) Convex
Slope shape up-down	(1) Convex
Landforms	(1) Valley
Runoff class	Very low to low
Elevation	156–311 m
Slope	0–12%
Water table depth	203 cm
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Climatic features

The Northern Illinois and Indiana Heavy Till Plain falls into the hot-summer humid continental climate (Dfa) and warm-summer humid continental climate (Dfb) Köppen-Geiger climate classifications (Peel et al. 2007). The two main factors that drive the climate of the MLRA are latitude and weather systems. Latitude, and the subsequent reflection of solar input, determines air temperatures and seasonal variations. Solar energy varies across the seasons, with summer receiving three to four times as much energy as opposed to winter. Weather systems (air masses and cyclonic storms) are responsible for daily fluctuations of weather conditions. High-pressure systems are responsible for settled weather patterns where sun and clear skies dominate. In fall, winter, and spring, the polar jet stream is responsible for the creation and movement of low-pressure systems. The clouds, winds, and precipitation associated with a low-pressure system regularly follow high-pressure systems every few days (Angel n.d.).

The soil temperature regime of MLRA 110 is classified as mesic, where the mean annual soil temperature is between 46 and 59°F (USDA-NRCS 2006). Temperature and precipitation occur along a north-south gradient, where temperature and precipitation increase the further south one travels. The average freeze-free period of this ecological site is about 180 days, while the frost-free period is about 140 days (Table 2). The majority of the precipitation occurs as rainfall in the form of convective thunderstorms during the growing season. Average annual precipitation is 37 inches, which includes rainfall plus the water equivalent from snowfall (Table 3). The average annual low and high temperatures are 40.3 and 59.2°F, respectively.

Table 3. Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	133-146 days
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	173-186 days
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	940-965 mm
Frost-free period (actual range)	132-152 days

| Freeze-free period (actual range)	173-193 days
Precipitation total (actual range)	940-965 mm
Frost-free period (average)	140 days
Freeze-free period (average)	180 days
Precipitation total (average)	940 mm

**Figure 2. Monthly precipitation range**


Figure 3. Monthly minimum temperature range


Figure 4. Monthly maximum temperature range

**Figure 5. Monthly average minimum and maximum temperature**

**Figure 6. Annual precipitation pattern**

Figure 7. Annual average temperature pattern

Climate stations used

- (1) JOLIET BRANDON RD DAM [USC00114530], Joliet, IL
- (2) AURORA [USC00110338], Aurora, IL
- (3) ELGIN [USC00112736], Elgin, IL

Influencing water features

Gravel Prairies are not influenced by wetland or riparian water features. Precipitation is the main source of water for this ecological site. Infiltration is high (Hydrologic Group A), and surface runoff is very low to low. Surface runoff contributes some water to downslope ecological sites

Figure 8. Hydrologic cycling in Gravel Prairie ecological site.

Soil features

Soils of Gravel Prairies are in the Mollisols order, further classified as Typic Hapludolls with high infiltration and very low to low runoff potential. The soil series associated with this site includes Rodman. The parent material is glaciofluvial deposits, and the soils are excessively drained and very deep. Soil pH classes are Neutral to moderately alkaline. No rooting restrictions are noted for the soils of this ecological site


Figure 9. Profile sketches of soil series associated with Gravel Prairie.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Glaciofluvial deposits
Family particle size	(1) Sandy-skeletal
Drainage class	Excessively drained
Permeability class	Moderate
Depth to restrictive layer	203 cm
Soil depth	203 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	0%
Surface fragment cover >3"	0%
Available water capacity (Depth not specified)	5.08 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (Depth not specified)	0–45%

Electrical conductivity (Depth not specified)	0 mmhos/cm
Sodium adsorption ratio (Depth not specified)	0
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (Depth not specified)	6.6–8.4
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	37%
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	2%

Ecological dynamics

The information in this Ecological Site Description, including the state-and-transition model (STM), was developed based on historical data, current field data, professional experience, and a review of the scientific literature. As a result, all possible scenarios or plant species may not be included. Key indicator plant species, disturbances, and ecological processes are described to inform land management decisions.

The MLRA lies within the tallgrass prairie ecosystem of the Midwest, but a variety of environmental and edaphic factors resulted in landscape that historically supported prairies, savannas, forests, and various wetlands. Gravel Prairies form an aspect of this vegetative continuum. This ecological site occurs on valley trains with slopes less than 12 percent on excessively drained soils. Species characteristic of this ecological site consist of herbaceous, midgrass vegetation.

Fire is a critical disturbance factor that maintains Gravel Prairies. Fire intensity typically consisted of periodic, low-intensity surface fires occurring every 1 to 5 years (LANDFIRE 2009). Ignition sources included summertime lightning strikes from convective storms and bimodal, human ignitions during the spring and fall seasons. Native Americans regularly set fires to improve sight lines for hunting, driving large game, improving grazing and browsing habitat, agricultural clearing, and enhancing vital ethnobotanical plants (Barrett 1980).

Drought and grazing by native ungulates have also played a role in shaping this ecological site. The periodic episodes of reduced soil moisture in conjunction with the excessively drained soils have favored the proliferation of plant species tolerant of such conditions. Drought can also slow the growth of plants and result in dieback of certain species. Large mammals, specifically prairie elk (Cervus elaphus), bison (Bos bison), and white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus), likely occurred in low densities resulting in limited impacts to plant composition and dominance (LANDFIRE 2009). When coupled with fire, periods of drought and herbivory can greatly delay the establishment of woody vegetation (Pyne et al. 1996).

Today, Gravel Prairies are limited in their extent, having been type-converted to other human-modified landscapes. These conversions appear to be permanent for the foreseeable future. The state-and-transition model that follows provides a detailed description of each state, community phase, pathway, and transition. This model is based on available experimental research, field observations, literature reviews, professional consensus, and interpretations.

State and transition model

R110XY017IL GRAVEL PRAIRIE

Code	Process
1.1A	Increased fire return interval
1.2A	Reduced fire return interval
T1A	Vegetation removal and human alterations/transportation of soils

State 1
Reference State

The reference plant community is categorized as a midgrass prairie community, dominated by herbaceous vegetation. The two community phases within the reference state are dependent on recurrent fires. The amount and duration of disturbance alters species composition, cover, and extent. Drought and grazing have more localized impacts in the references phases, but do contribute to overall species composition, diversity, cover, and productivity.

Community 1.1
Little Bluestem - Nodding Onion

Sites in this reference community phase are dominated by a mix of grasses and forbs. Vegetative cover is patchy to continuous (61 to 100 percent), and plants can reach heights greater than 3 feet tall (LANDFIRE 2009). Little bluestem, Indiangrass, and prairie dropseed are the dominant grasses. Characteristic forbs include nodding onion and starry false lily of the valley (*Maianthemum stellatum* (L.) Link) (White and Madany 1978; Bowles and Jones 2004). Replacement fires every 3 to 4 years will maintain this phase, but an extended fire return interval will shift the community to phase 1.2 (LANDFIRE 2009).

Dominant plant species

- little bluestem (*Schizachyrium scoparium*), grass
- nodding onion (*Allium cernuum*), other herbaceous

Community 1.2

Leadplant/Little Bluestem - Nodding Onion

This reference community phase represents a successional shift following an extended fire return interval from such factors as drought. The herbaceous composition is similar to community phase 1.1, but the reduced fire frequency allows some low shrubs to develop. Leadplant (*Amorpha canescens* Pursh) and Carolina rose (*Rosa carolina* L.) are important, distinctive shrubs in this phase. A reduction in the fire return interval will shift the community back to community phase 1.1 (LANDFIRE 2009).

Dominant plant species

- leadplant (*Amorpha canescens*), shrub
- little bluestem (*Schizachyrium scoparium*), grass
- nodding onion (*Allium cernuum*), other herbaceous

Pathway 1.1A
Community 1.1 to 1.2

Increased fire return interval.

Pathway 1.2A
Community 1.2 to 1.1

Reduced fire return interval.

State 2
Anthropogenic State

The anthropogenic state occurs when the reference state is cleared and developed for human use and inhabitation, such as for commercial and housing developments, landfills, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, earthen spoils, etc. The native vegetation has been removed and soils have either been altered in place (e.g. cemeteries) or transported from one location to another (e.g. housing developments). Most of the soils in this state have 50 to 100 cm of overburden on top of the natural soil. This natural material can be determined by observing a buried surface horizon or the unaltered subsoil, till, or lacustrine parent materials. This state is generally considered permanent.

Community 2.1
Human-altered land

Sites in this community phase have had the native plant community removed and soils heavily re-worked in support of human development projects.

Transition T1A
State 1 to 2

Vegetation removal and human alterations/transportation of soils transitions the site to the anthropogenic state (2).

Additional community tables

Inventory data references

No field plots were available for this site. A review of the scientific literature and professional experience were used to approximate the plant communities for this provisional ecological site. Information for the state-and-transition model was obtained from the same sources. All community phases are considered provisional based on these plots and the sources identified in this ecological site description.

Other references

Angel, J. No date. Climate of Illinois Narrative. Illinois State Water Survey, Prairie Research Institute, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. Available at https://www.isws.illinois.edu/statecli/General/Illinois-climate-narrative.htm. Accessed 8 November 2018.

Bowles, M. and M. Jones. 2004. The prairie-wetland vegetation continuum in the Chicago region of northeastern Illinois. Proceedings of the 19th North American Prairie Conferences 64: 23-35.

Cleland, D.T., J.A. Freeouf, J.E. Keys, G.J. Nowacki, C. Carpenter, and W.H. McNab. 2007. Ecological Subregions: Sections and Subsections of the Coterminous United States. USDA Forest Service, General Technical Report WO-76. Washington, DC. 92 pps.

LANDFIRE. 2009. Biophysical Setting 4214120 North-Central Interior Sand and Gravel Tallgrass Prairie. In: LANDFIRE National Vegetation Dynamics Models. USDA Forest Service and US Department of Interior. Washington, DC.

NatureServe. 2018. NatureServe Explorer: An online encyclopedia of life [web application]. Version 7.1 NatureServe, Arlington, VA. Available at http://explorer.natureserve.org. (Accessed 14 January 2020).

Peel, M.C., B.L. Finlayson, and T.A. McMahon. 2007. Updated world map of the Köppen-Geiger climate classification. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences 11: 1633-1644.

Schwegman, J.E., G.B. Fell, M. Hutchinson, G. Paulson, W.M. Shepherd, and J. White. 1973. Comprehensive Plan for the Illinois Nature Preserves System, Part 2 The Natural Divisions of Illinois. Illinois Nature Preserves Commission, Rockford, IL. 32 pps.

Taft, J.B., G.S. Wilhelm, D.M. Ladd, and L.A. Masters. 1997. Floristic Quality Assessment for vegetation in Illinois, a method for assessing vegetation integrity. Erigenia 15: 3-95.

Taft, J.B., R.C. Anderson, L.R. Iverson, and W.C. Handel. 2009. Chapter 4: Vegetation ecology and change in terrestrial ecosystems. In: C.A. Taylor, J.B. Taft, and C.E. Warwick (eds.). Canaries in the Catbird Seat: The Past, Present, and Future of Biological Resources in a Changing Environment. Illinois Natural Heritage Survey Special Publication 30, Prairie Research Institute, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. 306 pps.

United States Department of Agriculture – Natural Resource Conservation Service (USDA-NRCS). 2006. Land Resource Regions and Major Land Resource Areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. 682 pps.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency [EPA]. 2013. Level III and Level IV Ecoregions of the Continental United States. Corvallis, OR, U.S. EPA, National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory, map scale 1:3,000,000. Available at http://www.epa.gov/eco-research/level-iii-andiv-ecoregions-continental-united-states. (Accessed 1 March 2017).

White, J. and M.H. Madany. 1978. Classification of natural communities in Illinois. In: J. White. Illinois Natural Areas Inventory Technical Report. Illinois Natural Areas Inventory, Department of Landscape Architecture, University of Illinois at Urbana/Champaign. 426 pps.

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources [WDNR]. 2015. The Ecological Landscapes of Wisconsin: An Assessment of Ecological Resources and a Guide to Planning Sustainable Management. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, PUB-SS-1131 2015, Madison, WI. 293 pps.

Contributors

Lisa Kluesner
Kristine Ryan
Sarah Smith
Tiffany Justus

Approval

Chris Tecklenburg, 4/22/2020

Acknowledgments

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	05/19/2024
Approved by	Chris Tecklenburg
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:**

2. **Presence of water flow patterns:**

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:**

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):**

5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:**

_____

6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:**

_____

7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):**

_____

8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):**

_____

9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):**

_____

10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:**

_____

11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):**

_____

12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

_____

13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):**

_____

14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):**

_____

15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):**

_____

16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if**

their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:**