

Ecological site F11XA020IN Deep Restricted

Last updated: 4/17/2020
Accessed: 05/09/2024

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 111X–Indiana and Ohio Till Plain

A PROVISIONAL ECOLOGICAL SITE is a conceptual grouping of soil map unit components within a Major Land Resource Area (MLRA) based on the similarities in response to management. Although there may be wide variability in the productivity of the soils grouped into a Provisional Site, the soil vegetation interactions as expressed in the State and Transition Model are similar and the management actions required to achieve objectives, whether maintaining the existing ecological state or managing for an alternative state, are similar. Provisional Sites are likely to be refined into more precise group during the process of meeting the APPROVED ECOLOGICAL SITE DESCRIPTION criteria.

This PROVISIONAL ECOLOGICAL SITE has been developed to meet the standards established in the National Ecological Site Handbook. The information associated with this ecological site does not meet the Approved Ecological Site Description Standard, but it has been through a Quality Control and Quality Assurance processes to assure consistency and completeness. Further investigations, reviews and correlations are necessary before it becomes an Approved Ecological Site Description.

111A – Indiana and Ohio Till Plain, Central Part. This area is in the Till Plains Section of the Central Lowland Province of the Interior Plains. It is dominated by broad, nearly level ground moraines that are broken in some areas by kames, outwash plains, and stream valleys along the leading edge of the moraines. Narrow, shallow valleys commonly are along the few large streams in the area. Elevation ranges from 680 to 1,250 feet (205 to 380 meters), increasing gradually from west to east. Relief is mainly a few meters, but in some areas hills rise as much as 100 feet (30 meters) above the adjoining plains.

The extent of the major Hydrologic Unit Areas (identified by four-digit numbers) that make up this MLRA is as follows: Wabash (0512), 46 percent; Great Miami (0508), 30 percent; Scioto (0506), 22 percent; and the Middle Ohio (0509), 2 percent. The major rivers in the area include the East and West Forks of the White River and the Whitewater River in Indiana and the Great Miami, Stillwater, Big Darby, Scioto, and Big Walnut Rivers in Ohio.

Surface deposits in this area include glacial deposits of till, lacustrine sediments, and outwash from Wisconsin and older glacial periods. A moderately thick mantle of loess covers much of the area. Most of this MLRA is underlain by Silurian and Devonian limestone and dolostone. Also, some areas of Late Ordovician shale and limestone are in the western part of the MLRA (USDA, 2006).

Classification relationships

Major Land Resource Area (USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service, 2006)

USFS Ecological Regions (USDA, 2007):

Sections – Southern Unglaciaded Allegheny Plateau (221E), Central Till Plains, Beech Maple (222H), Interior Low Plateau-Transition Hills (223B), Interior Low Plateau-Bluegrass (223F)

Subsections - Lower Scioto River Plateau (221Eg), Bluffton Till Plains (222Ha), Miami-Scioto Plain-Tipton Till Plain

(222Hb), Little Miami Old Drift Plain (222Hc), Mad River Interlobate Plains (222Hd), Darby Plains (222He), Brown County Hills (223Ba), Northern Bluegrass (223Fd), Muscatatuck Flats and Valleys (223Fe), Scottsburg Lowlands (223Ff)

NatureServe Systems anticipated (NatureServe, 2011): Agriculture - Cultivated Crops and Irrigated Agriculture, Agriculture - Pasture/Hay, Allegheny-Cumberland Dry Oak Forest and Woodland, Appalachian (Hemlock)-Northern Hardwood Forest, Central Appalachian Pine-Oak Rocky Woodland, Central Interior Acidic Cliff and Talus, Central Interior Highlands Calcareous Glade and Barrens, Central Tallgrass Prairie, Clearcut - Grassland/Herbaceous, Introduced Upland Vegetation – Treed, Managed Tree Plantation, Mississippi River Riparian Forest, North-Central Interior and Appalachian Acidic Peatland, North-Central Interior Beech-Maple Forest, North-Central Interior Dry-Mesic Oak Forest and Woodland, North-Central Interior Floodplain, North-Central Interior Freshwater Marsh, North-Central Interior Oak Savanna, North-Central Interior Wet Flatwoods, North-Central Interior Wet Meadow-Shrub Swamp, North-Central Oak Barrens, Northeastern Interior Dry-Mesic Oak Forest, Ruderal Forest, Ruderal Upland - Old Field, South-Central Interior / Upper Coastal Plain Wet Flatwoods, South-Central Interior Large Floodplain, South-Central Interior Mesophytic Forest, South-Central Interior Small Stream and Riparian, Southern Appalachian Oak Forest, Southern Interior Low Plateau Dry-Mesic Oak Forest, Southern Ridge and Valley / Cumberland Dry Calcareous Forest, Successional Shrub/Scrub

LANDFIRE Biophysical Settings anticipated (USGS, 2010): Allegheny-Cumberland Dry Oak Forest and Woodland, Appalachian (Hemlock-) Northern Hardwood Forest, Central Interior and Appalachian Floodplain Systems, Central Interior and Appalachian Riparian Systems, Central Interior and Appalachian Shrub-Herbaceous Wetland Systems, Central Interior and Appalachian Swamp Systems, Central Interior Highlands Calcareous Glade and Barrens, Central Interior Highlands Dry Acidic Glade and Barrens, Central Tallgrass Prairie, Great Lakes Coastal Marsh Systems, North-Central Interior Beech-Maple Forest, North-Central Interior Dry-Mesic Oak Forest and Woodland, North-Central Interior Dry Oak Forest and Woodland, North-Central Interior Oak Savanna, North-Central Interior Wet Flatwoods, South-Central Interior Mesophytic Forest, South-Central Interior/Upper Coastal Plain Flatwoods, Southern Appalachian Oak Forest, Southern Interior Low Plateau Dry-Mesic Oak Forest

Ecological site concept

This site is an upland site generally formed on residuum weathered from limestone and shale overlain with till or loess. The depth to the restrictive layer is greater than 40 inches. Drainage is moderately well to well drained with slopes generally from 1-35%. The characteristic vegetation of this site is that of a forest comprised largely of fire sensitive, shade tolerant species. The dominant canopy level species include sugar maple, tulip-tree, and American beech. Gap phase regeneration is the most common disturbance dynamic on the site and allows these species to reach the canopy. Windthrow and ice storms are larger scale disturbance mechanisms, but they incur very infrequently. The site can be susceptible to the establishment and dominance of the understory by woody, invasive, non-native species. Most of this historically woodland site is now being farmed for agriculture to include hay, pasture, and row crops.

Associated sites

| | |
|-------------|--|
| F111XA018IN | Shallow Restricted Site is generally higher on the landscape; soil depth to restrictive layer is less than 20 inches |
| F111XA019IN | Moderately Deep Restricted Site is generally higher on the landscape; soil depth to restrictive layer is between 20 and 40 inches. |

Similar sites

| | |
|-------------|--|
| F111XA006IN | Till Depression Soil parent material is glacial till; soils do not contain a restrictive layer; site is located on depression (concave) landscape position. |
| F111XA012IN | Lacustrine Forest Soil parent material is lacustrine; soils are somewhat poorly to well drained; soils do not contain a restrictive layer. |
| F111XA008IN | Wet Till Ridge Soil parent material is glacial till; soils do not contain a restrictive layer; site is located on a swell (convex) landscape position; soils are poorly to somewhat poorly drained |

| | |
|-------------|--|
| F111XA009IN | Till Ridge Soil parent material is glacial till; soils do not contain a restrictive layer; site is located on a swell (convex) landscape position. |
|-------------|--|

Table 1. Dominant plant species

| | |
|------------|---|
| Tree | (1) <i>Acer saccharum</i> (2) <i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i> |
| Shrub | Not specified |
| Herbaceous | Not specified |

Physiographic features

This ecosite is found in unspecified landscape in MLRA 111A, Indiana and Ohio Till Plain, Central Part. It is classified as an upland site and was formed on residuum with lithic contact being greater than 40 inches deep. It is located on backslopes, footslopes, shoulers, and summits.




Figure 1. Block diagram showing soil series on the landscape.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

| | |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Landforms | (1) Hill (2) Knob (3) Sinkhole |
| Flooding frequency | None |
| Ponding frequency | None |
| Elevation | 107–381 m |
| Slope | 2–35% |
| Ponding depth | 0 cm |
| Water table depth | 69–107 cm |
| Aspect | W, NW, N, NE, E, SE, S, SW |

Climatic features

The average annual precipitation in this area is 36 to 43 inches (915 to 1,090 millimeters). Most of the rainfall occurs as convective thunderstorms during the growing season. About half or more of the precipitation occurs during the freeze-free period. Snowfall is common in winter. The average annual temperature is 49 to 53 degrees F (9 to 12 degrees C). The freeze-free period averages about 195 days and ranges from 175 to 215 days.

Table 3. Representative climatic features

| | |
|--|--------------|
| Frost-free period (characteristic range) | 143-156 days |
| Freeze-free period (characteristic range) | 175-191 days |
| Precipitation total (characteristic range) | 991-1,092 mm |
| Frost-free period (actual range) | 141-164 days |
| Freeze-free period (actual range) | 171-198 days |
| Precipitation total (actual range) | 991-1,118 mm |
| Frost-free period (average) | 151 days |
| Freeze-free period (average) | 183 days |
| Precipitation total (average) | 1,041 mm |




Figure 2. Monthly precipitation range




Figure 3. Monthly minimum temperature range




Figure 4. Monthly maximum temperature range




Figure 5. Monthly average minimum and maximum temperature




Figure 6. Annual precipitation pattern




Figure 7. Annual average temperature pattern

Climate stations used

- (1) CIRCLEVILLE [USC00331592], Circleville, OH
- (2) KOKOMO 3 WSW [USC00124662], Russiaville, IN
- (3) COLUMBUS [USC00121747], Columbus, IN
- (4) INDIANAPOLIS SE SIDE [USC00124272], Indianapolis, IN
- (5) RICHMOND WTR WKS [USC00127370], Richmond, IN
- (6) SIDNEY 1 S [USC00337693], Sidney, OH
- (7) CHILLICOTHE MOUND CITY [USC00331528], Chillicothe, OH
- (8) COLUMBUS OHIO STATE UNIV AP [USW00004804], Dublin, OH

Influencing water features

This ecological site is not influenced by wetland or riparian water features.

Soil features

The soil series associated with this site are: Zenas, Zanesville, Wrays, Westmoreland, Wellston, Wellrock, Tarhollow, Stonehead, Muscatatuck, Grayford, Cruze, Coolville, Carmel, Brownsville, Boston. They are deep to very

deep, moderately well drained to well drained, and very slow to moderately rapid permeable soils, with extremely acidic to neutral soil reaction, that formed in Residium.



Figure 8. Location of mapunits in the MLRA.

Table 4. Representative soil features

| | |
|--|---|
| Parent material | (1) Residuum—limestone and shale |
| Surface texture | (1) Channery silt loam |
| Family particle size | (1) Loamy |
| Drainage class | Moderately well drained to well drained |
| Permeability class | Very slow to moderately rapid |
| Soil depth | 46–165 cm |
| Surface fragment cover <=3" | 0% |
| Surface fragment cover >3" | 0% |
| Available water capacity (0-101.6cm) | 10.67–19.56 cm |
| Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-101.6cm) | 0% |
| Electrical conductivity (0-101.6cm) | 0 mmhos/cm |
| Sodium adsorption ratio (0-101.6cm) | 0 |
| Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-101.6cm) | 4.5–6.2 |
| Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified) | 0–30% |
| Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified) | 0–62% |

Ecological dynamics

The historic plant community of the Deep Restricted ecological site is a forest with the dominant species in the canopy being sugar maple, tulip-tree and beech. Canopy associates include white oak, shagbark hickory, hackberry and black walnut. This site is dominated by fire sensitive and shade tolerant species. Species with these characteristics make it to the canopy via gap-phase recruitment on a local scale. Since settlement, parts of this site has been converted to agricultural use with the majority being to grow hay or used as pasture. The areas still in natural vegetation are at risk of having their understory invaded and dominated by invasive species such as Asian honeysuckles and even Callery pear.

State and transition model




Figure 9. STM

Deep Restricted, F111AY020IN Diagram Legend

| | |
|------|--|
| T1A | Invasive species establishment, no management |
| T1B | Remove woody species, drainage (if needed), site preparation, planting |
| T2A | Chemical/mechanical treatment of invasive species, timber stand improvements practices |
| T2B | Remove woody species, drainage (if needed), site preparation, planting |
| T3A | Drainage removal (if needed), planting, timber stand improvement practices |
| 1.1A | Succession |
| 1.2A | Disturbance that removes canopy trees |
| 3.1A | Pasture/forage planting and management |
| 3.2A | Conventional/no-till planting and management of row crops |

Figure 10. Legend

State 1 Mesic Forest

This is the diagnostic plant community for this site. In reference condition, this site was dominated by sugar maple, beech, and tulip-tree. An earlier successional phase of this site is comprised largely of shrubs and pioneering species. Stand replacing events were very uncommon. Small gap disturbance was the most common disturbance

event that allowed propagation of these species.

Dominant plant species

- sugar maple (*Acer saccharum*), tree
- American beech (*Fagus grandifolia*), tree
- tuliptree (*Liriodendron tulipifera*), tree

Community 1.1

shrubs/pioneer tree species

This phase is characterized by pioneering woody species that respond rapidly to increased light availability. Cover is generally very heavy, but not usually very tall. As time and succession progress, the trees become larger and less dense.

Community 1.2

sugar maple/beech

This phase is characterized by tree dominance, particularly sugar maple, tulip-tree, and beech. Additional canopy species include white oak, shagbark hickory, hackberry and black walnut.

Dominant plant species

- sugar maple (*Acer saccharum*), tree
- American beech (*Fagus grandifolia*), tree

Pathway P1.1A

Community 1.1 to 1.2

Time and succession will move the site from this phase to the full expression of Community Phase 1.2

Pathway P1.2A

Community 1.2 to 1.1

Disturbance, whether natural or as management, that removes a large portion of the trees will move the site towards phase 1.1

State 2

Invaded State

This state is characterized by the establishment and eventual dominance of invasive species in the understory. This greatly reduces the species richness and diversity of the site as a whole. Common invasives for this site include, but are not limited to, species of Asian bush honeysuckle, Callery pear, autumn olive and ailanthus.

Dominant plant species

- sugar maple (*Acer saccharum*), tree
- tuliptree (*Liriodendron tulipifera*), tree
- autumn olive (*Elaeagnus umbellata*), shrub
- Callery pear (*Pyrus calleryana*), shrub
- tree of heaven (*Ailanthus altissima*), shrub
- honeysuckle (*Lonicera*), shrub

Community 2.1

sugar maple/beech/basswood/invasives

This phase is characterized by the understory being dominated by woody, mostly non-native, invasive species.

State 3

Agriculture State

This state is characterized by the conversion of the site to agricultural use. Most common practice is a corn and soybean rotation of various types. A small portion of the historic acres are used for forage and pasture.

Community 3.1

corn/soybeans

This phase is characterized by row crop agriculture of small grains, primarily corn and soybeans.

Community 3.2

cool season forage/pasture

This phase is characterized by forage or grazing agriculture. Different mixes of, generally, cool season grasses and forbs, largely clovers, are grown.

Pathway CP 3.1-3.2

Community 3.1 to 3.1

Planting of cool season pasture/forage species and management to maintain them.

Pathway P3.1A

Community 3.1 to 3.2

Establishment and maintenance of forage / pasture species.

Pathway P3.2A

Community 3.2 to 3.1

Establishment and maintenance of row crops

Transition T1A

State 1 to 2

Establishment of invasive species with not management to control them will move the site towards state #2.

Transition T1B

State 1 to 3

The site is converted to the Agriculture State (#3) after the woody species are removed, the crops planted, and implementation of agricultural practices. For this site, cool season forage and pasture is more common than row crop agriculture.

Restoration pathway R2A

State 2 to 1

Chemical and mechanical treatment of the invasive species. Planting of desired species may be needed if they are not enough left to recolonize the site.

Transition T2B

State 2 to 3

Removal off trees and other wood species. Install drainage system (if warranted), prepare the site for planting the agricultural crop, and regular agricultural practices.

Restoration pathway R3A

State 3 to 1

Removal of drainage system (if warranted), site preparation, and tree planting.

Additional community tables

Inventory data references

Site concept developed through expert opinion and review of the literature.

Other references

Braun, E. Lucy. 2001. Deciduous forests of eastern North America. Caldwell, N.J.: Blackburn Press.

Homoya, M. A., Abrell, D. B., Aldrich, J. R., & Post, T. W. (1985). The Natural Regions of Indiana. Indiana Academy of Science , 94, 245-269.

NatureServe. (2011). An online encyclopedia of life [web application]. NatureServe, Arlington, VA, USA [Online: [www. natureserve. org/explorer](http://www.natureserve.org/explorer)] .

Jackson, Marion T. 1997. The Natural heritage of Indiana. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, published in association with the Indiana Department of Natural Resources and the Indiana Academy of Science.

USDA. (2007). Ecological Subregions: Sections and Subsections for the Conterminous United States. Washington, DC: USDA - Forest Service.

USDA. (2006). Land Resource Regions and Major Land Resource Areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U. S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. U. S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296.

USGS. (2010). LANDFIRE Biophysical Settings. Retrieved from <http://www.landfire.gov>

Whitaker, John O., Charles J. Amlaner, Marion T. Jackson, George R. Parker, and Peter Evans Scott. 2012. Habitats and ecological communities of Indiana presettlement to present. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.

Contributors

Tyler Staggs

Approval

Chris Tecklenburg, 4/17/2020

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

| | |
|--------------------------|--------------|
| Author(s)/participant(s) | TYLER STAGGS |
| Contact for lead author | |
| Date | 04/17/2020 |

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| Approved by | Chris Tecklenburg |
| Approval date | |
| Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on | Annual Production |

Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:**

2. **Presence of water flow patterns:**

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:**

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):**

5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:**

6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:**

7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):**

8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):**

9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):**

10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:**

11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):**

12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):**
-

14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):**
-

15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):**
-

16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:**
-

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:**
-